Computing optimal pairings on abelian varieties with theta functions

06/06/2013 — AGCT

David Lubicz, Damien Robert

June 6, 2013

Outline

- Pairings on curves
- Abelian varieties
- Theta functions
- Pairings with theta functions
- Performance

The Weil pairing on elliptic curves

- Let $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve over k (char $k \neq 2,3$).
- Let $P,Q \in E[\ell]$ be points of ℓ -torsion.
- Let f_P be a function associated to the principal divisor $\ell(P)-\ell(0)$, and f_Q to $\ell(Q)-\ell(0)$. We define:

$$e_{W,\ell}(P,Q) = \frac{f_P((Q) - (0))}{f_Q((P) - (0))}.$$

• The application $e_{W,\ell}: E[\ell] \times E[\ell] \to \mu_{\ell}(\overline{k})$ is a non degenerate pairing: the Weil pairing.

Definition (Embedding degree)

The embedding degree d is the smallest number thus that $\ell \mid q^d - 1$; \mathbb{F}_{q^d} is then the smallest extension containing $\mu_{\ell}(\overline{k})$.

The Tate pairing on elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_q

Definition

The Tate pairing is a non degenerate (on the right) bilinear application given by

$$e_T \colon E_0[\ell] \times E(\mathbb{F}_q) / \ell E(\mathbb{F}_q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^* / \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^* \ell$$
.
 $(P,Q) \longmapsto f_P((Q) - (0))$

where

$$E_0[\ell] = \{ P \in E[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \mid \pi(P) = [q]P \}.$$

ullet On \mathbb{F}_{a^d} , the Tate pairing is a non degenerate pairing

$$e_T: E[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \times E(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) / \ell E(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \to \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^* / \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^{*\ell} \simeq \mu_{\ell};$$

• We normalise the Tate pairing by going to the power of $(q^d - 1)/\ell$.

Miller's functions

• We need to compute the functions f_P and f_Q . More generally, we define the Miller's functions:

Definition

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in E[\ell]$, we define $f_{\lambda,X} \in k(E)$ to be a function thus that:

$$(f_{\lambda,X}) = \lambda(X) - ([\lambda]X) - (\lambda - 1)(0).$$

• We want to compute (for instance) $f_{\ell,P}(Q) - (0)$).

Miller's algorithm

• The key idea in Miller's algorithm is that

$$f_{\lambda+\mu,X} = f_{\lambda,X} f_{\mu,X} \mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,X}$$

where $\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,X}$ is a function associated to the divisor

$$([\lambda + \mu]X) - ([\lambda]X) - ([\mu]X) + (0).$$

• We can compute $\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,X}$ using the addition law in E: if $[\lambda]X = (x_1,y_1)$ and $[\mu]X = (x_2,y_2)$ and $\alpha = (y_1 - y_2)/(x_1 - x_2)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,X} = \frac{y - \alpha(x - x_1) - y_1}{x + (x_1 + x_2) - \alpha^2}.$$

Pairings on Jacobians

- Let C be a curve of genus g;
- Let $P \in Jac(C)[\ell]$ and D_P a divisor of degree 0 on C representing P;
- By definition of Jac(C), ℓD_P corresponds to a principal divisor (f_P) on C;
- The same formulas as for elliptic curve define the Weil and Tate pairings:

$$e_W(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q)/f_Q(D_P)$$

$$e_T(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q).$$

Pairings on Jacobians

Pairings on curves

- Let C be a curve of genus g;
- Let $P \in Jac(C)[\ell]$ and D_P a divisor of degree 0 on C representing P;
- By definition of Jac(C), ℓD_P corresponds to a principal divisor (f_P) on C;
- The same formulas as for elliptic curve define the Weil and Tate pairings:

$$e_W(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q)/f_Q(D_P)$$

$$e_T(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q).$$

ullet A key ingredient for evaluating $f_P(D_Q)$ comes from Weil reciprocity theorem.

Theorem (Weil)

Let D_1 and D_2 be two divisors with disjoint support linearly equivalent to (0) on a smooth curve C. Then

$$f_{D_1}(D_2) = f_{D_2}(D_1).$$

Pairings on Jacobians

Pairings on curves

- Let C be a curve of genus g;
- Let $P \in Jac(C)[\ell]$ and D_P a divisor of degree 0 on C representing P;
- By definition of Jac(C), ℓD_P corresponds to a principal divisor (f_P) on C;
- The same formulas as for elliptic curve define the Weil and Tate pairings:

$$e_W(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q)/f_Q(D_P)$$

$$e_T(P,Q) = f_P(D_Q).$$

- The extension of Miller's algorithm to Jacobians is "straightforward";
- For instance if g = 2, the function $f_{\lambda,\mu,P}$ is of the form

$$\frac{y-l(x)}{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}$$

where l is of degree 3.

Abelian varieties

Definition

An Abelian variety is a complete connected group variety over a base field k.

Example

- Elliptic curves= Abelian varieties of dimension 1;
- If C is a (projective smooth absolutely irreducible) curve of genus g, its Jacobian is an abelian variety of dimension g;
- In dimension $g \ge 4$, not every abelian variety is a Jacobian.

Isogenies and pairings

Let $f:A \rightarrow B$ be a separable isogeny with kernel K between two abelian varieties defined over k:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longleftarrow \hat{A} \xleftarrow{\hat{f}} \hat{B} \longleftarrow \hat{K} \longleftarrow 0$$

- \hat{K} is the Cartier dual of K, and we have a non degenerate pairing $e_f: K \times \hat{K} \to \overline{k}^*$:
 - If $Q \in \hat{K}(\overline{k})$, Q defines a divisor D_Q on B;
 - $\hat{f}(Q) = 0$ means that f^*D_Q is equal to a principal divisor (g_Q) on A;
 - $e_f(P,Q) = g_Q(x)/g_Q(x+P)$. (This last function being constant in its definition domain).
- The Weil pairing $e_{W,\ell}$ is the pairing associated to the isogeny $[\ell]: A \rightarrow A$.

Polarisations

If \mathcal{L} is an ample line bundle, the polarisation $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a morphism $A \to \widehat{A}, x \mapsto t_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{L} be a principal polarization on A. The (polarized) Weil pairing $e_{W,\mathcal{L},\ell}$ is the pairing

$$e_{W,\mathscr{L},\ell} \colon A[\ell] \times A[\ell] \longrightarrow \mu_{\ell}(\overline{k})$$

$$(P,Q) \longmapsto e_{W,\ell}(P,\varphi_{\mathscr{L}}(Q))$$

associated to the polarization \mathcal{L}^{ℓ} :

$$A \xrightarrow{[\ell]} A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \hat{A}$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \mathop{\rightarrow} A[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}) \mathop{\rightarrow} A(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}) \mathop{\rightarrow}^{[\ell]} A(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}) \mathop{\rightarrow} 0$$

we get from Galois cohomology a connecting morphism

$$\delta: A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})/\ell A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \to H^1(Gal(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_{q^d}), A[\ell]);$$

Composing with the Weil pairing, we get a bilinear application

$$A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \times A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) / \ell A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \to H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_{q^d}), \mu_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^* / \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^{*^{-\ell}} \simeq \mu_\ell$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the Kummer sequence

$$1 \to \mu_{\ell} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^d}^* \to 1$$

and Hilbert 90;

• Explicitely, if $P \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ and $Q \in A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ then the (reduced) Tate pairing is given by

$$e_T(P,Q) = e_W(P,\pi(Q_0) - Q_0)$$

where Q_0 is any point such that $Q = [\ell]Q_0$ and π is the Frobenius of \mathbb{F}_{a^d} .

- Let (A, \mathcal{L}) be a principally polarized abelian variety;
- To a degree 0 cycle $\sum (P_i)$ on A, we can associate the line bundle $\otimes t_{P_i}^* \mathcal{L}$ on A;
- The cycle $\sum (P_i)$ corresponds to a trivial line bundle iff $\sum P_i = 0$ in A;
- If f is a function on A and $D = \sum_{i} (P_i)$ a cycle whose support does not contain a zero or pole of f, we let

$$f(D) = \prod f(P_i).$$

(In the following, when we write f(D) we will always assume that we are in this situation.)

Theorem ([Lan58])

Let D_1 and D_2 be two cycles equivalent to 0, and f_{D_1} and f_{D_2} be the corresponding functions on A. Then

$$f_{D_1}(D_2) = f_{D_2}(D_1)$$

The Weil and Tate pairings on abelian varieties

Theorem

Let $P, Q \in A[\ell]$. Let D_P and D_Q be two cycles equivalent to (P) - (0) and (Q) - (0). The Weil pairing is given by

$$e_W(P,Q) = \frac{f_{\ell D_P}(D_Q)}{f_{\ell D_Q}(D_P)}.$$

Theorem

Let $P \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ and $Q \in A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$, and let D_P and D_Q be two cycles equivalent to (P) - (0) and (Q) - (0). The (non reduced) Tate pairing is given by

$$e_T(P,Q) = f_{\ell D_P}(D_Q).$$

Cryptographic usage of pairings on abelian varieties

- The moduli space of abelian varieties of dimension g is a space of dimension g(g+1)/2. We have more liberty to find optimal abelian varieties in function of the security parameters.
- If A is an abelian variety of dimension g, $A[\ell]$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ -module of dimension $2g \Rightarrow$ the structure of pairings on abelian varieties is richer.
- Supersingular abelian varieties can have larger embedding degree than supersingular elliptic curves.
- Over a Jacobian, we can use twists even if they are not coming from twists of the underlying curve.

Complex abelian variety

- A complex abelian variety is of the form $A = V/\Lambda$ where V is a \mathbb{C} -vector space and Λ a lattice, with a polarization (actually an ample line bundle) \mathcal{L} on it:
- The Chern class of \mathcal{L} corresponds to a symplectic real form E on V such that E(ix, iy) = E(x, y) and $E(\Lambda, \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}$;
- The commutator pairing e_{φ} is then given by $\exp(2i\pi E(\cdot,\cdot))$;
- A principal polarization on A corresponds to a decomposition $\Lambda = \Omega \mathbb{Z}^g + \mathbb{Z}^g$ with $\Omega \in \mathfrak{H}_g$ the Siegel space;
- The associated Riemann form on A is then given by $E(\Omega x_1 + x_2, \Omega y_1 + y_2) = {}^t x_1 \cdot y_2 - {}^t y_1 \cdot x_2.$

Theta coordinates

• The theta functions of level *n* give a system of projective coordinates:

$$\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a\\b\end{smallmatrix}\right](z,\Omega) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\pi i \, {}^t(n+a)\Omega(n+a) + 2\pi i \, {}^t(n+a)(z+b)} \qquad a,b \in \mathbb{Q}^g$$

• If n = 2, we get (in the generic case) an embedding of the Kummer variety $A/\pm 1$.

Remark

Working on level n mean we take a n-th power of the principal polarisation. So in the following we will compute the n-th power of the usual Weil and Tate pairings.

$$\begin{split} \big(\sum_{t\in Z(\overline{2})}\chi(t)\vartheta_{i+t}(x+y)\vartheta_{j+t}(x-y)\big).\big(\sum_{t\in Z(\overline{2})}\chi(t)\vartheta_{k+t}(0)\vartheta_{l+t}(0)\big) = \\ \big(\sum_{t\in Z(\overline{2})}\chi(t)\vartheta_{-i'+t}(y)\vartheta_{j'+t}(y)\big).\big(\sum_{t\in Z(\overline{2})}\chi(t)\vartheta_{k'+t}(x)\vartheta_{l'+t}(x)\big). \end{split}$$

00000000

Algorithm

Input
$$z_P = (x_0, x_1)$$
, $z_Q = (y_0, y_1)$ and $z_{P-Q} = (z_0, z_1)$ with $z_0 z_1 \neq 0$; $z_0 = (a, b)$ and $A = 2(a^2 + b^2)$, $B = 2(a^2 - b^2)$.

Output
$$z_{P+Q} = (t_0, t_1)$$
.

$$2 t_1' = (x_0^2 - x_1^2)(y_0^2 - y_1^2)/B$$

$$0 t_1 = (t_0' - t_1')/z_1$$

Return (t_0, t_1)

Proposition (Lubicz-R. [LR13])

- For $P \in A$ we note z_P a lift to \mathbb{C}^g . We call P a projective point and z_P an affine point (because we describe them via their projective, resp affine, theta coordinates);
- We have (up to a constant)

$$f_{\lambda,P}(z) = \frac{\vartheta(z)}{\vartheta(z+\lambda z_P)} \left(\frac{\vartheta(z+z_P)}{\vartheta(z)}\right)^{\lambda};$$

So (up to a constant)

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,P}(z) = \frac{\vartheta(z+\lambda z_P)\vartheta(z+\mu z_P)}{\vartheta(z)\vartheta(z+(\lambda+\mu)z_P)}.$$

Proposition (Lubicz-R. [LR13])

From the affine points z_P , z_Q , z_R , z_{P+Q} , z_{P+R} and z_{Q+R} one can compute the affine point z_{P+Q+R} .

(In level 2, the proposition is only valid for "generic" points).

Proof.

We can compute the three way addition using a generalised version of Riemann's relations:

$$\begin{split} & \big(\sum_{t \in Z(\overline{2})} \chi(t) \vartheta_{i+t}(z_{P+Q+R}) \vartheta_{j+t}(z_P) \big). \big(\sum_{t \in Z(\overline{2})} \chi(t) \vartheta_{k+t}(z_Q) \vartheta_{l+t}(z_R) \big) = \\ & \quad \big(\sum_{t \in Z(\overline{2})} \chi(t) \vartheta_{-i'+t}(z_0) \vartheta_{j'+t}(z_{Q+R}) \big). \big(\sum_{t \in Z(\overline{2})} \chi(t) \vartheta_{k'+t}(z_{P+R}) \vartheta_{l'+t}(z_{P+Q}) \big). \end{split}$$

Computing the Miller function $f_{\lambda,\mu,P}(Q) - f_{\lambda,\mu,P}(Q) - f_{\lambda,\mu,P}(Q)$

Algorithm

Input
$$\lambda P$$
, μP and Q ;
Output $f_{\lambda,\mu,P}((Q)-(0))$

- **②** Compute $(\lambda + \mu)P$, $Q + \lambda P$, $Q + \mu P$ using normal additions and take any affine lifts $z_{(\lambda + \mu)P}$, $z_{Q + \lambda P}$ and $z_{Q + \mu P}$;
- **②** Use a three way addition to compute $z_{Q+(\lambda+\mu)P}$;

Return

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda,\mu,P}((Q)-(0))=\frac{\vartheta(z_Q+\lambda z_P)\vartheta(z_Q+\mu z_P)}{\vartheta(z_Q)\vartheta(z_Q+(\lambda+\mu)z_P)}\cdot\frac{\vartheta((\lambda+\mu)z_P)\vartheta(z_P)}{\vartheta(\lambda z_P)\vartheta(\mu z_P)}.$$

Lemma

The result does not depend on the choice of affine lifts in Step 2.

- © This allow us to evaluate the Weil and Tate pairings and derived pairings;
- Not possible a priori to apply this algorithm in level 2.



The Tate pairing with Miller's functions and theta coordinates

- Let $P \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ and $Q \in A(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$; choose any lift z_P , z_Q and z_{P+Q} .
- The algorithm loop over the binary expansion of ℓ , and at each step does a doubling step, and if necessary an addition step.

```
Given z_{\lambda P}, z_{\lambda P+Q};
Doubling Compute z_{2\lambda P}, z_{2\lambda P+Q} using two differential additions;
Addition Compute (2\lambda+1)P and take an arbitrary lift z_{(2\lambda+1)P}. Use a three way addition to compute z_{(2\lambda+1)P+Q}.
```

- At the end we have computed affine points $z_{\ell P}$ and $z_{\ell P+Q}$. Evaluating the Miller function then gives exactly the quotient of the projective factors between $z_{\ell P}$, z_0 and $z_{\ell P+Q}$, z_Q .
- Described this way can be extended to level 2 by using compatible additions;
- © Can we get rid of three way additions?

The Weil and Tate pairing with theta coordinates (Lubicz-R. [LR10])

P and Q points of ℓ -torsion.

$$\bullet \ e_{W,\ell}(P,Q) = \frac{\lambda_P^1 \lambda_Q^0}{\lambda_P^0 \lambda_Q^1}.$$

 $\ell Q = \lambda_Q^0 0_A \qquad z_P + \ell z_Q = \lambda_Q^1 z_P$

$$\bullet e_{T,\ell}(P,Q) = \frac{\lambda_P^1}{\lambda_P^0}.$$

- Let $P \in G_2 = A[\ell] \bigcap \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_q [q])$ and $Q \in G_1 = A[\ell] \bigcap \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_q 1)$; $\lambda \equiv q \mod \ell$.
- In projective coordinates, we have $\pi_q^d(P+Q) = \lambda^d P + Q = P + Q$;
- Of course, in affine coordinates, $\pi_q^d(z_{P+Q}) \neq \lambda^d z_P + z_Q$.
- But if $\pi_q(z_{P+Q}) = C*(\lambda z_P + z_Q)$, then C is exactly the (non reduced) ate pairing (up to a renormalisation)!

Algorithm (Computing the ate pairing)

Input
$$P \in G_2$$
, $Q \in G_1$;

- **1** Compute $z_Q + \lambda z_P$, λz_P using differential additions;
- **②** Find the projective factors C_1 and C_0 such that $z_Q + \lambda z_P = C_1 * \pi(z_{P+Q})$ and $\lambda z_P = C_0 * \pi(z_P)$ respectively;

Return
$$(C_1/C_0)^{\frac{q^d-1}{\ell}}$$
.

Optimal ate pairing

- Let $\lambda = m\ell = \sum c_i q^i$ be a multiple of ℓ with small coefficients c_i . $(\ell \nmid m)$
- The pairing

$$a_{\lambda} \colon G_{2} \times G_{1} \longrightarrow \mu_{\ell}$$

$$(P,Q) \longmapsto \left(\prod_{i} f_{c_{i},P}(Q)^{q^{i}} \prod_{i} \mathfrak{f}_{\sum_{j>i} c_{j} q^{j}, c_{i} q^{i}, P}(Q) \right)^{(q^{d}-1)/\ell}$$

is non degenerate when $m dq^{d-1} \not\equiv (q^d - 1)/r \sum_i i c_i q^{i-1} \mod \ell$.

- Since $\varphi_d(q) = 0 \mod \ell$ we look at powers $q, q^2, ..., q^{\varphi(d)-1}$.
- We can expect to find λ such that $c_i \approx \ell^{1/\varphi(d)}$.

Optimal ate pairing with theta functions

Algorithm (Computing the optimal ate pairing)

Input
$$\pi_q(P) = [q]P$$
, $\pi_q(Q) = Q$, $\lambda = m\ell = \sum c_i q^i$;

- **①** Compute the $z_Q + c_i z_P$ and $c_i z_P$;
- **2** Apply Frobeniuses to obtain the $z_Q + c_i q^i z_P$, $c_i q^i z_P$;
- **Ompute** $c_i q^i z_P \oplus \sum_j c_j q^j z_P$ (up to a constant) and then do a three way addition to compute $z_Q + c_i q^i z_P + \sum_j c_j q^j z_P$ (up to the same constant);
- **Q** Recurse until we get $\lambda z_P = C_0 * z_P$ and $z_Q + \lambda z_P = C_1 * z_Q$;

Return
$$(C_1/C_0)^{\frac{q^d-1}{\ell}}$$
.

One step of the pairing computation

Algorithm (A step of the Miller loop with differential additions)

Input
$$nP = (x_n, z_n)$$
; $(n+1)P = (x_{n+1}, z_{n+1})$, $(n+1)P + Q = (x'_{n+1}, z'_{n+1})$.
Output $2nP = (x_{2n}, z_{2n})$; $(2n+1)P = (x_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1})$; $(2n+1)P + Q = (x'_{2n+1}, z'_{2n+1})$.

②
$$X_n = \alpha^2$$
; $X_{n+1} = \alpha(x_{n+1}^2 + z_{n+1}^2)$; $X'_{n+1} = \alpha(x'_{n+1}^2 + z'_{n+1}^2)$;

Return
$$(x_{2n}, z_{2n})$$
; (x_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}) ; (x'_{2n+1}, z'_{2n+1}) .

Weil and Tate pairing over \mathbb{F}_{q^d}

$$g = 1$$
 $4M + 2m + 8S + 3m_0$
 $g = 2$ $8M + 6m + 16S + 9m_0$

Tate pairing with theta coordinates, $P,Q \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ (one step)

Operations in \mathbb{F}_q : M: multiplication, S: square, m multiplication by a coordinate of P or Q, m_0 multiplication by a theta constant;

Mixed operations in \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{F}_{q^d} : M, m and m₀;

Operations in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} : **M**, **m** and **S**.

Remark

- Doubling step for a Miller loop with Edwards coordinates: $9M + 7S + 2m_0$;
- Just doubling a point in Mumford projective coordinates using the fastest algorithm [Lan05]: 33M+7S+1m₀;
- Asymptotically the final exponentiation is more expensive than Miller's loop, so the Weil's pairing is faster than the Tate's pairing!

Tate pairing

$$\begin{array}{ll} g = 1 & 1\mathbf{m} + 2\mathbf{S} + 2\mathbf{M} + 2M + 1m + 6S + 3m_0 \\ g = 2 & 3\mathbf{m} + 4\mathbf{S} + 4\mathbf{M} + 4M + 3m + 12S + 9m_0 \end{array}$$

Tate pairing with theta coordinates, $P \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q), Q \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ (one step)

		Mille	er	Theta coordinates
		Doubling	Addition	One step
g=1	d even d odd	1M+1S+1M $2M+2S+1M$	1 M + 1M 2 M + 1M	1M + 2S + 2M
g=2	Q degenerate + d even General case	1M + 1S + 3M 2M + 2S + 18M	1 M + 3M 2 M + 18M	3 M + 4 S + 4M

 $P \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q)$, $Q \in A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ (counting only operations in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}).

Ate and optimal ate pairings

$$g = 1$$
 $4M + 1m + 8S + 1m + 3m_0$
 $g = 2$ $8M + 3m + 16S + 3m + 9m_0$

At pairing with theta coordinates, $P \in G_2$, $Q \in G_1$ (one step)

Remark

Using affine Mumford coordinates in dimension 2, the hyperelliptic ate pairing costs [Gra+07]:

Doubling 1I + 29M + 9S + 7M

Addition 1I + 29M + 5S + 7M

(where I denotes the cost of an affine inversion in \mathbb{F}_{a^d}).

Bibliography



R. Granger, F. Hess, R. Oyono, N. Thériault, and F. Vercauteren. "Ate pairing on hyperelliptic curves". In: *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2007*. Vol. 4515. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. Berlin: Springer, 2007, pp. 430–447 (cit. on p. 32).



S. Lang. "Reciprocity and Correspondences". In: American Journal of Mathematics 80.2 (1958), pp. 431–440 (cit. on p. 14).



T. Lange. "Formulae for arithmetic on genus 2 hyperelliptic curves". In: Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing 15.5 (2005), pp. 295–328 (cit. on p. 30).



D. Lubicz and D. Robert. "Efficient pairing computation with theta functions". In: Algorithmic Number Theory. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 6197 (July 2010). Ed. by G. Hanrot, F. Morain, and E. Thomé. 9th International Symposium, Nancy, France, ANTS-IX, July 19-23, 2010, Proceedings. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-14518-6_21. Url: http://www.normalesup.org/probert/npg/publications/articles/pairings.pdf

http://www.normalesup.org/~robert/pro/publications/articles/pairings.pdf. Slides http://www.normalesup.org/~robert/publications/slides/2010-07-ants.pdf (cit. on p. 25).



D. Lubicz and D. Robert. "A generalisation of Miller's algorithm and applications to pairing computations on abelian varieties". Mar. 2013. Url: http://www.normalesup.org/~robert/pro/publications/articles/optimal.pdf. HAL: hal-00806923, eprint: 2013/192 (cit. on pp. 21, 22).