

# Duality and canonical modules

Rémy Oudompheng

Spring School in *Local Algebra* — April 1st, 2009

## 1 Duality functors

### 1.1 The case of vector spaces

Let  $k$  be a field, and  $\text{Vect}_k$  be the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $k$ . Write  $D$  for the functor  $V \mapsto V^*$ , where  $V^*$  denotes the linear dual  $\text{Hom}_k(V, k)$  of  $V$ .

The we have the following properties:

- $D(D(V))$  is isomorphic to  $V$  in a functorial way (we say that  $D \circ D$  and  $\text{id}$  are isomorphic as functors)
- if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, then so is  $0 \rightarrow D(C) \rightarrow D(B) \rightarrow D(A) \rightarrow 0$
- $\text{Hom}(D(V), D(W))$  and  $\text{Hom}(W, V)$  are canonically isomorphic (the isomorphism is called *transposition*).

**Definition.** A functor  $D$  having these properties is called a *dualising functor*.

### 1.2 Naïve duality for modules over algebras

Denote by  $M \rightarrow M^*$  the naïve duality functor for  $A$ -modules of finite type,  $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, A)$ . Then we only have the following properties:

- if  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact, then  $0 \rightarrow C^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow A^*$  is exact;
- the natural transformation  $M \rightarrow M^{**}$  can be neither injective nor surjective;
- transposition  $\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N^*, M^*)$  is no longer injective nor surjective.

However, it is sometimes true that the naïve duality functor shares properties of  $D$ . For example, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , remember that any  $A$ -module of finite type can be written as a direct sum  $M = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$  where  $i \leq n$ . Now observe that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  (if  $f$  is such a morphism,  $f(1)$  is  $p^{n-k}$  times some element  $a$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ , and we say  $f = f_a$ ).

Moreover, given a morphism  $g : \mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  ( $l \geq k$ ), and  $f_a : \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , the induced morphism  $g \circ f_a$  takes 1 to  $ag(1)p^{n-k} = ag(1)p^{l-k}p^{n-l}$ . So the transpose morphism  $g^* : \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$  is multiplication by  $g(1)p^{l-k}$ . Thus transposition acts as an involution.

When  $M \rightarrow M^*$  is a dualising functor, we say the ring  $A$  has the *Gorenstein property*.

*Example.* The rings  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^n)$  have the Gorenstein property.

Now let  $A$  be the ring  $k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$ , and  $M$  be the  $A$ -module  $k \simeq A/(x, y)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \simeq k^2$ , and  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_A(M, A), A) \simeq k^4$ , which is not isomorphic to  $k$ .

The following property says that dualising functors nevertheless have a very simple form.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $D$  be a dualizing functor on the category of finitely generated modules over  $A$ . For any module  $M$  of finite type,  $D(M)$  is given by the formula

$$D(M) = \text{Hom}_A(M, D(A))$$

Proof. This is because  $D(M) = \text{Hom}_A(A, D(M)) = \text{Hom}_A(D(D(M)), D(A))$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** If  $D = \text{Hom}_A(\bullet, \Delta)$  is a dualising functor, we say  $\Delta = D(A)$  is a *dualising module*.

### 1.3 Lifting duality over fields to algebras

There are simple cases where morphisms of vector spaces correspond to morphisms of modules. Let  $\iota : k \rightarrow A$  be the inclusion of the field  $k$  in a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . There are canonical functors

$$\Gamma_* : \mathfrak{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k \quad \Gamma^* : \text{Vect}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{Mod}_A$$

defined by  $\Gamma_*(M) = M$  and  $\Gamma^*(V) = V \otimes_k A$ .

**Proposition 2.** These functors have the *adjunction* property :

$$\text{Hom}_k(V, \Gamma_* M) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(\Gamma^* V, M)$$

But we are not interested in linear maps from vector spaces to modules, but in  $\text{Hom}_k(M, k)$ , which has all properties required for a dualising functor.

**Conjecture 3.** Is there a functor  $\Gamma^!$  having the adjunction property:

$$\text{Hom}_k(\Gamma_* M, V) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M, \Gamma^! V) ?$$

Since all vector spaces are direct sums of copies of  $k$ , we are looking for a  $A$ -module  $\omega_A = \Gamma^!(k)$  having the property

$$\text{Hom}_k(M, k) \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A).$$

**Proposition 4.** The only  $A$ -module giving the adjunction property is  $\omega_A = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$ .

Grothendieck's duality theory looks for functors  $\Gamma^!$  in more general settings. In general, the definition of  $\Gamma^!$  is **complicated**.

## 2 Local rings of dimension zero

### 2.1 Injective modules over a $k$ -algebra

Here,  $A$  is an arbitrary  $k$ -algebra, for some field  $k$ .

**Definition** (Injective module). An injective  $A$ -module is a module  $I$  such that for any injective map of modules  $M \rightarrow N$ , any map  $M \rightarrow I$  can be extended to a map  $N \rightarrow I$ .

**Lemma 5.** A dualising module is necessarily injective.

Proof. Remember that the dualising functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A)$  has to be exact: for any injection  $M \rightarrow N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_A(N, \omega_A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A)$  is a surjective map.

This exactly means that any map  $M \rightarrow \omega_A$  can be extended to a map  $N \rightarrow \omega_A$  along an injective  $M \rightarrow N$ .  $\square$

Arbitrary modules can be embedded into injective modules:

**Proposition 6.** Any  $A$ -module is contained in an injective module.

Proof. Notice that  $\text{Hom}_k(A, M)$  is a  $A$ -module containing  $M$ , and that a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $f : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(A, M)$  is equivalent to a morphism of vector spaces  $f' : V \rightarrow M$  (set  $f'(v) = f(v)(1)$ , and given  $f'$ , set  $f(v)(a) = f'(av)$ ).

Of course, morphism of vector spaces do extend along injections, so  $\text{Hom}_k(A, M)$  is injective.  $\square$

We now turn to the important notion of *injective hull*, which allows to define the dualising module. This notion arise naturally from the following fact.

**Lemma 7.** A dualising module for  $A$  contains  $k$  as a submodule. More generally, if  $M$  is a simple  $A$ -module, then any dualising module contains  $M$ .

Proof. This is because  $\text{Hom}_A(k, \omega_A)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A)$ ) is nonzero, and because such maps are always injective.  $\square$

**Definition.** An injective hull for a  $A$ -module  $M$  is an injective module  $I_M$  containing  $M$  such that any submodule  $J \subset I_M$  intersects  $M$  (i.e.  $I_M$  is an *essential extension*).

**Theorem 8.** *Injective hulls exist and are unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose  $I_M$  and  $J_M$  exist and are injective hulls for  $M$ . Then the injection  $M \rightarrow J_M$  extends to  $I_M \rightarrow J_M$ . This morphism is injective (otherwise some element of  $M$  would be in the kernel), so the identity  $I_M \rightarrow I_M$  can be extended to a morphism  $J_M \rightarrow I_M$  with is a retraction:  $J_M = I_M \oplus K$  for some  $K$ , and  $K$  does not intersect  $M$ , so  $I_M = J_M$ .

Now let  $M \subset J_M$  be an inclusion of  $M$  in an injective  $A$ -module. By Zorn's lemma there exists some maximal essential extension  $M \subset I_M \subset J_M$  (the limit of a chain of essential extensions is again essential). Let  $N$  be a maximal submodule of  $J_M$  not intersecting  $M$  (equivalently, not intersecting  $I_M$ ). Then  $J_M/N$  is an essential extension of  $M$ , since if it were not, there would be  $N'/N$  not intersecting  $M$  nor  $I_M$ , contradicting the maximality of  $N$ .

Not if  $X$  has a morphism to  $I_M$ , it can be extended to  $Y \rightarrow J_M \rightarrow I_M$ , so  $I_M$  is injective itself, and an essential extension.  $\square$

## 2.2 The dualising module

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring, with residue field  $k$ .

**Definition.** The *top* (or *fibre*) of a  $A$ -module  $M$  is the quotient  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ , which is a  $k$ -vector space. The *socle* of  $M$  is the maximal submodule annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $M$ . It is again a  $k$ -vector space.

**Proposition 9.** Suppose  $A$  is a finite-dimensional graded local  $k$ -algebra. Let  $D$  denote the dualising functor  $M \mapsto \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$ . If  $M$  is a finite-dimensional graded vector space, the socle of  $M$  naturally corresponds to the top of  $D(M)$ .

It follows from the previous section that dualising modules need be injective modules containing simple  $A$ -modules (which are only  $k$  if  $A$  is a local ring).

We will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 10.** Suppose  $A$  is a zero-dimensional local ring, with residue field  $k$ . A module  $\omega_A$  defines a duality functor  $\text{Hom}(\bullet, \omega_A)$  if and only if  $\omega_A$  is isomorphic to the injective hull of  $k$  in the category of  $A$ -modules of (finite length).

In particular, if  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra which is finite-dimensional, the dualising module  $\omega_A$  is  $\text{Hom}_k(A, k)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $D$  is a dualising functor. We already know that  $D(M) = \text{Hom}(M, \omega_A)$  and that  $\omega_A$  is injective. Since  $A$  has dimension zero, for any nonzero module  $M$ , there is a last nonzero  $\mathfrak{m}^k M$ , which is contained in the socle of  $M$ .

Now let  $M$  be any nonzero submodule of  $\omega_A$ : then  $M$  has a nonzero socle, which is a  $k$ -vector space, and the inclusion of  $M$  in  $\omega_A$  defines morphisms from  $k^r$  to  $k \subset \omega_A$ . Since  $D(k) = \text{Hom}_A(k, \omega_A)$  is a  $k$ -vector space and  $\text{Hom}(D(k), k) \subset \text{Hom}(D(k), \omega_A) \simeq k$ ,  $D(k) = k$ , and  $M \subset \omega_A$  maps the socle of  $M$  onto  $k$ .

It follows that  $\omega_A$  is an essential extension of  $k$ , hence its injective hull.

Conversely, if  $\omega_A$  is the injective hull of  $k$ , we show that  $D(M) = \text{Hom}(M, \omega_A)$  is a dualizing functor. First remark that  $D$  is exact, since  $\omega_A$  is injective, and that  $\text{Hom}(k, \omega_A) = k$ , since  $\omega_A$  is an essential extension of  $k$ . Then  $D$  has the duality property on  $k$ -vector spaces.

For general  $M$ , there exists a finite filtration

$$M \supset \mathfrak{m}M \supset \mathfrak{m}^2M \supset \dots$$

with  $k$ -vector spaces as graded components. By exactness,

$$D^2(M) \supset D^2(\mathfrak{m}M) \supset D^2(\mathfrak{m}^2M) \supset \dots$$

is also a decreasing filtration, and the morphism  $X \rightarrow D^2(X)$  is an isomorphism on the graded components of these filtrations, and this implies by *dévissage* that  $M \rightarrow D^2(M)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

## 2.3 Functoriality property

**Theorem 11.** Let  $A$  be a zero-dimensional local ring, and let  $f : A \leftarrow B$  be an finite type local morphism, where  $B$  is a local ring. If  $I_B$  is the injective hull of  $k_B$ ,

$$\omega_A = \text{Hom}_B(A, I_B)$$

Proof. Recall that there is a bijective correspondance between  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(A, I_B))$  and  $f_1 \in \text{Hom}_B(M, I_B)$ . For given some  $f$ , the formula  $f_1(m) = f(m)(1)$  is  $B$ -linear since  $f_1(bm) = f(bm)(1) = f(m)(b) = bf(m)(1)$ . The identity  $f(am)(x) = f(m)(ax)$  proves that  $f(m)(a) = f_1(am)$ , so  $f$  is determined by  $f_1$ .

It follows that  $\text{Hom}_B(A, I_B)$  is injective as a  $A$ -module, and contains  $k_A \simeq \text{Hom}_B(k_A, k_B) \subset \text{Hom}_B(k_A, I_B)$  (use the fact that  $k_A$  is finite-dimensional).

Let  $M$  be a  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_B(A, I_B)$ . Then  $M$  has a nonzero socle  $S$ , and  $S$  consists of morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow I_B$  such that  $f(mx) = 0$  for  $m \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ , thus  $mf(x) = 0$  for  $m \in \mathfrak{m}_B$ . So  $f$  is actually a morphism from  $k_A$  to the  $B$ -socle of  $I_B$ , which is  $k_B$ , so it intersects non-trivially  $k_A \simeq \text{Hom}_B(k_A, k_B)$ .

Hence we are looking at the injective hull of  $k_A$ , which is  $\omega_A$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 The residue map

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a local zero-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Hom}_k(A, k)$  has a canonical map

$$f \in \omega_A \mapsto f(1) \in k$$

which is  $A$ -linear, since  $(af)(1) = f(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}f(1)$  where  $\bar{a}$  is the residue of  $a$  in  $k$ .

This map is called the *residue map*.

In the case of zero-dimensional quotients of polynomial rings, this is easily understood as the traditional residue map. Let  $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$  be a power series ring, with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and define  $K_R$  to be the  $R$ -module  $k((x_1, \dots, x_r)) / \mathfrak{m}$  whose all elements have torsion.

**Theorem 12** (Thm 21.6 in Eisenbud). *Given an ideal  $I$  defining a zero-dimensional quotient  $R/I$ , the submodule  $K_{R/I}$  of  $K_R$  annihilated by  $I$  ( $K_{R/I} = \text{Hom}_R(R/I, K_R)$ ) is isomorphic to  $\omega_{R/I}$ . This defined a bijection between quotients  $R/I$  and finite type submodules of  $K_R$ .*

Proof. It is obvious that  $K_{R/I}$  contains  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  as a submodule, so  $K_{R/I}$  is a  $(R/I)$ -module containing  $k$ . It is easy to see that  $K_R$ , hence  $K_{R/I}$ , is an essential extension of  $k$ .

To see that  $K_{R/I}$  is injective, let  $p$  be a large integer such that  $\mathfrak{m}^p$  contains  $I$ . Then  $K_{R/\mathfrak{m}^p}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_k(R/\mathfrak{m}^p, k)$  (by  $\kappa \mapsto (f \mapsto (f\kappa)_0)$ ) and is injective. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(N/M, K_{R/I}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(N, K_{R/I}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, K_{R/I}) \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(N/M, K_{R/\mathfrak{m}^p}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(N, K_{R/\mathfrak{m}^p}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, K_{R/\mathfrak{m}^p}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative, and since the second line is exact, the first one is also exact.  $\square$

This gives a classical interpretation of the dualising module as residues : if  $A = k[z]/(z^{n+1})$  is the ring of Taylor expansions

$$f = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n + o(z^{n+1})$$

then  $\omega_A \simeq \text{Hom}(A, k((z))/zk[[z]])$  is the  $A$ -module of differential forms

$$\omega = \left( \frac{b_n}{z^n} + \dots + \frac{b_1}{z^2} + b_0 + o(1) \right) \times \frac{dz}{z}$$

and the pairing  $A \otimes \omega_A \rightarrow k$  is given by

$$(f, \omega) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C f(z)\omega = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_i$$

which is a non-degenerate bilinear form.

## A Appendix: injective modules over arbitrary rings

We study first the case of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

**Proposition 13.** Abelian divisible groups are injective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

Proof. Let  $I$  be an abelian divisible group, and  $M \rightarrow I$  a morphism of abelian groups, and  $N$  an abelian group containing  $M$ . Let  $f_0 : M \rightarrow I$ , and construct morphisms  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow I$  in the following way: let  $n$  be an element of  $N - M_i$ , and  $M_{i+1} = M_i + \mathbb{Z}n$ . Then if  $k$  is the smallest integer such that  $kn \in M_i$ , choose  $f_{i+1}(n)$  such that  $kf_{i+1}(n) = f_i(kn)$ , and  $f_{i+1}(m) = f_i(m)$  for  $m \in M_i$ .

By transfinite induction (Zorn's lemma), this defines a morphism  $N \rightarrow I$ , for some transfinite ordinal  $i$ .  $\square$

Now this can be used as a basis for the more general case.

**Proposition 14.** If  $A$  does not contain a field, it is still true that any  $A$ -module is contained in an injective module.

Proof. Let  $I$  be the quotient *as abelian groups* of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(M)}$  by the relations  $e_m + e_{m'} = e_{m+m'}$ . Then  $I$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, because it is divisible.

Now  $I_M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, I)$  contains  $M$  as a  $A$ -module, where  $m$  is identified with  $a \rightarrow e_{am}$ . Since for any  $A$ -module  $N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_A(N, I_M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, I)$ , morphisms to  $I_M$  extend along any injective morphism.  $\square$

## References

- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.