

Step 2 | If $F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded continuous, set $B = (B_s; 0 \leq s \leq 1)$

$$\mathbb{E}[F(B) | |B_1| \leq \varepsilon] = \frac{1}{P(|B_1| \leq \varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}[F(b_s + s B_1; 0 \leq s \leq 1) \mathbb{1}_{|B_1| \leq \varepsilon}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{P(|B_1| \leq \varepsilon)} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} p_1(x) dx \mathbb{E}[F(b_s + s x; 0 \leq s \leq 1)]$$

where $p_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$ is the density of B_1 .

Hence

$$|\mathbb{E}[F(B) | |B_1| \leq \varepsilon] - \mathbb{E}[F(b)]| \leq \frac{1}{P(|B_1| \leq \varepsilon)} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} p_1(x) dx |\mathbb{E}[F(b_s + s x; 0 \leq s \leq 1)] - \mathbb{E}[F(b)]|.$$

But by dominated convergence,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(b_s + s x; 0 \leq s \leq 1)] \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[F(b)].$$

This implies the desired result. \square

Recall that $E = \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \text{ continuous}\}$. In the sequel, we let $b = (b_s; 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ be a random variable having the law of the Brownian bridge $B = (B_s; 0 \leq s \leq 1)$ Brownian motion.
(= BM)

We will use the following result (consequence of the definition of BM).

Markov property of BM For every $0 \leq s < 1$, $(B_{s+t} - B_s; 0 \leq t \leq 1-s)$ is independent of $(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s)$ and has the same law as $(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1-s)$. In particular, we can write

where $(\tilde{B}_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1-s)$ is \perp of $(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s)$ and has the same law as $(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1-s)$.

$$B_{s+t} = B_s + \tilde{B}_t$$

Lemma For every $0 \leq s < 1$ and every $F: \mathcal{B}([0, s], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is bounded and continuous, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(b_t; 0 \leq t \leq s)] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \frac{p_{1-s}(-B_s)}{p_1(0)}\right],$$

where p_t is the density of B_t , i.e. of a $N(0, t)$ random variable.

If $(\tilde{b}_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is another random continuous function such that $(**)$ holds for every $0 \leq s < 1$, then $(\tilde{b}_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1) \stackrel{(**)}{=} (b_t; 0 \leq t \leq 1)$

Proof: Write, using the Markov property and the notation \tilde{B} introduced above:

$$\mathbb{E}[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) | |B_s| \leq \varepsilon] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(|B_s| \leq \varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}\left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \mathbb{1}_{\{|B_s + \tilde{B}_{1-s}| \leq \varepsilon\}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(|B_s| \leq \varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}\left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{B}_{1-s} \in [-B_s - \varepsilon, -B_s + \varepsilon]\}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} p_1(x) dx} \mathbb{E}\left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \int_{-B_s - \varepsilon}^{-B_s + \varepsilon} p_{1-s}(x) dx\right]$$

But by continuity of P_{\pm} , $\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} P_{\pm}(x) dx \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P_{\pm}(0)$

Similarly, $\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-B_s-\epsilon}^{-B_s+\epsilon} P_{1-s}(x) dx \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} P_{1-s}(-B_s)$

By dominated convergence, we get that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \mid |B_1| \leq \epsilon] \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \cdot \frac{P_{1-s}(-B_s)}{P(0)}\right]$$

$$\downarrow \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[F(b_t; 0 \leq t \leq s)]$$

The second assertion follows from the fact that (*) characterizes the finite dimensional distributions on $[0, 1]$, and by continuity

Remark: Since $B_t \stackrel{(d)}{=} N(0, t)$, we have $\frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}} \stackrel{(d)}{=} N(0, 1)$, so that $P_{\pm}(x) = \mathbb{P}(B_s(x \pm \sqrt{s}))$ at 1. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$.

Proposition We have $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid W_n = -1)$ $\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)}$ b .

Proof Step 0 Fix $0 < s < 1$. We show convergence on $[0, s]$. For simplicity, assume that $ns \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $F: \mathcal{B}([0, s], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded, continuous, and write

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq s\right) \mid W_n = -1\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq s\right) \frac{q_{n-ns}(-1-W_{ns})}{q_n(-1)}\right]$$

where $q_r(k) = \mathbb{P}(W_r = k)$.

By the Skorokhod embedding thm (see below), we may assume that almost surely, $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq s\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s)$.

Then, by the local limit theorem,

1) $\exists c > 0$ such that a.s. $\frac{q_{n-ns}(-1-W_{ns})}{q_n(-1)} \leq c \quad \forall n \geq 1$

$$2) a.s, \frac{Q_{n-ns}(-1-W_{ns})}{Q_n(-1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{-1} \left(-\frac{B_s}{\sqrt{1-s}} \right)}{\sqrt{1-s} P_1(0)} = \frac{P_{1-s}(-B_s)}{P_1(0)}$$

Hence, by Dominated Convergence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[F \left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq s \right) \mid W_n = -1 \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[F(B_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \cdot \frac{P_{1-s}(B_s)}{P_1(0)} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[F(b_t; 0 \leq t \leq s) \right]$$

Step 1 | Convergence of finite dimensional distributions.
 → this follows from Step 0

Step 2 | Tightness

Recall that by time-reversal, $\hat{S}^{(n)} = (S_n - S_{n-1}, \dots, S_1)$
 $\stackrel{(a)}{=} (S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n)$

Hence we have that

$$\text{under } \{W_n = -1\}, \begin{cases} W^{1,(n)} = \left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} (b_t; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}) \\ W^{2,(n)} = \left(\frac{W_{n(1-t)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (\tilde{b}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}) \end{cases}$$

Hence $(W^{1,(n)})_n$ is tight and $(W^{2,(n)})_n$ is tight.

It is then possible to deduce that $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right)_{n \geq 1}$ is tight (exercise).

Thm (Skorokhod Embedding) let $(X_n), X \in E$ be such that \square
 $X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} X$. Then there exists a new $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ and \tilde{X}_n, \tilde{X}
 all defined on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ such that $\bullet \forall n \geq 1, X_n \stackrel{(d)}{=} \tilde{X}_n \bullet X \stackrel{(d)}{=} \tilde{X}$
 and $\tilde{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s} \tilde{X}$.

3) The Brownian excursion

If $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, set

$t_* = \inf \{t \geq 0; f(t) = \inf_{[0,1]} f\}$ and define Vf , the Vershik transform of f by

$$Vf(t) = \begin{cases} f(t+t_*) - f(t_*) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1-t_* \\ f(t+t_*-1) - f(t_*) & \text{if } t_* < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Definition For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, set $e(t) = Vb(t)$

e is called the Brownian excursion. \uparrow Brownian bridge

Lemma (exercise) If $f \in C$, $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and

$|\{t \geq 0; f(t) = \inf_{[0,1]} f\}| = 1$, we say that f

attains its minimum at a unique time. In this case, V is continuous at f .

Lemma (exercise) A.s. b attains its minimum at a unique time.

Idea: This is true for BM (Markov property) and hence for the B. Bridge by absolute continuity.

We can now show:

Prop (Conditioned Donsker's Theorem). Recall that $S_1 = \inf \{i \geq 1; W_i = -1\}$

Under $B(\cdot | S_1 = n)$, $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} e$

Proof By the preceding remarks and the proposition,

Under $B(\cdot | W_n = -1)$, $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} V(b) = e$

But we saw that under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | W_n = 1) \sqrt{\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | Z_1 = n)$

4) Proof of the main Theorem

Recall that $(H_n(T_n))$ is the height function of T_n

① $W_n(T_n)$ — Lukasiewicz path of —

② $(W_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a random walk, (H_n) its associated height function.

Goal: $\left(\frac{H_{nt}(T_n)}{\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(a)} \frac{z}{\sigma} \in \mathbb{E}$.

We saw a long time ago that

$(W_0(T_n), \dots, W_n(T_n)), (H_0(T_n), \dots, H_{n-1}(T_n))$

$\stackrel{(a)}{=} (W_0, \dots, W_n), (H_0, \dots, H_n)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | Z_1 = n)$.

In particular, by Donsker's conditioned theorem, we have

Under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | Z_1 = n)$, $\left(\frac{W_{nt}}{\sqrt{n}}; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(a)} \sigma \cdot \mathbb{E}$

It is therefore enough to show that

$A_n = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{W_{nt}}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{H_{nt}}{\sqrt{n}} \right| > n^{3/8} \mid Z_1 = n\right) = o_e(n)$.

But we know that $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = n) \sim \frac{c}{n^{3/2}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $A_n \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{W_{nt}}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{H_{nt}}{\sqrt{n}} \right| > n^{3/8}\right)}{\mathbb{P}(Z_1 = n)} \stackrel{(a)}{=} o_e(n)$ (by last time)

$= o_e(n)$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 1 $\frac{\text{Height}(T_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \frac{2}{\sigma} \max \mathcal{E}$

(2) Let W_n be a uniform vertex of T_n . Then

$$\frac{|W_n|}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \frac{2}{\sigma} \mathcal{E}_U, \text{ where } U \text{ is uniform on } [0,1], \perp \mathcal{E}.$$

NB it is possible to show that $\mathbb{P}(2 \mathcal{E}_U \geq x) = e^{-x^2/2}$.

5) The Brownian tree

For $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ set $d_{\mathcal{E}}(s, t) = \mathcal{E}_s + \mathcal{E}_t - 2 \min_{[\min(s,t), \max(s,t)]} \mathcal{E}$
and set $s \sim t$ if $d_{\mathcal{E}}(s, t) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} = [0,1] / \sim$ be the associated quotient metric space.

It is possible to show that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a compact "tree like" metric space, and with the previous shown results, that

$$\frac{T_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \frac{2}{\sigma} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

for a certain topology on compact metric spaces (called the Gromov-Hausdorff topology).