Dynamical Allocation of Cellular Resources as an Optimal Control Problem: Novel Insights into Microbial Growth Strategies

<u>Nils Giordano</u>^{1,2}, Francis Mairet³, Jean-Luc Gouzé³, Johannes Geiselmann^{1,2}, Hidde de Jong¹

¹INRIA Grenoble - Rhône-Alpes, project-team Ibis ²LiPhy, Joseph Fourier University, team BIOP ³INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis Méditerranée, project-team Biocore

> SeMoVi October, 21th 2015

MICROBIAL GROWTH





Source picture: NIAID

MOLECULAR COMPOSITION OF A MICROORGANISM



Molenaar et al, MSB 2009 ; from data in Gausing, JMB 1977

Optimal control (dynamic)

DO MICROORGANISMS LIVE IN CONSTANT ENVIRONMENTS?



Mostly, not.

Savageau (1998), Am. Natural., 122(6):732-44 Felix Andrews, CC BY-SA 3.0

OUR PROJECT: A DYNAMICAL PERSPECTIVE ON GROWTH CONTROL STRATEGIES

- Is considering balanced-growth a critical assumption to understand growth control strategies?
- Can we gain additional information by extending growth rate studies to dynamical environments?

Tools:

- ► A simple model of resource allocation
- Optimal control theory
- Fluorescent reporters of gene expression (experiments)

Self-replicator model of resource allocation



Two biochemical (macro)reactions:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Metabolism:} & S & \xrightarrow{V_M} & P \\ \text{Macromolecule synthesis:} & P & \xrightarrow{V_R} & \alpha R + (1 - \alpha)M \end{array}$

TWO-DIMENSIONAL DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

Volume:
$$Vol = \beta(M + R) \Rightarrow Growth rate: \mu = \beta \frac{V_R}{Vol} = \beta v_R$$

Michaelis-Menten kinetics $\Rightarrow v_R = \frac{k_R \cdot p}{K_R + p} \cdot r$

Model with concentration variables (dimensionless):

Precursors:
$$\frac{d\hat{p}}{d\hat{t}} = E_M \cdot (1-\hat{r}) - \frac{\hat{p}}{K+\hat{p}} \cdot \hat{r} \cdot (1+\hat{p})$$

GEM: $\frac{d\hat{r}}{d\hat{t}} = \frac{\hat{p}}{K+\hat{p}} \cdot \hat{r} \cdot (\alpha - \hat{r})$

MODEL PREDICTS THE STEADY-STATE GROWTH LAWS



Giordano et al, in preparation; from data in Scott et al, Science, 2010

ALTERNATIVE CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR OPTIMAL RESOURCE ALLOCATION



The two strategies are equivalent for steady-state growth!

WHAT IF WE OPTIMIZE DURING A GROWTH TRANSITION?

New objective: maximize biomass during a transition (upshift at t = 0)

$$J(\alpha) = \int_0^\tau \mu(t, \hat{p}, \hat{r}, \alpha) \, dt$$

Optimal solution: bang-bang-singular regulatory strategy



PERFORMANCE OF CONTROL STRATEGIES DURING GROWTH TRANSITION



Control strategies are no longer equivalent in dynamic environment.

AND IF WE CAN MEASURE SEVERAL VARIABLES?



IS A STRATEGY MEASURING TWO VARIABLES BETTER?



DOES THE STRATEGY CORRESPOND TO ACTUAL REGULATORY MECHANISMS?

If we take a model of the ppGpp regulatory system in *E. coli* (Bosdriesz *et al*, 2015)...



... we obtain a likely candidate.

Optimal control (dynamic)

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION: OBSERVING THE DYNAMICS OF α IN BACTERIAL CELLS



CONCLUSION

- Is considering balanced-growth a critical assumption to understand growth control strategies?
 - Yes, because strategies are equivalent at steady state
- Can we gain additional information by extending growth rate studies to dynamical environments?
 - Yes, because they become distinguishable in dynamic conditions
 - Complex strategies are beneficial during growth transitions
 - The widespread ppGpp system might actually be a simple way for the cell to gain information on several variables

PERSPECTIVE

- Can we observe experimentally an oscillatory pattern of ribosome synthesis during transitions?
- ► Is there a fundamental relation between environment dynamics and complexity of regulations?
- Can we apply this approach to maximize industrial production yields?

