

ON THE CHABAUTY SPACE OF LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

YVES CORNULIER

ABSTRACT. This paper contains several results about the Chabauty space of a general locally compact abelian group. Notably, we determine its topological dimension, we characterize when it is totally disconnected or connected; we characterize isolated points.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. The set $\mathcal{F}(X)$ of closed subsets can be endowed with the *Chabauty topology*, which makes it a compact Hausdorff space. For this topology, a net (Y_i) converges to Y if and only if $(Y_i \cup \{\infty\})$ converges to $Y \cup \{\infty\}$ in the Hausdorff topology of the one-point compactification of X . When X is second countable, $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is metrizable. See details in §2.1. If G is a locally compact group, the set $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of closed subgroups of G is closed in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and therefore is compact Hausdorff as well.

Introduced by Chabauty in [Cha], the Chabauty topology has been studied in [HP, Pro, PT, PT2, FG1, FG2, BHK, Klo, Ha], and more specifically for discrete groups in [Gri, Chm, CGP, CGPab]. The fine study of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is subtle even for apparently simple examples. While it is readily seen that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ is homeomorphic to a segment, a tricky argument due to Hubbard and Pourezza [HP] shows that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is homeomorphic to the 4-sphere. For $n \geq 3$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is known to be singular (i.e. not a topological manifold even with boundary) but Kloeckner [Klo] showed that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ has a natural “stratification” which in particular makes it a simply connected and locally contractible space; however $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ has not yet unveiled all its mysteries and for instance it is still unknown whether it can be triangulated. Besides, Haettel [Ha] gave a full description of the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z})$, showing in particular that it is path-connected but not locally connected, and has uncountable fundamental group.

Our first result, which underlies the proof of all others, is the continuity of the orthogonal map in Pontryagin duality. Let G be an *LCA-group* (by this acronym we mean a locally compact abelian group). Under the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets, the abelian group $G^\vee = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$

Date: April 18, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22B05; Secondary 20E15, 43A25, 54D05, 54F45.

is locally compact, and the main result in Pontryagin duality is that the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow (G^\vee)^\vee$ is a topological group isomorphism. In Bourbaki [Bou, Chap. II.2], Pontryagin duality is used to deduce fundamental results in the structure theory on LCA-groups. One of the results [Bou, Chap. II.1, no. 7] is that the orthogonal map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G^\vee) \\ H &\mapsto H^\perp = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) : \phi(H) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be an LCA-group. Then the orthogonal map $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G^\vee)$, mapping $H \subset G$ to its orthogonal H^\perp , is a homeomorphism.*

We refer to this result as *Pontryagin-Chabauty duality*, and we develop consequences on the general structure of the space $\mathcal{S}(G)$. The next theorem deals with the topological (or covering) dimension, which is a (possibly infinite) integer number $\text{tdim}(X)$ associated to any topological space X , invariant under homeomorphism, and for which $\text{tdim}(\mathbf{R}^n) = n$ for all n . See §2.2 for details.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 8.7). *If G is any LCA-group, then the topological (covering) dimension of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is given by*

$$\text{tdim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee),$$

where $0\infty = \infty 0 = 0$. In particular, if $G = \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}^m$ then

$$\text{tdim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) = (d + \ell)(d + m).$$

Several characterizations of $\text{tdim}(G)$ and $\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$ are recalled in §2.8. Theorem 1.2 is based on the special case

$$\text{tdim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)) = d^2,$$

which holds because it has a decomposition into finitely many orbits under the action of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbf{R})$, each of which is a manifold of dimension at most d^2 , and one of which is exactly d^2 -dimensional, namely the set of lattices (see Proposition 8.2).

If G is an LCA-group, from classical theory it can be written as $\mathbf{R}^k \times M$ so that M has a compact open subgroup; the finite number $R(G) = k$ is uniquely defined (see §2.6). The following results bring out a dichotomy between the case $R(G) = 0$ (i.e. G is compact-by-discrete) and the case $R(G) \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.3 (Section 7). *Let G be an LCA-group with $R(G) \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected. If moreover G is a Lie group and is compactly generated (i.e. G/G_0 is finitely generated, where G_0 is the unit component), then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is path-connected.*

The connectedness statement was obtained in [PT2] (see the discussion in Section 7). Nevertheless, Proposition 7.5 exhibits countable discrete abelian groups A such that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times A)$ is not path-connected.

Example 1.4. By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, if G is an LCA-group, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is both connected and one-dimensional if and only if $G \simeq \mathbf{R} \times H$, where H is profinite-by-(discrete torsion). In many cases, like $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}_p$, it also follows from Theorem 7.4 that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is path-connected. It would be interesting to have a closer look into $\mathcal{S}(G)$ for those examples.

If X is compact Hausdorff space, we define $\pi_0(X)$ as the quotient of X by its partition by connected components. By [BouT, II.4.4, Proposition 7], $\pi_0(X)$ is compact, Hausdorff and totally disconnected. Section 6 studies LCA-groups G with $R(G) = 0$ and more precisely the connected components of $\mathcal{S}(G)$. For such groups, it is readily observed that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is not connected unless $G = \{0\}$ (this is for instance a particular case of a result in [PT2] pertaining to non-abelian locally compact groups). Our results yield in particular

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be an LCA-group with $R(G) = 0$. Then*

- $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is infinite if and only if G is infinite;
- every connected component of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is homeomorphic to a compact group; if G is a compactly generated Lie group, these components are tori.
- $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected if and only if G is either totally disconnected or elliptic (i.e. is the union of its compact subgroups).

Also, Theorem 6.9 gives a structure result for LCA-groups for which $\mathcal{S}(G)$ has countably many connected components. We extract from it

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be an LCA-group which is neither discrete nor compact. Then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ has (at most) countably many connected components if and only if one of the following three conditions holds*

- $G = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \times \mathbf{Z}_m \times \mathbf{C}_{n^\infty} \times F$, where F is finite, $\ell, m, n \geq 1$, ℓ is prime with mn ;
- $G = K \times D \times F$ with D torsion-free discrete, K compact connected, F finite, and $\mathcal{S}(D)$ and $\mathcal{S}(K)$ are countable;
- $R(G) \geq 1$.

Note that in the first case G is both elliptic and totally disconnected so $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable itself, while in the second case $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is not totally disconnected by Theorem 1.5. Note that the case when G is discrete (characterization of abelian groups with countably many subgroups) is done in [Boy] (see Proposition 6.8) and the compact case follows by Pontryagin duality. Note that the proof of Theorem 1.6 involves an intrinsic characterization of finite direct products of p -adic groups \mathbf{Q}_p (see Lemma 6.10).

The next result concerns isolated points. When G is a discrete abelian group, a necessary and sufficient condition for a subgroup H to be isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is that H is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\{1\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G/H)$ (see for instance [CGPab]). However when G is not assumed discrete, this condition obviously remains necessary but is not sufficient: for instance \mathbf{Z} is not isolated in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$.

The characterization of isolated points goes as follows (we refer to §2.4 for the definition of Artinian and adic groups)

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be an LCA-group and H a closed subgroup. Then H is an isolated point in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ if and only if we are in one of the two (dual to each other) cases*

- (1) $H \simeq A \times P$, $G/H \simeq D$, A finitely generated abelian, P adic, D Artinian (so G is totally disconnected);
- (2) $H \simeq P$, $G/H \simeq T \times D$, P adic, T torus, D Artinian (so G is elliptic).

In [CGPab], the study was pursued to a complete description of the homeomorphism type of $\mathcal{S}(G)$, when G is a countable discrete abelian group. It would be interesting to generalize this to second countable, totally disconnected LCA-groups. Among those groups, those for which this question is nontrivial have a very special form: they have to lie in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \times P \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

with A , P , D as in Theorem 1.7(1), since if G is not of this form, by the same theorem, $\mathcal{S}(G)$ has no isolated point and therefore is a Cantor space.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. The Chabauty topology	5
2.2. Dimension of topological spaces	5
2.3. Scattered spaces	6
2.4. Subclasses of groups	6
2.5. On Pontryagin duality	7
2.6. The invariant R	7
2.7. On connected groups	8
2.8. Dimension of LCA-groups	8
3. Pontryagin-Chabauty duality	9
4. Isolated points	11
5. Some natural maps	12
6. Groups with $R = 0$: study of connected components	13
7. Connectedness when $R \geq 1$	18
8. Dimension	22
References	24

Acknowledgements. I thank P. de la Harpe, B. Kloeckner and R. Tessera for useful comments. I also thank the referee, notably for mentioning the book

[Eng] which led to a substantial simplification of computation of the dimension of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section recalls definitions and basic results used throughout the paper.

2.1. The Chabauty topology. Recall that if X is a locally compact space, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the set of closed subsets of X . This set has several natural topologies. The one of interest for us is called the *Chabauty topology* (or *compact topology*), and is compact Hausdorff. It appears in an exercise by Bourbaki [BouI, Chap. 8, §5] and was reintroduced by Narens [Nar] in the context and language of non-standard analysis and its description in standard terms was then provided by Wattenberg [Wat]. Given a compact subset $K \subset X$ and open subsets $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset X$, define

$$\Omega(K; U_1, \dots, U_k) = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(X) : F \cap K = \emptyset; \forall i, F \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\};$$

these set form the basis of the Chabauty topology on $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

The reader can prove as a simple exercise the following characterization of converging nets in $\mathcal{F}(X)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For a net (F_i) of closed subsets of X and $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, we have equivalences*

- $F_i \rightarrow F$ in the Chabauty topology;
- for every compact subset K and any open subsets U_1, \dots, U_k with $F \cap K = \emptyset$ and $F \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ for each j , we have eventually $F_i \cap K = \emptyset$ and $F_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ for each j ;
- for every $x \in F$ and every neighbourhood V of x , we eventually have $F_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and for every $x \in X - F$ there exists a neighbourhood V of x such that eventually $F_i \cap V = \emptyset$.

From the latter characterization it is straightforward that if $X = G$ is a locally compact group, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is closed in $\mathcal{F}(X)$; in this specific case and under countability assumptions, this topology was introduced by Chabauty [Cha].

2.2. Dimension of topological spaces. We recall briefly several notions of dimension. For details see [HW]. Let X be a topological space. If $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of X , define its *degree* as

$$\deg(\mathcal{U}) = \sup \left\{ n : \exists J \subset I, \#J = n, \bigcap_{i \in J} U_i \neq \emptyset \right\},$$

and the *topological dimension* (or *covering dimension*) $\text{tdim}(X)$ of X is defined as the smallest n such that every open covering of X can be refined to an open covering with degree $\leq n + 1$ (by convention $\text{tdim}(\emptyset) = -1$). This dimension is well-behaved in many respects, for instance $\text{tdim}(\mathbf{R}^n) = n$.

The inductive dimension $\text{indim}(X)$ of a topological space X is defined inductively as follows: $\text{indim}(X) = -1$ if and only if $X = \emptyset$; otherwise $\text{indim}(X) \leq n$ if and only if every $x \in X$ has a basis of closed neighbourhoods (V_i) such that for each i , the boundary of V_i in X has inductive dimension $\leq n - 1$.

These dimensions are related. Let us state, for later reference

Proposition 2.2.

- (1) *By a theorem of Urysohn (see [HW]), if X is a separable metrizable space, then $\text{indim}(X) = \text{tdim}(X)$. This applies in particular to $X = \mathcal{S}(G)$ when G is a second countable locally compact group.*
- (2) *By a theorem of Pasynkov [Pas], if G is a locally compact group, then $\text{indim}(G) = \text{tdim}(G)$.*
- (3) *A theorem of Aleksandrov (see [Isb]) states that if X is any compact Hausdorff space then $\text{tdim}(X) \leq \text{indim}(X)$; this is an equality when X is metrizable by (1) but not for general compact Hausdorff spaces [Vop].*
- (4) *The topological dimension of an inverse limit of Hausdorff compact spaces of topological dimension $\leq k$ is also $\leq k$ [Kat].*

2.3. Scattered spaces. A topological space is *scattered* if every non-empty subset has an isolated point, or equivalently if every non-empty *closed* subset has an isolated point. Every countable compact Hausdorff space is scattered, as a consequence of the Baire Category Theorem.

2.4. Subclasses of groups. Recall that, for $n \geq 2$, the *Prüfer group* \mathbf{C}_{n^∞} is defined as the inductive limit of the groups $\mathbf{C}_{n^k} = \mathbf{Z}/n^k\mathbf{Z}$; in particular $\mathbf{C}_{n^\infty} \simeq \mathbf{Z}[1/n]/\mathbf{Z}$ and is the direct product of \mathbf{C}_{p^∞} where p ranges over *distinct* prime divisors of n . Similarly, the ring \mathbf{Z}_n of *n-adic* numbers is defined as the projective limit of the groups $\mathbf{Z}/n^k\mathbf{Z}$ and is the product of \mathbf{Z}_p where p ranges over *distinct* prime divisors of n . Also, \mathbf{Q}_n denotes the product of p -adic fields \mathbf{Q}_p when p ranges over *distinct* divisors of n , and we call a group *local* if it is isomorphic to a finite direct product of \mathbf{Q}_p (distinct or not).

Recall that a discrete abelian group is *artinian* if it satisfies the descending condition on subgroups (no infinite decreasing chain), or equivalently is a finite direct product of Prüfer groups and finite groups. We say here that an LCA-group is *adic* if it is a finite direct product of finite groups and groups of the form \mathbf{Z}_n for some (non-fixed) n .

We call *torus* a group of the form $\mathbf{R}^k/\mathbf{Z}^k$.

An abelian A (without topology) is *divisible* if the map $g \mapsto ng$ is surjective for all $n \geq 1$. This is equivalent to the fact that whenever A is a subgroup of an abelian group B , it has a direct factor in B . An LCA-group is *divisible* if the underlying discrete group is divisible. A connected LCA-group is always divisible; conversely a compact divisible LCA-group is connected.

An LCA-group G is *elliptic* if any element belongs to a compact subgroup; it actually implies that any compact subset belongs to a compact subgroup. It is

the same as compact-by-(discrete torsion). The largest elliptic subgroup of an LCA-group is a closed subgroup, denoted by E_G .

If G is a compact group and I an abstract set, the full direct product G^I is still a compact group. If G is a discrete group, the restricted direct product $G^{(I)}$ is defined as the set of finitely supported functions $I \rightarrow G$, and is endowed with the discrete topology.

A compact group is always a filtering projective limit of compact Lie groups. (We only use this fact in the abelian case, where it is a plain consequence of Pontryagin duality.)

2.5. On Pontryagin duality. The following tables shows various groups or classes of LCA-groups, in correspondence by Pontryagin duality. See [Bou, Chap. II.2] for details.

G	G^\vee
\mathbf{R}	\mathbf{R}
\mathbf{Q}_p	\mathbf{Q}_p
$\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$	$\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$
\mathbf{Z}	\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}
\mathbf{Z}_p	\mathbf{C}_{p^∞}

class \mathcal{C}	\mathcal{C}^\vee
discrete	compact
Lie	compactly generated
totally disconnected	elliptic
discrete torsion-free	connected compact
discrete torsion	profinite
discrete artinian	adic
discrete finitely generated	torus-by-finite

2.6. The invariant R . Let G be an LCA-group. Define

$$R(G) = \sup\{k \mid \mathbf{R}^k \text{ is isomorphic to a direct factor of } G\}.$$

The following result is contained in [Bou, II.2, Proposition 3(i)]

Proposition 2.3. *Every LCA-group G is isomorphic to the direct product of \mathbf{R}^k and a compact-by-discrete group.*

If G is a compactly generated abelian Lie group, then it is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m \times F$ with F finite and k, ℓ, m non-negative integers.

From this, we immediately derive the following results.

Lemma 2.4.

- If G_1 is an open subgroup of G_2 , then $R(G_1) = R(G_2)$.
- If K is a compact subgroup of G then $R(G/K) = R(G)$.
- For every LCA-group, $R(G) < \infty$.
- We have $R(G) = 0$ if and only if G is compact-by-discrete.
- $R(G) = R(G^\vee)$.

2.7. On connected groups.

Lemma 2.5. *If a connected compact LCA-group G is non-trivial, then it contains a nontrivial path.*

Proof. As G^\vee is a non-trivial discrete torsion-free abelian group (see §2.5), we have $\text{Hom}(G^\vee, \mathbf{R}) \neq 0$. By Pontryagin duality, $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}, G)$ is non-trivial as well. In particular, G contains non-trivial paths. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let D be a torsion-free discrete abelian group and Q a connected compact abelian group. If both D and Q are non-zero, then $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$ is a non-trivial compact connected group.*

Proof. We have a non-zero element f in $\text{Hom}(D, \mathbf{R})$ and by Pontryagin duality, we can find a non-zero g in $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}, Q)$ as well. By composing g by λf for suitable $\lambda > 0$, we get a non-trivial element in $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$.

As D is a direct limit of groups of the form \mathbf{Z}^k , $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$ is a projective limit of groups of the form $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^k, Q) = Q^k$ which are compact and connected. Therefore $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$ is compact and connected as well. \square

2.8. Dimension of LCA-groups. We deal here with the topological dimension because we need to use Proposition 2.2(4), but by (2) of the same proposition, it coincides for locally compact groups with the inductive dimension. The following lemma is a particular case of [Dix, Theorem 5]. Recall that all homomorphisms are assumed to be continuous.

Lemma 2.7. *If G is an LCA-group, H a closed subgroup and $f : H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a homomorphism, then f can be extended to a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.*

Proof. Let K be a compact subgroup of G such that G/K is a Lie group whose unit component is isomorphic to \mathbf{R}^k . Working in G/K if necessary, we can suppose $K = 0$. Let H_1 be the inverse image in G of the torsion in G/H . Then f has a unique extension to H_1 ; in restriction to $H_1 \cap G_0$ this extension is continuous as it essentially consists in extending a homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{Z}^m$ to $\mathbf{R}^{\ell+m}$. Now there exists a direct factor of $H_1 \cap G_0$ in G_0 , so f extends to $H + G_0$, and finally by injectivity of the \mathbf{Z} -module \mathbf{R} , we can extend f to all of G . \square

Lemma 2.8. *The following (possibly infinite) numbers are equal*

- *The supremum of k such that there exists a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ such that the closure of the image is cocompact*
- *The supremum of k such that \mathbf{Z}^k embeds discretely into G .*

Proof. Suppose that $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is a homomorphism whose image has cocompact closure. Then the image contains a basis, hence a lattice, which lifts to a discrete subgroup of G isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}^k .

Suppose that \mathbf{Z}^k embeds discretely into G . Consider the embedding of \mathbf{Z}^k in \mathbf{R}^k as a lattice. By Lemma 2.7, this can be extended to a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ whose image has cocompact closure. \square

Lemma 2.9. *The following (possibly infinite) numbers are equal*

- *The topological dimension $\text{tdim}(G)$;*
- *The supremum of k such that there exists a homomorphism $\mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow G$ with discrete kernel;*
- *The supremum of k such that $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^k$ is a quotient of G .*

Proof. Denote by a, b, c the corresponding numbers. By Lemma 2.8 and Pontryagin duality, $b = c$. Clearly $a \geq b$.

Let us prove $a \leq c$. The three numbers are invariant if we replace G by an open subgroup, so we suppose G/G_0 compact. Therefore we can write $G = \mathbf{R}^\ell \times K$ with K compact, and we write K as a filtering projective limit of compact Lie groups K_i . If $c = c(G) = k + \ell < \infty$, then $c(K_i) \leq k$ for all i , so K_i is a compact Lie group of dimension at most k , hence of topological dimension at most k . By Proposition 2.2(4), K has dimension at most k , so G has dimension at most $k + \ell$. \square

By Pontryagin duality again, we get

Corollary 2.10. *The numbers in Lemma 2.8 are also equal to the topological dimension of G^\vee .*

We will also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be an LCA-group and $k = R(G)$. There exist abstract sets I, J , a compact subgroup K in G and an open subgroup H containing K , such that*

- *H/K is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^{(I)} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^J \times \mathbf{R}^k$,*
- *$\dim(G) = \dim(H/K) = k + \#J$, and*
- *$\dim(G^\vee) = \dim((H/K)^\vee) = k + \#I$.*

(Here the cardinal of an infinite set is just ∞ .)

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.3, we can suppose G has a compact open subgroup M . Consider a maximal free subgroup H/M in the discrete group G/M . Obviously $\dim(G) = \dim(H)$, and since G/H is torsion, it follows from Corollary 2.10 that $\dim(G^\vee) = \dim(H^\vee)$. Apply this to find, by duality, a closed group K of M such that $(M/K)^\vee$ is maximal free abelian, so $\dim(H) = \dim(H/K)$ and $\dim(H^\vee) = \dim((H/K)^\vee)$. Now M/K is connected, hence divisible, and open in H/K , so has a direct factor. So H/K is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^{(I)} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^J$ for some sets I, J . \square

3. PONTRYAGIN-CHABAUTY DUALITY

Theorem 1.1 is stated in [Pro], and the short and elementary proof given therein consists in an elegant reduction to the case of a Euclidean space, but the latter case is only considered there as “easily verified”. Also, continuity of the orthogonal map in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is asserted in [Klo, Section 2.4]. We here give a detailed proof of this not-so-obvious fact.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space. The orthogonal map $\mathcal{S}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V^\vee)$, is a homeomorphism.*

We need a few preliminary results.

Lemma 3.2. *Let W be a closed subgroup of V and Γ a lattice in W . Let (W_n) be a sequence of closed subgroups of V such that $W_n \rightarrow W$. Then there exists $A_n \in \text{GL}(V)$ with $A_n \rightarrow 1$ and $\Gamma \subset A_n W_n$.*

Proof. We can suppose $V = \mathbf{R}^d$ with canonical basis (e_1, \dots) , $W = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \{0\}^{d-k-\ell}$, $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}^{k+\ell} \times \{0\}^{d-k-\ell}$.

We can pick, for $i \leq k + \ell$, $e_i^{(n)} \in W_n$ so that $e_i^{(n)} \rightarrow e_i$ for all i . Consider the linear map B_n mapping each e_i to $e_i^{(n)}$ (agree $e_i^{(n)} = e_i$ for $i > k + \ell$). Then $B_n \rightarrow 1$, so eventually B_n has an inverse A_n , and $\Gamma \subset A_n W_n$. \square

When V is a finite-dimensional real vector space, there is a canonical identification between the (ordinary) dual V^* and the Pontryagin dual V^\vee , given by composition of a linear mapping $V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by the natural projection $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. Then if W a closed subgroup of V , W^\perp corresponds under this identification to

$$\{L \in V^* \mid \forall v \in W, \langle v, L \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

which is called, when W is a lattice, the *dual lattice*. If $A \in \text{GL}(V)$, then it is immediate that $(AW)^\perp = (A^t)^{-1}W^\perp$, where $A^t \in \text{GL}(V^*)$ is the adjoint map of A .

Lemma 3.3. *Let W be a closed subgroup of V and Γ a lattice in W . Let W_n be a sequence of closed subgroups of V such that $W_n \rightarrow W$. Suppose that W_n eventually contains Γ . Then $W_n \cap W^0 \rightarrow W^0$ (the unit component of W).*

Proof. Let $w \in W^0$. Then there exists a sequence $w_n \in W_n$ with $w_n \rightarrow w$. Set $R = d(W^0, W - W^0)$. We can suppose $d(w_n, W^0) \leq 2R/3$ for all n . If $w_n \notin W^0$ for infinitely many n 's, we can find a positive integer m_n such that $d(m_n w_n, W^0) = m_n d(w_n, W^0) \in [R/3, 2R/3]$. Now we can find $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ such that the sequence $(m_n w_n - \gamma_n)$ is bounded. By assumption it belongs to W_n , and $d(m_n w_n - \gamma_n, W^0) \in [R/3, 2R/3]$. So it has a cluster value x with $d(x, W^0) \in [R/3, 2R/3]$, so $x \notin W$, but $W_n \rightarrow W$ forces $x \in W$, a contradiction, i.e. $w_n \in W^0$ for large n . \square

Proposition 3.4. *There exists a constant C_d with the following property. Whenever Γ is a discrete subgroup of \mathbf{R}^d with shortest vector of length $\geq R$, then Γ^\vee is ε -dense, with $\varepsilon = C_d/R$.*

Proof. We can embed Γ into a lattice having the same shortest vector. This reduces to the classical case of lattices, due to Mahler [Mah] (an elementary approach provides C_d with an exponential upper bound with respect to d ; nevertheless a linear bound on C_d can be obtained [Ban]). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We identify $V = \mathbf{R}^d$. Suppose H_n tends to $H = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \{0\}^m$ ($k+l+m=d$) and let us prove that H_n^\vee tends to $\{0\}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m$. Taking subsequences if necessary, we can suppose these sequences converge. Clearly the limit W of H_n^\vee is “ \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} -orthogonal” to H and therefore W is contained in $\{0\}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m$.

In view of Lemma 3.2, we can suppose that H_n contains $\mathbf{Z}^{k+\ell} \times \{0\}^m$. (Indeed, $(A_n H_n)$ tends to H and $(A_n H_n)^\wedge = (A_n^t)^{-1} H_n^\wedge$ tends to W .) We then claim that the orthogonal projection of H_n onto $\{0\}^{k+\ell} \times \mathbf{R}^m$ is a discrete subgroup Γ_n of systole (shortest vector) tending to infinity. Indeed, if H_n contains an element of the form (x_n, y_n, z_n) with (z_n) bounded and nonzero for infinitely many n 's, we can first multiply these elements by integers so that $\|z_n\| \geq 1$ for infinitely many n 's, and then by translating by elements of $\mathbf{Z}^{k+\ell} \times \{0\}^m$, which is contained in H_n by assumption, we can suppose x_n and y_n are bounded. Then at the limit, we obtain in H an element whose third coordinate is nonzero, a contradiction. Thus $H_n \subset \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \Gamma_n$, and the systole of Γ_n tends to infinity. So

$$H_n^\wedge \supset \{0\}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \Gamma_n^\wedge.$$

By Proposition 3.4, Γ_n^\wedge tends to \mathbf{R}^m . So $W \supset \{0\}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m$ and we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is explained in [Pro] how Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1; we do not repeat the full argument here, but the reader can complete the proof as follows:

- Show that the class \mathcal{C} of LCA-groups G for which the orthogonal map $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G^\vee)$ is continuous, is stable under taking
 - closed subgroups;
 - Pontryagin dual;
 - direct limits (namely if every open compactly generated subgroup of G is in \mathcal{C} then G is in \mathcal{C}).
- Check that the smallest class of (isomorphism classes of) LCA-groups containing \mathbf{R}^d for all d and closed under the three operations above is the class of all LCA-groups. \square

4. ISOLATED POINTS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 4.1. *The subgroup $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ if and only if $G \simeq T \times D$, with $T \simeq (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^k$ a torus, and D discrete Artinian.*

Proof. Suppose $\{0\}$ isolated. Then G does not contain any discrete copy of \mathbf{Z} , so is elliptic. Let U be a compact open subgroup. Then $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(U)$, so U^\vee is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(U^\vee)$. As U^\vee is discrete, this means that U^\vee is finitely generated (see [CGP]), so replacing U by a finite index subgroup, we can suppose that U is a torus. As U is open and divisible, it has a direct factor D in G , which

is discrete. As $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(D)$ as well, we deduce from [CGP, Lemma 4.1] that D is Artinian.

Conversely, let us assume that T is a torus, D is Artinian, $G = T \times D$, and let us prove that $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$. If D is finite, then the Pontryagin dual is a finitely generated discrete abelian group, so G^\vee is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G^\vee)$, so $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$. In general, if D_{prime} is the subgroup of D generated by elements of prime order, it is easy to check that $T \times D_{\text{prime}} - \{0\}$ is a discriminating subset of $T \times D$, that is, every non-trivial subgroup of $T \times D$ has non-trivial intersection with $T \times D_{\text{prime}}$. Therefore since D_{prime} is finite, this reduces to the case when D is finite and we are done. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The subgroup G is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ if and only if $G \simeq A \times P$, with A a finitely generated abelian group, and P an adic group.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 4.1 by Pontryagin-Chabauty duality. \square

In the discrete setting, a necessary and sufficient condition for a subgroup H to be isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is that H be isolated in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\{0\}$ be isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G/H)$. In the locally compact setting, this does not hold any longer, although there is essentially a unique obstruction, given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *The subgroup $\mathbf{Z} \times \{0\}$ is not isolated in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. It is part of the continuous family of subgroups $\langle(1, t)\rangle$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Suppose that H is isolated. So H is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G/H)$. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we deduce that $H \simeq A \times P$, $G/H \simeq T \times D$, with A finitely generated abelian, P adic, T torus, D Artinian. Assume that simultaneously A is infinite and T is non-trivial. Then we can embed $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ into $\mathcal{S}(G)$, mapping \mathbf{Z} to H . But \mathbf{Z} is not isolated in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ by Lemma 4.3, so H is not isolated.

Conversely, assume that $H \simeq A \times P$, $G/H \simeq D$, A finitely generated abelian, P adic, D Artinian. Suppose L is close enough to H . As H is clopen, this means that $L \cap H$ is close to H , and as H is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ by Lemma 4.2, this implies that L contains H . Now using that $\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathcal{S}(G/H)$, we deduce that $L = H$, so H is isolated. The second case is equivalent by Pontryagin-Chabauty duality. \square

5. SOME NATURAL MAPS

Let G be an LCA-group. If Ω is an open subgroup, then the map $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$ mapping H to $H \cap \Omega$ is obviously continuous and surjective, and we refer to it as the natural map $i_\Omega : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega)$.

By duality, if K is a compact subgroup, then the projection map $p_K : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G/K)$ mapping H to $(H + K)/K$, is continuous and surjective. (When Ω is not open or K non-compact, these maps often fail to be continuous, see [PT] for a discussion.)

Note that if $K \subset \Omega$, the maps i_Ω and p_K commute in an obvious way, and the composition map $\rho_{\Omega,K} : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega/K)$ maps H to $((H + K) \cap \Omega)/K = ((H \cap \Omega) + K)/K$.

If $K' \subset K \subset \Omega \subset \Omega'$, then obviously

$$\rho_{\Omega,K}^G = \rho_{\Omega/K',K/K'}^{\Omega'/K'} \circ \rho_{\Omega',K'}^G,$$

where we write $\rho_{\Omega,K}^G$ for $\rho_{\Omega,K}$ in order to mention G . Therefore, if we have a net (K_i, Ω_i) , filtering in the sense that if $j \geq i$ then $K_j \subset K_i \subset \Omega_i \subset \Omega_j$, we obtain a natural map

$$\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{S}(\Omega_i/K_i)$$

This map has dense image, hence is surjective. It is injective provided $\bigcap K_i = \{0\}$ and $\bigcup \Omega_i = G$, in which case it is a homeomorphism.

We can play another game with these maps. Consider the diagonal map

$$i_\Omega \times p_K : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{S}(G/K).$$

This map need not be surjective. It is interesting because its fibers have a very special form.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be an LCA-group, K a compact subgroup, Ω an open subgroup containing K . Consider the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{S}(G/K) \\ H &\mapsto (H \cap \Omega, (H + K)/K) \end{aligned}$$

as above. Then the fiber of $(R, M/K)$ is either empty, or homeomorphic to the compact group

$$\text{Hom}((M + \Omega)/\Omega, K/(K \cap R))$$

(in particular it is homogeneous).

Proof. If $H \cap \Omega = R$ is assumed, then obviously $H + K = M$ is equivalent to $H + K + R = M$. So we can suppose that $R = 0$ without changing the statement. Also, we can suppose that $M = G$. So we have to prove that the set \mathcal{F} of subgroups H with $H \cap \Omega = \{0\}$ and $H + K = G$ is either empty or homeomorphic to $\text{Hom}(G/\Omega, K)$. Suppose there is at least one such group V . Then since $K \subset \Omega$ we get $G = V \oplus K = V \oplus \Omega$, so $K = \Omega$ (in the original group this means that $\Omega \cap M = K + R$ when the fiber is non-empty) and V is isomorphic to G/Ω . We see that $H \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if it is the graph of a homomorphism $V \rightarrow K$. So \mathcal{F} is homeomorphic to $\text{Hom}(G/\Omega, K)$. \square

6. GROUPS WITH $R = 0$: STUDY OF CONNECTED COMPONENTS

In this section and the next one, we study connectedness of $\mathcal{S}(G)$. The study of path-connectedness and local connectedness of G itself was done by Dixmier [Dix] and seems to be, to a large extent, fairly unrelated.

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be an LCA-group. If G is either discrete or compact, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected.*

Proof. If G is discrete, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is a closed subset of 2^G and is therefore totally disconnected. By duality, we deduce the same result if G is compact. \square

Proposition 6.2. *If $R(G) = 0$ and G is infinite, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ has infinitely many connected components.*

Proof. If G is discrete, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected and infinite and we are done.

Assume G non-discrete. By Lemma 2.4, there exists a compact open subgroup M in G . The natural map (see Section 5) $i_M : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M)$ is continuous and surjective. Since M is compact and infinite, its Pontryagin dual is discrete and infinite (see §2.5), so $\mathcal{S}(M^\vee)$ is infinite and totally disconnected, and is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{S}(M)$ by Pontryagin-Chabauty duality (Theorem 1.1). \square

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that G is either elliptic, or totally disconnected. Then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected.*

Proof. Let K be a compact open subgroup. Proposition 5.1 provides a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}(G)$ to $\mathcal{S}(K) \times \mathcal{S}(G/K)$, which is totally disconnected by Lemma 6.1. Therefore any connected subset of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is contained in a fiber of this map, and by Proposition 5.1 again, any such nonempty fiber is homeomorphic to $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$ for some subgroup D of G/K and some quotient Q of K . If G is totally disconnected, so is Q , and therefore $\text{Hom}(D, Q)$ is totally disconnected, so $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected. By duality, the same conclusion holds if G is elliptic. \square

Recall that E_G denotes the elliptic subgroup of an LCA-group G .

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be an LCA-group with $R(G) = 0$. For any $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, set $N = H + G_0$ and $L = H \cap E_G$. Then the connected component of H in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ consists of the subgroups H' such that $H' + G_0 = N$ and $H' \cap E_G = L$, and is homeomorphic to the compact group*

$$\text{Hom}((N + E_G)/E_G, G_0/(G_0 \cap L)).$$

Proof. Set $K = G_0$, $\Omega = E_G$. Since $R(G) = 0$, K is compact and Ω is open. Consider the continuous map $i_\Omega \times p_K : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(E_G) \times \mathcal{S}(G/G_0)$. By Proposition 5.1, the nonempty fibers are homeomorphic to the compact group

$$\text{Hom}((N + E_G)/E_G, G_0/(G_0 \cap L)).$$

By Lemma 6.3, the target space $\mathcal{S}(E_G) \times \mathcal{S}(G/G_0)$ is totally disconnected, and by Lemma 2.6 the fibers are connected. The theorem follows. \square

Corollary 6.5. *Let G be an LCA-group. Equivalences:*

- $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected;
- G is either elliptic or totally disconnected.

Proof. The reverse implication is Lemma 6.3. Conversely assume $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected. It is trivial (and a particular case of several already proved results) that this implies $R(G) = 0$. If G is not elliptic, it contains a closed subgroup H isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . Applying Theorem 6.4, we obtain that the connected component of H in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is homeomorphic to G_0 , therefore is not reduced to a point unless G is totally disconnected. \square

Definition 6.6. A point x of a topological space X is

- *path-rigid* if its path-connected component is reduced to $\{x\}$;
- *rigid* if its connected component is reduced to $\{x\}$.

Plainly, rigid implies path-rigid. If $\{x\}$ is an intersection of clopen subsets, then x is rigid; the converse holds when X is compact, by [BouT, II.4.4, Proposition 6].

Let us denote by D_{tf} the quotient of the discrete group D by its torsion subgroup.

Corollary 6.7. *Let H be a closed subgroup of the LCA-group G . Equivalences:*

- (i) H is rigid in $\mathcal{S}(G)$;
- (ii) H is path-rigid in $\mathcal{S}(G)$;
- (iii) $R(G) = 0$, and either H is elliptic or G/H is totally disconnected.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) By Proposition 7.2, $R(G) = 0$, so we can apply the theorem, which says that the connected component of H is homeomorphic to a compact group. So we can apply Lemma 2.5.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). The condition $R(G) = 0$ follows from (iii) by definition, and from (i) by Proposition 7.2. So assuming $R(G) = 0$, the equivalence is obtained as follows. Set $N = H + G_0$ and $L = H \cap E_G$. By the theorem, the path component of H is homeomorphic to $\text{Hom}((N + E_G)/E_G, G_0/(G_0 \cap L))$. Since $(N + E_G)/E_G$ is a torsion-free discrete group and $G_0/(G_0 \cap L)$ is a connected compact group, by Lemma 2.6, the connected group $\text{Hom}((N + E_G)/E_G, G_0/(G_0 \cap L))$ is trivial if and only if either $(N + E_G)/E_G$ or $G_0/(G_0 \cap L)$ is trivial, or equivalently, either $N \subset E_G$ or $G_0 \subset L$, that is, either $H + G_0 \subset E_G$ or $G_0 \subset E_G \cap H$. Since $R(G) = 0$, we have $G_0 \subset E_G$, so the previous condition is equivalent to either $H \subset E_G$ or $G_0 \subset H$, which means that either H is elliptic or G/H is totally disconnected. \square

We now give a structure result for LCA-groups for which $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is countable, or equivalently scattered. We first recall the following classical proposition, which has reappeared several times in the literature.

Proposition 6.8. *Let G be an LCA-group.*

- (1) *If G is discrete, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable if and only if G lies in an extension*

$$1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1,$$

where Z is a finitely generated abelian group, and $A \simeq \mathbf{C}_{m^\infty}$ for some $m \geq 1$.

(2) If G is compact, then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable if and only if G lies in an extension

$$1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow G \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1,$$

where T is a compact Lie group and $P \simeq \mathbf{Z}_m$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Note that in the statement above, m is not assumed prime. As far as we know (1) was first proved by Boyer [Boy] and (2) immediately follows by Pontryagin duality.

Theorem 6.9. *Let G be a compact-by-discrete LCA-group. Equivalences:*

- (a) $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is scattered.
- (b) $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is countable.
- (c) G has a compact open subgroup M such that $\mathcal{S}(M)$ and $\mathcal{S}(G/M)$ are countable, and one of the following condition holds
 - (1) M is finite;
 - (2) G/M is finite;
 - (3) M is profinite and G/M is torsion;
 - (4) M is virtually connected and G/M has finite torsion.
- (d) One of the following condition holds
 - (1') G is discrete with countably many subgroups (see Proposition 6.8);
 - (2') G is compact with countably many subgroups (dual to the previous case);
 - (3') $G = \mathbf{Q}_\ell \times \mathbf{Z}_m \times \mathbf{C}_{n^\infty} \times F$, where F is finite, $\ell, m, n \geq 1$, ℓ is prime with mn .
 - (4') $G = K \times D \times F$ with D torsion-free discrete, K compact connected, F finite, and $\mathcal{S}(D)$ and $\mathcal{S}(K)$ are countable.

Note that in Cases (1),(2),(3), $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable itself. In (4), $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is not totally disconnected unless G is compact or discrete.

We need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 6.10. *Let G be an LCA-group with a compact open subgroup M . Suppose that G is divisible and torsion-free. Assume that M is adic and G/M is discrete artinian. Then G is local.*

Proof. Let S be the (finite) set of primes occurring in the canonical decomposition of M (as a product of pro- p -groups for distinct primes p). Since this decomposition is canonical, it does not depend on M and it follows that G also inherits such a decomposition. Hence we can reduce to the case when $S = \{p\}$. So M is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p^m for some m . So we have a continuous embedding $q : M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p^m$. Denote by i the inclusion of M into G . Since G is divisible as an abstract abelian group, there exists an abstract homomorphism $f : \mathbf{Q}_p^m$ such that $f \circ q = i$. Since the restriction of f to the open subgroup $q(M) = \mathbf{Z}_p^m$ is continuous, f is continuous as well. The kernel of f has trivial intersection with \mathbf{Z}_p^m , hence is trivial, that

is, f is injective. Besides, the image $f(\mathbf{Q}_p^m)$ contains M , hence is open, and is divisible. So it has a direct factor Γ in G , necessarily discrete. Now Γ is torsion-free as a subgroup of G , and artinian as a subgroup of G/M . So $\Gamma = \{0\}$. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Let G be an LCA-group with a compact open subgroup M . Assume that M is adic and G/M is discrete artinian. Then we can write G as $Q \times Z \times D \times F$ with Q local, Z torsion-free adic, D discrete artinian, and F finite.*

Proof. We can suppose that M is torsion-free. Let T be the torsion subgroup in G . Since $T \cap M = \{0\}$, we know that T is a discrete subgroup. Moreover T embeds into G/M , so T is Artinian. Let S be the divisible part of T , which has finite index in T . Since $T_d \cap M = \{0\}$, D has a direct factor G_1 in G containing M . Working similarly in the Pontryagin dual of G_1 , we write $G = Z \times D \times G_2$ where Z is torsion-free adic, and both G_2 and its Pontryagin dual have finite torsion. Since the Pontryagin dual of G_2 has finite torsion, the index $[G_2 : nG_2]$ is bounded independently of n . So the divisible part $\bigcap_n n!G_2$ of G_2 has finite index and we can write $G_2 = F \times G_3$ with F finite, G_3 divisible and torsion-free (and satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma). We have $G = Z \times D \times F \times G_3$. By Lemma 6.10, G_3 is local. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.9. • (b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from the general fact that a countable compact Hausdorff space is scattered (see §2.3).

- (c) \Rightarrow (b). First note that the result is clear if (1) or (2) holds, since then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable.

Consider the natural map (introduced in Section 5)

$$(6.1) \quad i_M \times p_M : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M) \times \mathcal{S}(G/M).$$

By Proposition 5.1, each non-empty fiber is of the form $\text{Hom}(N, Q)$ with $N \leq G/M$ and $Q \leq M$. If (3) holds, then Q has finite torsion Q_t and the divisible part N_d of N has finite index, so $\text{Hom}(N, Q) = \text{Hom}(N/N_d, Q_t)$ is finite. So the fibers are finite and $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is countable.

- (a) \Rightarrow (c). Suppose that $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is scattered and let M be a compact open subgroup of G . Then $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(M))$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{S}(G/M))$ are scattered as well, hence countable (Lemma 6.1). Moreover, for every fiber \mathcal{F} of the natural map (6.1), we have $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})$ scattered. By Proposition 5.1, these fibers are homeomorphic to compact groups. Thus $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})$ is both scattered and homeomorphic to a compact Lie group, so is finite, i.e. the fibers have finitely many components. Therefore for all closed subgroups $0 \leq L \leq M \leq N \leq G$, we have $\text{Hom}(N/M, M/L)$ virtually connected.
 - Suppose \mathbf{Z} embeds into G/M . Then taking N such that $N/M \simeq \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\text{Hom}(N/M, M) = M$ virtually connected.
 - In a dual way, if M is not profinite, that is, if \mathbf{Z} does not embed into M^\vee , then $(G/M)^\vee$ is virtually connected, that is, G/M has finite torsion.

Therefore one of the following holds

- M is finite (Case (1))
- M is infinite.
 - * G/M is not torsion. Then M is virtually connected; as it is infinite, it is not profinite, and hence G/M has finite torsion. This is Case (4).
 - * G/M is torsion. We again discuss.
 - M^\vee is not torsion. Then $(G/M)^\vee$ is virtually connected, so G/M has finite torsion, so is finite (Case (2)).
 - M^\vee is torsion, so M is profinite (Case (3)).
- (c) \Rightarrow (d). Under the assumption that $\mathcal{S}(M)$ and $\mathcal{S}(G/M)$ are countable, let us prove (i) \Rightarrow (i') for $i = 1 \dots 4$. For $i = 1, 2$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose (4). We can suppose that M is connected. Hence it is divisible, so has a direct factor H in G . Then H is discrete and finite-by-(torsion-free). So H^\vee is connected-by-finite, and again the connected component, by the same argument, has a direct factor. This means that H is the direct product of a finite group by a torsion-free group. Suppose (3). Since G/M is discrete torsion and $\mathcal{S}(G/M)$ is countable, G/M is artinian of the form $\mathbf{C}_{n^\infty} \times F_1$ with F_1 finite and n square-free [Boy]. Dually, G/M is adic of the form $\mathbf{Z}_m \times F_2$ with F_2 finite and m square-free. By Lemma 6.11, we can write $G = Q \times Z \times D \times F$ with Q local, Z torsion-free adic, D discrete artinian, and F finite. Because of the special form of M and G/M , We can write Q , Z and D in the desired form. \square

7. CONNECTEDNESS WHEN $R \geq 1$

In [PT2], Protasov and Tsybenko show that if $G = \{1\}$ is a locally compact group such that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected, then G contains a closed copy of \mathbf{R} . They show the converse holds for abelian groups; however their proof relies on the statement that for every locally compact group G , we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times G)$ is connected. This is not true (see Proposition 7.6); however their proof can probably be fixed when G is abelian; nevertheless their proof does not yield path-connectedness in the case of compactly generated Lie groups, as they typically show that two points are in the same connected component by showing the second one belongs to the closure of a path emanating from the first one.

Consider a locally compact group $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times H$ and L a closed subgroup of G . Denote by M the closure of the projection of L on H . We consider the automorphism $\tau_\lambda(x, h) = (\lambda x, h)$. Consider the path $\tau_{\lambda^{-1}}(L)$ for $\lambda \in [1, +\infty[$.

Lemma 7.1. *Consider a group $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times H$ as above, with $k \geq 1$, where the locally compact group H has a compact open subgroup (but need not be abelian). Let L be a closed subgroup of G . Denote by L_1 the closure of the projection of L*

on H . Then for some vector subspace W of \mathbf{R}^k , $\tau_{\lambda^{-1}}(L)$ tends to $W \times L_1$ when λ tends to $+\infty$.

Proof. Let M denote any accumulation point of $(\tau_{\lambda^{-1}}(L))$. It is straightforward that $\{0\} \times L_1 \subset M \subset \mathbf{R}^k \times L_1$.

Let us begin by the case when H is compact. Let W be the vector space generated by the projection of L on \mathbf{R}^k . Obviously $M \subset W \times L_1$. Take $v \in W$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $\lambda_i v$ is at bounded distance, say $\leq r$, of some element in the projection of L . That is, we can find (w_i, h_i) in L with $\|w_i - \lambda_i v\| \leq r$. So $\|\lambda_i^{-1} w_i - v\| \rightarrow 0$. So $\tau_{\lambda_i^{-1}}(w_i, h_i)$ accumulates to (v, h) for some $h \in L_1$, by compactness of H . Therefore, since we know that $\{0\} \times L_1$ is contained in M , we deduce that $(v, 0)$ is contained in M . Since v is arbitrary, $M = W \times L_1$.

In general, H has a compact open subgroup K , and by the above, if W is the vector space generated by the projection of $L \cap (\mathbf{R}^k \times K)$ on \mathbf{R}^k , then we obtain that $\tau_{\lambda^{-1}}(L) \cap (\mathbf{R}^k \times K) \rightarrow W \times (L_1 \cap K)$. As M has to be of the form $F \times L_1$ for some closed subgroup F , we deduce that $F = W$ and $\tau_{\lambda^{-1}}(L)$ tends to $W \times L_1$. \square

Proposition 7.2. *Let $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times H$ be a locally compact group, where H has a compact open subgroup. Let L be a closed subgroup of G and let L_1 be the closure of the projection of L on H . Then the path-connected component of L in $\mathcal{S}(G)$ contains $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k) \times \{L_1\}$. In particular, if $k \geq 1$, then L is not path-rigid (see Definition 6.6).*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 7.1, and path-connectedness of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k)$ (which is an easy exercise). \square

Define an LCA-group as *circular* if it is discrete and has an injective homomorphism into \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} (for instance, \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} with the discrete topology is circular). Define an LCA-group G to be *polycircular* if it has a composition series

$$\{0\} = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_n = G$$

such that each G_i/G_{i-1} is circular, and *metacircular* if it can be written as $\mathbf{R}^k \times H$, where H has a compact open subgroup M such that both H/M and M^\vee are polycircular. For instance, every compactly generated Lie group is metacircular. Also, \mathbf{Q}_p is metacircular, but the infinite direct product $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{\mathbf{Z}}$ is not.

Lemma 7.3. *Let H denote an LCA-group such that either H or H^\vee is circular. In $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times H)$, if $k \geq 1$, we can join $\{0\} \times H$ to $\{0\}$ by a path.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma for $k = 1$, since then we can join $\mathbf{R}^\ell \times H$ to $\mathbf{R}^{\ell-1} \times H$ by a path and concatenate all those paths.

- H has a continuous injection ψ to \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . Consider in $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \times H$ the graph of ψ (upside down). Let L be its inverse image in $\mathbf{R} \times H$. On $G = \mathbf{R} \times H$, consider the automorphism $\tau_\lambda(x, h) = (\lambda x, h)$.

Consider the path $\tau_\lambda(L)$ for $\lambda \in]0, +\infty[$. Clearly, when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, it tends to $\mathbf{R} \times H$. When λ tends to $+\infty$, we claim that it tends to $\{0\}$. Indeed consider $(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \infty$, and $(t_i, h_i) \rightarrow (t, h)$ with $(t_i, h_i) \in \tau_{\lambda_i}(L)$. This means that $(\lambda_i^{-1}t_i, h_i) \in L$. So $\psi(h_i) = \lambda_i^{-1}t_i$ in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . As $(t_i, h_i) \rightarrow (t, h)$ and $\lambda_i^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\psi(h_i) \rightarrow \psi(h)$ and $\lambda_i^{-1}t_i \rightarrow 0$. So $\psi(h) = 0$ in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . By injectivity of ψ , we deduce that $h = 0$. We obtain that in restriction to the clopen subset $\mathbf{R} \times (H - \{0\})$, the path $\tau_\lambda(L)$ tends to \emptyset when λ tends to $+\infty$. In restriction to $\mathbf{R} \times \{0\}$, this is constantly $\{0\}$. So the claim is proved.

- H^\vee is discrete and injects into \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . By Pontryagin-Chabauty duality, it amounts to join $\mathbf{R} \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{R} \times H^\vee$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times H^\vee)$. Using connectedness of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, we can join $\mathbf{R} \times \{0\}$ and $\{(0, 0)\}$, respectively $\{0\} \times H^\vee$ and $\mathbf{R} \times H^\vee$, so it is enough to join $\{(0, 0)\}$ and $\{0\} \times H^\vee$, which was done in the previous case. \square

Theorem 7.4. *If $R(G) \geq 1$ then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected. If moreover G is metacircular (e.g. if G is a compactly generated Lie group), then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is path-connected.*

Proof. First assume that G is metacircular. Set $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times H$ with $k \geq 1$ and $R(H) = 0$.

In view of Proposition 7.2, it is enough to show that every closed subgroup of the form $\{0\} \times M$, can be joined to $\{0\}$ by a path. We can find a composition series

$$\{0\} = M_0 \leq \dots \leq M_n = M$$

such that each M_n/M_{n+1} is either circular or the Pontryagin dual of a circular group. From Lemma 7.3, we can join $\mathbf{R} \times M_i$ to $\mathbf{R} \times M_{i-1}$ by a path. Combining, we join $\mathbf{R} \times M$ and $\mathbf{R} \times \{0\}$. This proves the second statement.

The first statement is deduced by the projective limit argument. First assume that G is a Lie group. Then M is the direct limit of its open compactly generated subgroups M_i , so $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times G_i)$, which are compact and connected. So $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected.

By Pontryagin duality, we deduce that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected when G is any compactly generated LCA-group with $R(G) \geq 1$ (see §2.5). We can reiterate a second time the projective limit argument to deduce that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is connected for any LCA-group with $R \geq 1$. \square

From Theorem 7.4, we see that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ can be path-connected even when G is not a compactly generated Lie group, for instance when $G = \mathbf{R} \times D$ with D a discrete group with an injection into \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} . However, path-connectedness may fail for some groups with $R \geq 1$, as the following example shows.

Proposition 7.5. *Let A be an infinite, discrete abelian group of uniform torsion. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times A)$ is not path-connected.*

Proof. Consider the natural map $\rho : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times A) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ ($\rho = i_{\mathbf{R} \times \{0\}}$ in the notation of Section 5). Identifying $\lambda\mathbf{Z}$ with λ^{-1} , $\{0\}$ with 0 and \mathbf{R} with ∞ , we can view ρ as a continuous map to $[0, \infty]$. We define maps $w, w' : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times A) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A)$ as follows: $w(H)$ is the projection of $H \subset \mathbf{R} \times A$ to A and $w'(H)$ is the intersection of H with $\{0\} \times A$, identified with A .

In restriction to $\rho^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)$, an easy compactness argument shows that the projection map w is continuous. Besides, in restriction to $\rho^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$, the intersection map w' is continuous: indeed if (H_i) tends to H , a priori $H_i \cap A$ could tend to something smaller than $H \cap A$. But if $(0, h) \in H \cap A$, it is approximable by elements (e_i, h_i) of H_i ; so $(de_i, 0)$ belongs to H_i (where $dA = 0$); unless e_i is eventually zero, this would imply that $\rho(H_i) \rightarrow \infty$, contradiction.

We claim that if A_0 and A_1 are subgroups of A , and if $\mathbf{R} \times A_0$ and $\mathbf{R} \times A_1$ can be joined by a path, then A_0 and A_1 are commensurable (the converse is an easy consequence of Theorem 7.4). Consider a path γ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times A)$ with $\gamma(i) = \mathbf{R} \times A_i$ ($i = 0, 1$). By compactness, we can find $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$ such that each $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is contained in either $\rho^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)$ or $\rho^{-1}([0, +\infty[)$. In the first case, $w(\gamma(t_i)) = w(\gamma(t_{i+1}))$ by continuity and connectedness, and because $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is totally disconnected. Similarly, in the second case, $w'(\gamma(t_i)) = w'(\gamma(t_{i+1}))$. But $w'(H)$ is a finite index subgroup of $w(H)$ for any H , since any subquotient of \mathbf{R} of uniform torsion is finite. Therefore in all cases, $w(\gamma(t_i))$ is commensurable to $w(\gamma(t_{i+1}))$. Accordingly $w(t_0) = A_0$ and $w(t_k) = A_1$ are commensurable. \square

We finish this section by a counterexample to [PT2, Lemma 1], which at the same time answers positively a conjecture at the end of the same paper, namely that there exists a topological group G with a closed subgroup isomorphic to \mathbf{R} , such that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is not connected.

Proposition 7.6. *Let Γ be a non-solvable finite group. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \Gamma)$ is not connected.*

Proof. If Λ is a subgroup of Γ , the natural map $i_\Lambda : \mathcal{S}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$ is continuous and surjective, so replacing Γ by a smaller subgroup if necessary (e.g. the intersection of its derived series), it is enough to prove the proposition assuming that Γ is perfect and non-trivial, that is, $[\Gamma, \Gamma] = \Gamma$. Then define $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \Gamma)$ as the set of subgroups containing $\{0\} \times \Gamma$. If L is a subgroup of Γ , define $\mathcal{B}_L \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \Gamma)$ as the set of subgroups contained in $\mathbf{R} \times L$. These are clearly closed subsets. So the union \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{B}_L , where L ranges over proper subgroups of Γ , is closed. Clearly \mathcal{B} consists whose subgroups whose projection to Γ is a proper subgroup, and in particular $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$.

Now since Γ is perfect, the derived subgroup of any element H of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \Gamma) - \mathcal{B}$ is exactly $\{0\} \times \Gamma$, so that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R} \times \Gamma)$, which gives a partition into two closed subsets, which are both non-empty as soon as $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$. \square

8. DIMENSION

In this section, we have to switch from inductive to topological dimension and vice versa (see the reminder in §2.2), depending on the tools available. In case the two are known to coincide (see Proposition 2.2), we simply denote it by \dim . Although our general results is in terms of topological dimension (because of the projective limit argument, see Proposition 2.2(1)), we have to detour through the inductive dimension.

The following lemma is Theorem VI.7 in [HW].

Lemma 8.1. *Let f be a closed map between separable metrizable spaces. If all fibers of f have dimension $\leq b$, then $\operatorname{indim}(X) \leq \operatorname{indim}(Y) + b$.*

Proposition 8.2.

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)) = d^2.$$

Proof. Since the set of lattices is an open subset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and is a d^2 -dimensional manifold, we have $\operatorname{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)) \geq d^2$. Also, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a natural finite partition into $\operatorname{GL}_d(\mathbf{R})$ -orbits, each of which is a manifold of dimension $\leq d^2$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a separable metrizable space which is a union of countably many closed subspaces, each of which is homeomorphic to a closed ball in \mathbf{R}^k for some $k \leq d^2$. By [Eng, Corollary 1.5.4], it follows that $\operatorname{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)) \leq d^2$. \square

Definition 8.3. Let X be a locally compact space. Define $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(X)$ as the inductive dimension of the Alexandrov compactification of X at the point ∞ , that is,

$$\operatorname{indim}_\infty(X) = \sup_K (1 + \inf_L \{\operatorname{indim} \partial L : L \supset K\}),$$

where K, L range over compact subsets of G .

Lemma 8.4. *Let G be a metrizable LCA-group. Then $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(G) = 0$ if G is compact-by-discrete, and $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(G) = \operatorname{indim}(G)$ otherwise.*

Proof. The statement remains the same if we replace G by an open subgroup. Hence we can suppose $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times K$ with K compact. Then the point at infinity has neighbourhoods with boundary of the form $S \times K$, where S is a $(k-1)$ -sphere. Observe that $S \times K$ has dimension $\leq (k-1) + \operatorname{indim}(K)$ if $k \geq 1$ and is empty if $k = 0$. So if $k \geq 1$, we get $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(G) \leq k + \operatorname{indim}(K) = \operatorname{indim}(G)$, the latter equality using Lemma 2.9, and if $k = 0$ we get $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(G) = 0$.

Conversely, denoting by D^n the closed n -disc, we use the fact that as a consequence of Lemma 2.9, G contains a closed subset homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^k \times D^n$ for $n = \operatorname{indim}(K)$ (or for any n if $\operatorname{indim}(K) = \infty$). So if $k \geq 1$, the Alexandrov compactification of G contains the Alexandrov compactification of $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times D^{n+k-1}$, which is a $(k+n)$ -sphere passing through the point at infinity. So $\operatorname{indim}_\infty(G) \geq \operatorname{indim}(G)$. \square

Lemma 8.5. *Let G be a metrizable LCA-group. Then*

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G \times \mathbf{Z})) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) + \dim(G).$$

Proof. Consider the natural map $p_{G \times \{0\}} : \mathcal{S}(G \times \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$. The fiber of H is homeomorphic to the set of partially defined homomorphisms $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G/H$, which is homeomorphic to the Alexandrov compactification of $G/H \times \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. A point not at infinity in this space has dimension $\text{indim}(G/H)$. The point at infinity has a basis of neighbourhood given by the complements of $K \times F$, for K compact in G/H and F finite in $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, so if we restrict to K with $\text{indim} \partial K \leq \text{indim}_\infty(G/H) - 1$, we deduce that the dimension of the fiber is $\leq \max(\text{indim}(G/H), \text{indim}_\infty(G/H))$. By Lemma 8.1, we get

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G \times \mathbf{Z})) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) + \sup_{H \in \mathcal{S}(G)} (\max(\text{indim}(G/H), \text{indim}_\infty(G/H))).$$

Now by Lemma 8.4, $\text{indim}_\infty(G/H) \leq \text{indim}(G/H)$, and by Lemma 2.9,

$$\text{indim}(G/H) \leq \text{indim}(G) \quad \square.$$

Theorem 8.6. *The inductive, or topological, dimension of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m \times F)$, for F finite, is $(k + \ell)(k + m)$, and is achieved by a piece of manifold.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m \times F)$ is compact and metrizable, the two notions of dimension coincide (Proposition 2.2(1)) so we can work with the inductive dimension.

First, we have to embed a $(k + \ell)(k + m)$ -dimensional manifold into $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m)$. It will be convenient to rewrite the group as $\mathbf{Z}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^k \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m$. We consider the action of the group of automorphisms of the form $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, Ay + Bx, z + Cx + Dy)$, where $A \in \text{GL}(\mathbf{R}^k)$, $B \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^\ell, \mathbf{R}^k) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{\ell k}$, $C \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^\ell, (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m) \simeq (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^{\ell m}$, $D \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{R}^k, (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{km}$. Consider the subgroup $\mathbf{Z}^{k+\ell}$: its stabilizer is discrete, so its orbit is $(k + \ell)(k + m)$ -dimensional; this is the desired piece of manifold and provides the easy inequality.

Conversely, to obtain that the inductive dimension is bounded as given, we first reduce the case from $G \times F$ to G . Each fiber of the map $\mathcal{S}(G \times F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G)$ can be identified to the set of partial homomorphisms from F to some quotient of G , hence is finite. So by Lemma 8.1, we have $\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G \times F)) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(G))$, the other inequality being trivial.

By Lemma 8.5, we obtain by induction that

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell)) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k)) + k\ell.$$

By duality,

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m)) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k)) + km,$$

and by a second induction,

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m)) \leq \text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k)) + km + \ell(k + m),$$

and finally by Proposition 8.2

$$\text{indim}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{Z}^\ell \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m)) \leq (k + \ell)(k + m).$$

□

We can now state the general result (see Lemma 2.9 and Corollary 2.10 for interpretations of $\text{tdim}(G)$ and $\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$).

Theorem 8.7. *Let G be an LCA-group and $\delta = \text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee) \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$ (where $0\infty = \infty 0 = 0$). The topological dimension of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is given by*

$$\text{tdim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \delta.$$

It is achieved by a piece of manifold, i.e. if $\delta < \infty$ then $\mathcal{S}(G)$ contains a closed subset homeomorphic to the closed δ -ball, with $\delta = \text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$; in case $\delta = \infty$, it contains closed balls of arbitrary large dimension.

Proof. For the inequality \geq , Lemma 2.11 reduces to $G = \mathbf{Z}^{(I)} \times (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^J \times \mathbf{R}^k$. If I, J are finite, then by Theorem 8.6 we get a piece of n -manifold, where $n = (k + \#I)(k + \#J)$. If $(k + \#I)(k + \#J) \neq 0$ and either I or J is infinite, the same argument allows to find pieces of manifolds of arbitrary large dimension.

Let us prove \leq . If either $\text{tdim}(G)$ or $\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$ is zero, then G is totally disconnected, or elliptic, and then we know by Corollary 6.5 that $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is totally disconnected, so is zero-dimensional.

We henceforth assume $\text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$ nonzero. If either $\text{tdim}(G)$ or $\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$ is infinite there is nothing to prove, so we suppose both finite and nonzero.

In case G is a compactly generated Lie group, in view of Proposition 2.3, the result is given by Theorem 8.6.

First assume that G is a Lie group. Then in view of Corollary 2.10, G has an open, compactly generated subgroup M such that for every subgroup containing N , we have $\text{tdim}(N^\vee) = \text{tdim}(G^\vee)$. Now $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}(N)$, when N ranges over subgroups containing M , which is of dimension $\text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$. By Proposition 2.2(4), it follows that $\text{tdim}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \leq \text{tdim}(G)\text{tdim}(G^\vee)$.

Now by duality, the result holds when G is a compactly generated LCA-group. Repeating a second time the projective limit argument, we obtain the result for a general LCA-group. □

REFERENCES

- [Ban] W. Banaszczyk. New bounds in some transference theorems in the geometry of numbers. *Math. Annalen*, 296(4):625–635, 1993.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki. *Théories spectrales*. Hermann, Paris 1967.
- [BouI] N. Bourbaki. *Intégration*. Chapitre 8. Hermann, Paris 1963.
- [BouT] N. Bourbaki. *Topologie générale*. Hermann, Paris 1971.
- [Boy] B. Boyer. Enumeration theorems in infinite Abelian groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7:565–570, 1956.

- [BHK] M. Bridson, P. de la Harpe, V. Kleptsyn. The Chabauty space of closed subgroups of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group. *Pacific J. Math.* 240(1) (2009), 1–48.
- [Cha] C. Chabauty. Limite d'ensembles et géométrie des nombres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 78 (1950), 143–151.
- [Chm] C. Champetier. L'espace des groupes de type fini. *Topology*, 39(4):657–680, 2000.
- [CGP] Y. de Cornulier, L. Guyot, and W. Pitsch. On the isolated points in the space of groups. *J. Algebra* 307 (2007), no. 1, 254–277.
- [CGPab] Y. de Cornulier, L. Guyot, and W. Pitsch. The space of subgroups of an abelian group. *J. London Math. Soc.* 81(3) (2010) 727–746.
- [Dix] J. Dixmier. Quelques propriétés des groupes abéliens localement compacts. *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) 81 (1957) 38–48.
- [Eng] R. Engelking. Dimension theory. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 19, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam and New York; Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1978.
- [FG1] S. Fisher, P. Gartside. On the space of subgroups of a compact group I. *Topology Appl.* 156(5) (2009) 862–871.
- [FG2] S. Fisher, P. Gartside. On the space of subgroups of a compact group II. *Topology Appl.* 156 (2009) 855–861.
- [Gri] R. I. Grigorchuk. Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means. *Math. USSR Izv.* **25**:2, 259–300, 1985 (Russian original: *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **48**, no.5, 939–985, 1984).
- [Ha] T. Haettel. L'espace des sous-groupes fermés de $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 10 (2010) 1395–1415.
- [HP] J. Hubbard, I. Poureza. The space of closed subgroups of \mathbf{R}^2 , dans *Topology* 18 (1979), no 2, 143–146.
- [HW] W. Hurewicz, H. Wallman. Dimension Theory. Princeton University Press (1941).
- [Isb] J. Isbell. Graduation and dimension in locales. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser.*, no. 93, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1985), 195–210.
- [Kat] Y. Katuta. On the covering dimension of inverse limits. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1982), no. 4, 588–592.
- [Klo] B. Kloeckner. The space of closed subgroups of \mathbf{R}^n is stratified and simply connected. *J. Topol.* 2(3) (2009) 570–588.
- [Mah] K. Mahler. Ein Übertragungsprinzip für konvexe Körper. *Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys.*, 68:93–102, 1939.
- [Nar] L. Narens. Topologies of closed subsets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 174 (1972), 55–76.
- [Pas] B. A. Pasynkov. On the coincidence of different definitions of dimension for locally bicomact groups. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 132(5), 1035–1037 (1960),
- [Pro] I. Protasov. Dualisms of topological abelian groups. (Russian) *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* 31 (1979), no. 2, 207–211, 224. English translation: *Ukrainian Math. J.* 31 (1979), no. 2, 164–166.
- [PT] I. Protasov, Y. Tsybenko. Chabauty's topology in the lattice of closed subgroups. *Ukrainian Math. J.* 36 (1984), no. 2, 187–192.
- [PT2] I. Protasov, Y. Tsybenko. Connectedness in the space of subgroups. (Russian) *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* 35 (1983), no. 3, 382–385; English translation: *Ukrainian Math. J.* 35 (1983), no. 3, 332–334.
- [Vop] P. Vopenka. On the dimension of compact spaces. *Czech. Math. J.* 8(3) (1958) 319–327.
- [Wat] F. Wattenberg. Topologies on the set of closed subsets. *Pacific J. Math.* 68 (1977), no. 2, 537–551.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BÂTIMENT 425, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD 11, 91405
ORSAY, FRANCE

E-mail address: `yves.cornulier@math.u-psud.fr`