The Genotype-Phenotype Map for Shape:

Insights from Morphogenesis

Alexis Matamoro-Vidal Cell Death and Epithelial Homeostasis Institut Pasteur alexis.vidal@pasteur.fr

The metaphor of the genotype-phenotype map

Popularized by Richard Lewontin (1974)



1: development creates the phenotype using genetic information + the environment.

2: natural selection acts on phenotypes and alters mean position on P space.

3: the identity of phenotypes determines wich genotypes are preserved.

4: mutation and recombination alter position in G space.

Houle et al. 2010

The metaphor of the genotype-phenotype map



Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ? (e.g. Albertson et al. 2018)

Evolutionary genetics: mapping genetics variants into phenotypic variants.

• Very successfull research program in finding where do mutation occur.

• e.g. Gephebase contains more than 1600 entries.

• But limitations

Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ?

- Causation vs. association
- Complex genetic architectures



Genotype space

· · · · · ·		
OPEN G	ACCESS	Freely available online



Peter Claes¹, Denise K. Liberton², Katleen Daniels¹, Kerri Matthes Rosana², Ellen E. Quillen², 2014

"...our methods provide the means of identifying the genes that affect facial shape and for modeling the effects of these genes to generate a predicted face."



"The second, and deeper, issue, though, is whether genomic prediction of complex morphologies is even feasible."





When scientists opened up the human genome, they expected to find the genetic components of common traits and diseases. But they were nowhere to be seen. Brendan Maher shines a light on six places where the missing loot could be stashed away.

Some diseases and traits are known since a long time to be highly heritable but observed genetic variation do not account for the heritability

Example: human height



Heritability = 80 %

Visscher et al. 2008

Genome-wide data from 253,288 individuals

- 697 loci associated with human height variation
- Explain 16% of the phenotypic variance
- Account for 25 % of the heritability

Genome-wide data from 253,288 individuals

Re-analysis by Boyle et al. 2017

Leading Edge Perspective

An Expanded View of Complex Traits: From Polygenic to Omnigenic

Evan A. Boyle,^{1,*} Yang I. Li,^{1,*} and Jonathan K. Pritchard^{1,2,3,*}

- More than 100,000 SNPs exert independent causal effects on height
- Causal variants are spread very widely across the genome
- A substantial fraction of all genes contribute to trait variation

(i.e. not specific biologically relevant genes and pathways)

Cell

Traits having complex genetic architecture make it very difficult to predict phenotypic variation on the basis of genetic variation

One possible solution to this problem:

Look at another level of the genotype-phenotype map





Biology [..] deals with a complex hierarchy of objects ranging from cells to populations [...] The objects which exist at each level constitute a <u>limitation</u> of the total possibilities offered by the simpler level.

Each system at a given level uses as ingredients some systems of the simpler level, but some only. The hierarchy in the complexity of objects is thus accompanied by a series of <u>restrictions</u> and <u>limitations</u>. At each level, new properties may appear which impose new <u>constraints</u> on the system.

Evolution and Tinkering

François Jacob

10 June 1977, Volume 196, Number 4295





Hallgrimsson et al. 2014.

Cellular mechanisms of tissue size and shape



Lecuit and Legoff 2007

Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ?

- Causation vs. association
- Complex genetic architectures
- Developmental constraints



Genotype space



"Even if mutations affect the parameters randomly [...] the developmental outcome will not be random."

Pere Alberch (1954 - 1998)

"Biases on the production of variant phenotypes or limitations on phenotypic variability caused by the structure, character, composition, or dynamics of the developmental system."

Maynard-Smith et al. 1985.







Oster & Alberch 1982

Dictyostelium discoideum





Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ?

- Causation vs. association
- Complex genetic architectures
- Developmental constraints
- Morphogenesis is beautifull !



Genotype space



Alexis Villars

Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ?

Certainly but

• It remains difficult to study morphogenesis in non model species.

• Developmental biology has long focused on qualitative features

« Variation » in developmental biology



Spemann's siamese tadpole





ABC model for floral organs identity



Ultrabithorax mutant fly

« Variation » in evolutionary biology



Cranio-facial variation in cichlids



Corolla shape variation in *Erysimum* sp.



Variation in *Drosophila* wing shape

Morphogenetic bases of organ shape variation

Example 1: wing shape in Drosophila.Example 2: tooth shape in mammals.Example 3: ovipositor length in Drosophila.Example 4: digit loss in mammals.

Strategy:

Understand how the organ is formed in a model species and then use this knowledge to study natural variation in this organ.

Diversity of Drosophila wing shape and size



Hawaiian Drosophila (Edwards et al 2007)

Drosophila wing shape

Shape variation and cellular processes can be finely quantified



Variation within a population of *D. melanogaster*

David Houle lab.



Patterns of cell proliferation and of cell division orientation (22 to 31 h APF)

Etournay et al. Elife 2016.

Where are the QTNs for wing shape in *Drosophila* ? Dozens of QTLs have been mapped. Despite hundreds of personyears of effort and all the resources available for the preeminent model insect, there are no mapped QTNs.

The best candidate is a non-coding SNP in the promoter of the *Egfr* gene, mapped by association. This SNP explains less than 1% of the trait variance in one population and none in another and may simply be a marker in LD with the causal variant. What if *Drosophila melanogaster* wing shape is a typical complex trait?

Live imaging of wing morphogenesis

Tissue Shape Changes During Hinge Contraction



Aigouy et al. 2010

Vertex models



Honda et al. 2004. *J. Theor. Bio.* Farhadifar et al. 2007. *CB* Canela-Xandri et al. 2011. *PlosCompBiol* Mao et al. 2011. *Genes & Dev.* Fletcher et al. 2014. Biophys. J.





Experimental estimation of the parameters

Farhadifar et al. 2008





- Two fold reduction of cell area (for all cells).
- One round of mitosis (blade cells only) Hertwig rule
- Graded distribution of Dumpy along the PD axis
- Higher line tension and cortical contractility for hinge cells.
- PD tension along the vein cells
- Fixed positions for anterior/proximal hinge margin







Simulation of a wild-type wing





Manipulation of the *narrow* gene affects the pattern of Dumpy, and wing shape.







Ray, Matamoro-Vidal et al. Dev. Cell. 2015



The model recapitulates qualitatively the variation observed in nature



D. melanogaster

D. longiseta

D. virgulata

- On how to reconciliate developmental and evolutionary views of variation.
- They are certainly many mutations that can alter wing shape during pupal morphogenesis, but they must do so by altering the mechanical force shaping the wing.
- Modelling this force captures the variation that can be potentially obtained by mutating many genes.

- 3 years of work just to understand this aspect of morphogenesis.
 - Check other developmental stages
 - Test model predictions regarding natural variation

Example 2: vertebrate teeth



Jernvall & Thesleff 2014

QTL mapping on tooth shape between *Mus musculus domesticus* and *Mus musculus musculus*



Together, the loci explain ~10% of molar shape variation, with individual effects ranging from 1% to 3% Pallares et al. 2017.

Effect size	1.1%	3.2%	2.8%	1.6%	2.2%	
p-value	5.11E-07	5.79E-07	2.71E-08	1.18E-07	1.28E-10	
Best SNP	JAX00006079	JAX00128837	JAX00619074	JAX00715960	JAX00183055	
Position	84306638	36723779	97980057	92638616	104533418	
Chr	chr1	chr5	chr6	chrX	chrX	
QTL	Mo.1	Mo.5	Mo.6	Mo.X.1	Mo.X.2**	



Jernvall & Thesleff 2014



Green & Sharpe 2015

Space



1 000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		E 000
1,000	2,000	3,000	4,000	<u> </u>
				b c d
6,000	7,000	8,000	9,000	10,000

Salazar-Ciudad & Jernvall 2010

The model was orginally designed from rodents data but it reproduces variation observed in seals



Salazar-Ciudad & Jernvall 2010



Parameters that produce the most realistic variation: Activator self-regulation (Act) Activator diffusion (Da) Inhibitor strength (Inh) Inhibition diffusion (Di) Secondary signal threshold (Set).

Salazar-Ciudad & Jernvall 2010



Morphogenesis based modelling of phenotypic variation allows to establish null Salazar-Ciudad hypotheses on the possible phenotypes and of their relative frequencies. & Marin-Riera 2013

Example 3: evolution of ovipositor length in D. suzukii



Green et al. 2018.

Difference in ovipositor area and shape appears after 48 h APF





Inter-specific difference in cell area but not cell number



D. melanogaster

Explains difference in organ size but not difference in organ shape.

Anisotropic change in cell number explains difference in tissue shape



Oriented cell intercalation or patterned cell death explains evolution of tissue shape

Example 4: digit loss in mammals

d





Cooper et al. 2014

Cellular mechanisms of tissue size and shape



Cell rearrangements

Cell shape



Vertebrate tooth Butterfly wing shape evolution

Drosophila wing shape ?

Drosophila ovipositor ? Digit loss in mammals

Drosophila wing shape ? Drosophila ovipositor

Drosophila ovipositor

Should we care about development in the study of the genotype-phenotype map ?

- Causation vs. association
- Complex genetic architectures
- Developmental constraints
- Morphogenesis is beautifull !



Genotype space