

On Shelah 900

Pierre Simon

We give an account of the type decomposition theorem of [Sh :900]. This is exactly Shelah's proof, extracted from the paper.

Let T be an NIP theory, κ a cardinal.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $|T| \leq \theta < \text{cf}(\kappa)$, and M a κ -saturated model. Let $\bar{d} \in {}^{\theta \geq} \mathbb{C}$, then there exists $C \in [\mathbb{C}]^{\theta}$ such that, letting $p = \text{tp}(\bar{d}/MC)$:*

- $\text{tp}(C/M)$ is B -invariant for some $B \subset M$ of cardinality $< \kappa$.
- For every $A \subset M$ of cardinality $< \kappa$, there is $D \subset M$, $|D| = \theta$, such that $p|_D \vdash p|_A$.

We let M and \bar{d} be as above.

Lemma 0.2. *There does not exist a sequence $(\bar{c}_\alpha = (c_\alpha^0, c_\alpha^1))_{\alpha < \theta^+}$ of finite tuples such that, for all $\alpha < \theta^+$:*

1. $\text{tp}(c_\alpha^0/MC_\alpha) = \text{tp}(c_\alpha^1/MC_\alpha)$,
2. $\text{tp}(\bar{c}_\alpha/MC_\alpha)$ is B_α -invariant for some $B_\alpha \subseteq M$ of size κ ,
3. $\text{tp}(\bar{d}, c_\alpha^0/MC_\alpha) \neq \text{tp}(\bar{d}, c_\alpha^1/MC_\alpha)$,

Where $C_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \{\bar{c}_\beta\}$.

Proof. Assume such a sequence exists. Notice that by the second assumption, for all $\alpha < \theta^+$, the tuples c_α^0 and c_α^1 have the same type over $M \cup \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} \{\bar{c}_\beta\}$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is a formula $\phi(\bar{x}; y, z)$ such that for all $\alpha < \theta^+$, there is $d_\alpha \in M \cup C_\alpha$ with $\phi(\bar{d}; c_\alpha^0, d_\alpha) \wedge \neg \phi(\bar{d}; c_\alpha^1, d_\alpha)$. For each α , let $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ be such that $d_\alpha \in M \cup C_{f(\alpha)}$.

By Fodor's lemma, there is $S \subset \theta^+$ cofinal and $\beta < \theta^+$ such that $f(\alpha) = \beta$ for all $\alpha \in S$.

Now, by the second hypothesis, for any function $\eta \in {}^S \{0, 1\}$, the sequence $(c_{\eta(\alpha)}^\alpha)$ has the same type as (c_α^α) over $M \cup C_\beta$ (because each c_α^0, c_α^1 realizes the unique invariant extension of $\text{tp}(c_\alpha^\alpha/M)$ to $M \cup C_\alpha$). Thus, the type $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in S} \phi(\bar{x}; c_\alpha^\alpha, d_\alpha)^{\langle \eta(\alpha)=0 \rangle}$ is consistent. This contradicts NIP. \square

Returning to the theorem, construct by induction a maximal sequence (\bar{c}_α) satisfying the properties of the lemma. This construction must stop at some $\lambda < \theta^+$. We can thus find a $B \subset M$, $|B| < \kappa$ containing all the B_α . Let C be the union of the \bar{c}_α , and let $p = \text{tp}(\bar{d}/MC)$.

Lemma 0.3. *Let $q \in S(MC)$ be D -invariant for some $D \subset M$ of cardinality $< \kappa$. Then q is weakly orthogonal to p .*

Proof. Suppose not. Then there are c_0, c_1 realizations of q such that $\text{tp}(c_0/M\bar{c}\bar{d}) \neq \text{tp}(c_1/M\bar{c}\bar{d})$. One of these types, say $\text{tp}(c_0/M\bar{c}\bar{d})$, is not the invariant extension of q (note that as M is κ -saturated, the invariant extension is uniquely defined). Now let c'_1 realize the invariant extension of q to $M\bar{c}c_0\bar{d}$. We thus have :

- $\text{tp}(c_0/M\bar{c}) = \text{tp}(c'_1/M\bar{c})$,
- $\text{tp}(c_0, c'_1/M\bar{c})$ is D -invariant,
- $\text{tp}(c_0/M\bar{c}\bar{d}) \neq \text{tp}(c'_1/M\bar{c}\bar{d})$.

This contradicts the maximality of C . □

Let $A \subset M$ of cardinality $< \kappa$. By the previous lemma, p is orthogonal to all types q finitely satisfiable in A . Let $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ be a formula with parameters in C ($\text{lg}(\bar{x}) = \text{lg}(\bar{d})$). By compactness, there is $\psi(\bar{x}) \in p$ such that any $\bar{e} \models \psi(\bar{x})$, any $q \in S(MC)$ finitely satisfiable in A , and any $c \models q$, we have

$$\models \phi(\bar{d}, c) \leftrightarrow \phi(\bar{e}, c).$$

This in particular applies to any $c \in A$.

Letting $\phi(\bar{x}, y)$ vary, let $D \subset M$ be of size θ , such that $D \cup C$ contains all the parameters of the corresponding $\psi(x)$. Then D satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

This finishes the proof.