

On the CRT method to compute class polynomials in genus 2

Réunion CHIC

Kristin LAUTER¹, **Damien ROBERT**¹

¹Microsoft Research ²INRIA Bordeaux Sud-Ouest

06/12/2010 (Paris)

Class polynomials

- Let K be a primitive CM field of degree 4: K is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field K_0 . (K is then cyclic Galois, or dihedral.)
- The **class polynomials** $H_1, \widehat{H}_2, \widehat{H}_3$ parametrize the Igusa invariants of Jacobians J whose endomorphism rings is isomorphic to O_K , the maximal ring of K .

These Jacobians are defined over (a subfield of) the Hilbert class field HK_r of the reflex class field K_r of K .

- If \mathfrak{P} is a prime of good reduction in HK_r , the typenorm of \mathfrak{P} give the Frobenius polynomial of $J_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

⇒ select $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ of cryptographic size such that $\#J_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is prime.

⇒ Reduce $H_1, \widehat{H}_2, \widehat{H}_3$ modulo p to find $J_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Constructing class polynomials

- Analytic method: compute the Igusa invariants in \mathbb{C} with sufficient precision to recover the class polynomials.
- p -adic lifting: lift the Igusa invariants in \mathbb{Q}_p with sufficient precision to recover the class polynomials (require specific splitting behavior of p in K).
- CRT: compute the class polynomials modulo small primes, and use the CRT to reconstruct the class polynomials.

Remark

In genus 1, all these methods are quasi-linear in the size of the output \Rightarrow computation bounded by memory. But we can construct directly the class polynomials modulo p with the explicit CRT.

Review of the CRT algorithm

1. Select a prime p .
2. For each Jacobian J in the p^3 isomorphic classes:
 - 2.1 Check if J is in the right isogeny class by computing the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius (do some trial tests to check for $\#J$ before).
 - 2.2 Check if $\text{End}(J) = O_K$.
3. From the invariants of the maximal curves, reconstruct $H_i \pmod p$.

Remark

Algorithm developed in genus 2 by EISENTRÄGER, FREEMAN and LAUTER, with ameliorations from BRÖKER, GRUENEWALD and LAUTER by using the $(3, 3)$ -Galois action.

Selecting the prime p

- Usual method: find a prime p that splits completely into principal ideals in K_r , and splits completely in K .
 - But we only need the typenorm of the ideals in K_r above p to be principal ideals.
- ⇒ We can work with more prime!
- ⇒ And the typenorm are generated by the frobenius!

Checking if a curve is maximal

- Let J be the Jacobian of a curve in the right isogeny class. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}] \subset \text{End}(J) \subset O_K$.
- Let $\gamma \in O_K \setminus \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$. We want to check if $\gamma \in \text{End}(J)$.
- Suppose that $(O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])$ is prime to p . We then have $\gamma \in \text{End}(J) \Leftrightarrow p\gamma \in \text{End}(J)$.
- Let n be the smallest integer thus that $n\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$. Since $(\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}] : \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = p$, we can write $n\gamma = P(\pi)$.
- Then $\gamma \in \text{End}(J) \Leftrightarrow P(\pi) = 0$ on $J[n]$.
- In practice: compute $J[\ell^d]$ for $\ell^d \mid (O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])$ and check the action of the generators of O_K on it.

Remark

If $1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are generators of O_K as a \mathbb{Z} -module, it can happen that $\gamma = P(\alpha, \beta)$, so that we don't need to check that $\gamma \in \text{End}(J)$.

Field of definition of the ℓ^d -torsion

Proposition

- The geometric points of $J[\ell^d]$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\alpha_d}} \Leftrightarrow \pi^{\alpha_d} - 1 \in \ell^d \text{End}(J)$.
- $\alpha_d \mid \alpha_1 \ell^{d-1}$. If $\text{End}(J) = O_K$ this is an equality: $\alpha_d = \alpha_1 \ell^{d-1}$.

Corollary

Let α be thus that $\pi^\alpha - 1 \in \ell O_K$. We first check that $(\pi^\alpha - 1)/\ell$ is an element of $\text{End}(J)$ ($\Leftrightarrow J[\ell]$ defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^α}). Then $J[\ell^d]$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\alpha \ell^{d-1}}}$.

Remark

It may happen that we get a factor two on the degrees by working over the twist: that is by working with $-\pi$.

Computing the ℓ^d -torsion

- We compute $\#J(\mathbb{F}_{p^{\alpha_d}}) = \ell^\beta c$.
 - If P_0 is a random point of $J(\mathbb{F}_{p^\alpha})$, then $P = cP_0$ is a random point of ℓ^∞ -torsion, and P multiplied by a suitable power of ℓ is a random point of ℓ^d -torsion.
 - Usual method: take a lot of random points of ℓ^d -torsion, and hope they generate it over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\alpha_d}}$.
 - Problems: the random points of ℓ^d -torsion are not uniform \Rightarrow require a lot of random points, and the result is probabilistic.
 - Our solution: Compute the whole ℓ^∞ -torsion. “Correct” points to find uniform points of ℓ^d -torsion. Use pairings to save memory.
- \Rightarrow We can check if a curve is maximal faster.
- \Rightarrow We can abort early.

Obtaining all the maximal curves

- If J is a maximal curve, and ℓ does not divide $(O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])$, then any (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenous curve is maximal.
- The maximal Jacobians form a principal homogeneous space under the Shimura class group $\mathfrak{C}(O_K) = \{(I, \rho) \mid I\bar{I} = (\rho) \text{ and } \rho \in K_0^+\}$.
- (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies between maximal Jacobians correspond to element of the form $(I, \ell) \in \mathfrak{C}(O_K)$. We can use the structure of $\mathfrak{C}(O_K)$ to determine the number of new curves we will obtain with (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies.
 \Rightarrow Don't compute unneeded isogenies.
- It can be faster to compute (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies with $\ell \mid (O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])$ to find new maximal Jacobians when ℓ and $\text{val}_\ell((O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]))$ is small.

“Going up”

- There is p^3 classes of isomorphic curves, but only a very small number ($\#\mathfrak{C}(O_K)$) with $\text{End}(J) = O_K$.
 - But there is at most $16p^{3/2}$ isogeny class.
- ⇒ On average, there is $\approx p^{3/2}$ curves in a given isogeny class.
- ⇒ If we have a curve in the right isogeny class, try to find isogenies giving a maximal curve!

An algorithm for “going up”

1. Let $\gamma \in O_K \setminus \text{End}(J)$. We can assume that $\ell^\infty \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$.
 2. Let d be the minimum such that $\gamma(J[\ell^d]) \neq \{0\}$, and let $K = \gamma(J[\ell^d])$.
By definition, $K \subset J[\ell]$.
 3. We compute all (ℓ, ℓ) -isogeneous Jacobians J' where the kernel intersect K . Keep J' if $\# \gamma(J'[\ell^d]) < \#K$ (and be careful to prevent cycles).
- First go up for $\gamma = (\pi^\alpha - 1)/\ell$: this minimize the extensions we have to work with.
 - It is not always possible to go up. We would need more general isogenies than (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies. Most frequent case: we can't go up because there is no (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies at all! (And we can detect this).

Sieving the primes

- We throw a prime p for the CRT if detecting if a curve is maximal is too costly, or there is not enough curves where we can “go up”.
- How to estimate this number?
 - Compute the lattice of orders between $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ and O_K . For all such order O such that $(O_K : O)$ is not divisible by any ℓ where there is no (ℓ, ℓ) -isogeny, compute $\mathfrak{C}(O)$.
This is too costly! (Even computing $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])$ is too costly!)
 - Compute

$$\#\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]) = \frac{c(O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]) \# \text{Cl}(O_K) \text{Reg}(O_K) (\widehat{O}_K^* : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]^*)}{2 \# \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi + \bar{\pi}]) \text{Reg}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi + \bar{\pi}])}$$

and estimate the number of curves as

$$\sum_{d | \#\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}])} d$$

(for d not divisible by a ℓ where we can't go up).

- We use a dynamic approach: if a prime discarded is now better than the current prime, go back to this prime.

Exploring the curves

1. Go sequentially through the p^3 Igusa invariants j_1, j_2, j_3 . But constructing the curve from the invariants is costly.
2. Construct random curves in Weierstrass form

$$y^2 = a_6x^6 + a_5x^5 + a_4x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0.$$

3. If the two torsion is rational (check where $\frac{\pi-1}{2}$ live), construct curves in Rosenhain form

$$y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)(x-\mu)(x-\nu).$$

4. If the Hilbert moduli space is rational, construct the j -invariants from the Gundlach invariants (only p^2 invariants, parametrizing the space of curves with real multiplication by K_0).

p	l^d	α_d	# Curves	Estimate	Time (old)	Time (new)
7	2^2	4	7	8	$0.5 + 0.3$	$0 + 0.2$
17	2	1	39	32	$4 + 0.2$	$0 + 0.1$
23	$2^2, 7$	4, 3	49	51	$9 + 2.3$	$0 + 0.2$
71	2^2	4	7	8	$255 + 0.7$	$5.3 + 0.2$
97	2	1	39	32	$680 + 0.3$	$2 + 0.1$
103	$2^2, 17$	4, 16	119	127	$829 + 17.6$	$0.5 + 1$
113	$2^5, 7$	16, 6	1281	877	$1334 + 28.8$	$0.2 + 1.3$
151	$2^2, 7, 17$	4, 3, 16	-	-	0	0
					3162s	13s

Computing the class polynomial for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})$, $\mathfrak{C}(O_K) = \{0\}$.

$$H_1 = X - 1836660096, \quad H_2 = X - 28343520, \quad H_3 = X - 9762768$$

p	l^d	α_d	# Curves	Estimate	Time (old)	Time (new)
29	3, 23	2, 264	-	-	-	-
53	3, 43	2, 924	-	-	-	-
61	3	2	9	6	167 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.5
79	3 ³	18	81	54	376 + 8.1	0.3 + 0.9
107	3 ² , 43	6, 308	-	-	-	-
113	3, 53	1, 52	159	155	1118 + 137.2	0.8 + 25
131	3 ² , 53	6, 52	477	477	1872 + 127.4	2.2 + 44.4
139	3 ⁵	81	?	486	-	1 + 36.7
157	3 ⁴	27	243	164	3147 + 16.5	-
					6969s	114s

Computing the class polynomial for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{13 + 2\sqrt{29}})$, $\mathfrak{C}(O_K) = \{0\}$.

$$H_1 = X - 268435456, \quad H_2 = X + 5242880, \quad H_3 = X + 2015232.$$

Checking if a curve is maximal

- Let $H : y^2 = 80x^6 + 51x^5 + 49x^4 + 3x^3 + 34x^2 + 40x + 12$ over \mathbb{F}_{139} and J the Jacobian of H . We have $\text{End}(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{13 + 2\sqrt{29}})$ and we want to check if $\text{End}(J) = O_K$.
- For that we need to compute $J[3^5]$, that lives over an extension of degree 81 (for the twist it lives over an extension of degree 162).
- With the old randomized algorithm, this computation takes 470 seconds (with 12 Frobenius trials over $\mathbb{F}_{139^{162}}$).
- With the new algorithm computing the ℓ^∞ -torsion, it only takes 17.3 seconds (needing only 4 random points over $\mathbb{F}_{139^{81}}$, approx 4 seconds needed to get a new random point of ℓ^∞ -torsion).

p	l^d	α_d	# Curves	Estimate	Time (old)	Time (new)
7	-	-	1	1	0.3	0 + 0.1
23	13	84	15	2 (16)	9 + 70.7	0.4 + 24.6
53	7	3	7	7	105 + 0.5	7.7 + 0.5
59	2, 5	1, 12	322	48 (286)	164 + 6.4	1.4 + 0.6
83	3, 5	4, 24	77	108	431 + 9.8	2.4 + 1.1
103	67	1122	-	-	-	-
107	7, 13	3, 21	105	8 (107)	963 + 69.3	-
139	5 ² , 7	60, 2	259	9 (260)	2189 + 62.1	-
181	3	1	161	135	5040 + 3.6	4.5 + 0.2
197	5, 109	24, 5940	-	-	-	-
199	5 ²	60	37	2 (39)	10440 + 35.1	-
223	2, 23	1, 11	1058	39 (914)	10440 + 35.1	-
227	109	1485	-	-	-	-
233	5, 7, 13	8, 3, 28	735	55 (770)	11580 + 141.6	88.3 + 29.4
239	7, 109	6, 297	-	-	-	-
257	3, 7, 13	4, 6, 84	1155	109 (1521)	17160 + 382.8	-
313	3, 13	1, 14	?	146 (2035)	-	165 + 14.7
373	5, 7	6, 24	?	312	-	183.4 + 3.8
541	2, 7, 13	1, 3, 14	?	294 (4106)	-	91 + 5.5
571	3, 5, 7	2, 6, 6	?	1111 (6663)	-	96.6 + 3.1
					56585s	114s

Computing the class polynomial for $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{29 + 2\sqrt{29}})$, $\mathfrak{C}(O_K) = \{0\}$.
 (The new algorithm also skipped the primes 277, 281, 349, 397, 401, 431, 487, 509, 523.)

$$H_1 = 244140625X - 2614061544410821165056$$

Checking if a curve is maximal (2)

- Let $H : y^2 = 10x^6 + 57x^5 + 18x^4 + 11x^3 + 38x^2 + 12x + 31$ over \mathbb{F}_{59} and J the Jacobian of H . We have $\text{End}(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{29} + 2\sqrt{29})$ and we want to check if $\text{End}(J) = O_K$.
- O_K is generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module by $1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$. α is of index 2 in $O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, β of index 4 and γ of index 40.
- So the old algorithm will check $J[2^3]$ and $J[5]$.
- But $O_K = \mathbb{Z}_2[\pi, \bar{\pi}, \alpha]$, so we only need to check $J[2]$ and $J[5]$.

CRT for non principal fields

- $K = \mathbb{Q}(X)/(X^4 + 238X^2 + 833)$. $\mathfrak{C}(K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $(7, 7)$ -isogenies.
- Primes used: 19, 59, 67, 83, 149, 191, 223, 229, 239, 257, 349, 463, 557, 613, 661, 733, 859, 1039, 1373, 1613, 1657, 1667, 1733, 1753, 1801, 1871, 1879, 2399, 3449, 3469, 3761, 3931, 4259, 4691, 5347, 5381, 6427, 6571, 6781.
- For $p \approx 6000$, we keep p if we expect more than $\frac{p^{3/2}}{32} \approx 15 \times 10^6$ curves. At this size, it takes around 6 seconds to test 10000 curves, so around 2.5 hours are needed for p .
- Total time: 44062 second (not the latest version of the code).
- Class polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1(X) = & 168451200633545364243594910146286907316572281862280871005795423612829696X^2 \\
 & +158582528695513934970693031198523489269724119094630145672062735632518026507497890643968X \\
 & -2014843977961649893357675219372115899170378669590465187558574259942250352955092541374464.
 \end{aligned}$$

- $K = \mathbb{Q}(X)/(X^4 + 185X^2 + 8325)$. $\mathfrak{C}(K) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $(3, 3)$ -isogenies (generating a subgroup $\simeq \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$) and $(5, 5)$ -isogenies (generating a subgroup $\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).
- Primes used for now: 263, 271, 317, 337, 397, 641, 941, 1103, 11699, 1259, 2293, 2341, 2393, 2803, 3203, 3319, 3919, 6151, 6367, 7669, 7759, 9949.
- Time currently spent: ≈ 150000 seconds.
We have ≈ 216 bits of precision, but the denominator are of size ≈ 588 bits.
- Current class polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1 = & -21480611542361762508723557468335461542930690217345422101435707227X^{10} \\
 & + 131226723395697728046645744735668338577537209903840153167551282021X^9 \\
 & + 119945977255497733218873710360493249341055938181798936596623683383X^8 \\
 & - 153714213780179060368348234170174803289200899482268520878793209046X^7 \\
 & + 62638744793599939793495892285517701303753967578884386663315225591X^6 \\
 & - 93677816446063314842418364580720430581350319726187642792340508326X^5 \\
 & - 71691842165741338225610186297897317814938228092904998616608265551X^4 \\
 & + 136981527112264611043485159784332306015708502624769592116848181204X^3 \\
 & - 39477010352126860185603010004604642269566979659155934331715153441X^2 \\
 & - 151371452252448694646593117087635298316650526995194471928188077417X \\
 & - 36993265717589384804067106436837614321682950101513031994455394382.
 \end{aligned}$$

The dihedral case

- There are two CM types.
- A prime p which is nice for one CM type may be bad for the other. But we can't distinguish the CM types over \mathbb{Q} .
- However we can over K_0 , if $p = q_1 q_2$ in K_0 , then one CM type correspond to the reduction modulo a prime in the class field above q_1 , and the other to a prime above q_2 . By doing the CRT on q_i , we keep track of this CM type.
- The class polynomials over K_0 splits into polynomials given by the action of the type norm. It is easy to compute the orbits modulo p , but how do we paste them together?
- One solution would be to use the “trace trick” from Enge and Sutherland (or directly compute the trace via analytic methods).
- Last idea: compute some class polynomials H'_2, H'_3 (needing less precision) to help compute the interpolation polynomials $\widehat{H}_2, \widehat{H}_3$.