

Computing isogenies from modular equations in genus two

Jean Kieffer¹, Aurel Page^{1,2}, Damien Robert^{1,2}

¹ Université de Bordeaux, France ² Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, France
{jean.kieffer,aurel.page,damien.robert}@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Abstract

We present an algorithm solving the following problem: given two genus 2 curves over a field k with isogenous Jacobians, compute such an isogeny explicitly. This isogeny can be either an ℓ -isogeny or, in the real multiplication case, an isogeny with cyclic kernel; we require that k have large enough characteristic and that the curves be sufficiently generic. Our algorithm uses modular equations for these isogeny types, and makes essential use of an explicit Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism in genus 2.

1 Introduction

We are interested in the following version of the isogeny problem: given two isogenous abelian varieties, compute an isogeny between them explicitly.

Let us start with some motivation. The isogeny problem in the case of elliptic curves was solved by Elkies [Elk98]. Given two ℓ -isogenous elliptic curves, where ℓ is a prime, his algorithm uses modular polynomials of level ℓ to compute rational fractions defining this isogeny. Elkies’s algorithm is used to speed up Schoof’s point counting algorithm for elliptic curves over finite fields [Sch85]: replacing kernels of *endomorphisms* by kernels of *isogenies* yields smaller subgroups of the elliptic curve, and therefore smaller polynomial computations, while giving the same amount of information on the Frobenius. This improvement is at the heart of the well-known SEA point counting algorithm [Sch95].

The situation for point counting in genus 2 is different, as the existing complexity estimates and records only use kernels of endomorphisms [GKS11; GS12]. One can therefore ask whether the idea of using isogenies generalizes. Modular polynomials have now been computed in genus 2: the smallest ones are known both for ℓ -isogenies [Mil15] and, in the real multiplication case, cyclic β -isogenies [MR17; Mar18]. This opened the way for Atkin-style methods in point counting [BGL+16], but isogeny computations remain the missing ingredient to generalize Elkies’s method in genus 2. The object of this paper is precisely to fill this gap.

We now present our main result in the case of ℓ -isogenies. For any field k , we denote by $\mathbf{A}_2(k)$ the coarse moduli space of principally polarized abelian surfaces over k , and we denote by $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$ the Igusa invariants as introduced by Streng (see §2.2). We

also denote by $\Psi_{\ell,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ the modular equations of level ℓ in Igusa invariants (see §2.6). Recall that if C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2 over a field k , then its Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)$ is a principally polarized abelian surface which is birational to the symmetric square $C^{2,\text{sym}}$; the points of $C^{2,\text{sym}}$ over k are the Galois-invariant unordered pairs $\{P, Q\}$ where $P, Q \in C(\bar{k})$.

{thm:main}

Theorem 1.1. *Let ℓ be a prime, and let k be a field such that $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k > 8\ell + 7$. Let $U \subset \mathbf{A}_2(k)$ be the open set consisting of abelian surfaces A such that $\text{Aut}_{\bar{k}}(A) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$ and $j_3(A) \neq 0$. Assume that there is an algorithm to evaluate derivatives of modular equations of level ℓ at a given point of $U \times U$ over k using $C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)$ operations in k .*

Let $A, A' \in U$, and let $j(A), j(A')$ be their Igusa invariants. Assume that A and A' are ℓ -isogenous, and that the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^3$ cut out by the modular equations $\Psi_{\ell,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ is normal at $(j(A), j(A'))$. Then, given $j(A)$ and $j(A')$, we can compute

1. a field extension k'/k of degree dividing 8,
2. hyperelliptic curve equations C, C' over k' whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' respectively,
3. a point $P \in C(k')$,
4. rational fractions $s, p, q, r \in k'(u, v)$,

such that (s, p, q, r) equals the compositum

$$C \xrightarrow{Q \mapsto [Q-P]} \text{Jac}(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Jac}(C') \dashrightarrow C'^{2,\text{sym}} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{A}^4$$

where φ is an ℓ -isogeny and m is the rational map given by

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\} \mapsto \left(x_1 + x_2, x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2, \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right).$$

The cost of the algorithm is $O(C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)) + \tilde{O}(\ell)$ elementary operations and $O(1)$ square roots in k' .

In other words, given sufficiently generic genus 2 curves C, C' whose Jacobians are ℓ -isogenous, obtained for instance by computing roots of modular equations of level ℓ , we compute rational fractions that determine an ℓ -isogeny completely. We also obtain a similar result in the case of β -isogenies in the real multiplication case: see Theorem 6.3. In a follow-up paper, the first author will design evaluation algorithms for genus 2 modular equations and their derivatives, thereby obtaining estimates on $C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)$. Possible applications of our results to the point counting problem are a major goal for future work.

Let us describe the outline of our algorithm in the case of ℓ -isogenies from a geometric point of view, in any dimension g . The central object is the map

$$\Phi_\ell = (\Phi_{\ell,1}, \Phi_{\ell,2}): A_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g \times A_g$$

where $A_g(\ell)$ denotes the stack of principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension g with an ℓ -kernel, and A_g denotes the stack of principally polarized abelian schemes of

dimension g ; this map is given by $(A, K) \mapsto (A, A/K)$. Both $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are étale maps. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny, so that (A, A') lies in the image of Φ_ℓ . Denote by $T_A(\mathcal{A}_g)$ the tangent space of \mathcal{A}_g at A , and denote by $T_0(A)$ the tangent space of A at its neutral point. Then there is a close relation between two maps:

- the *deformation map* $\mathcal{D}(\varphi): T_A(\mathcal{A}_g) \rightarrow T_{A'}(\mathcal{A}_g)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{D}(\varphi) := d\Phi_{\ell,2} \circ d\Phi_{\ell,1}^{-1};$$

- the *tangent map* $d\varphi: T_0(A) \rightarrow T_0(A')$.

This relation stems from a canonical isomorphism, called the *Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism*, between $T_A(\mathcal{A}_g)$ and $\text{Sym}^2 T_0(A)$. Therefore, in any dimension g , an isogeny algorithm could run as follows.

1. Compute the deformation map by differentiating certain modular equations giving a local model of $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell)$ and \mathcal{A}_g . {step:defo}

2. Compute $d\varphi$ from the deformation map using an explicit version of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism, that is, an explicit way to map a pair (A, w) where w is an element of $\text{Sym}^2 T_0(A)$ to the corresponding point of $T_A(\mathcal{A}_g)$ in the local model of \mathcal{A}_g . {step:tangent}

3. Finally, attempt to reconstruct φ itself by solving a differential system in the formal group of A and performing a multivariate rational reconstruction. In this last step, the characteristic of k should be large with respect to ℓ , hence the condition on the characteristic in Theorem 1.1. Otherwise, a standard solution is to use étaleness of the modular correspondence to lift the isogeny in characteristic 0, as in [JLo6], and to control the precision losses when reconstructing the isogeny. {step:formal}

More Details 1.2. This last step is more standard, and is similar to the methods of [CE15; CMS+19]; this is where the hypothesis on $\text{char } k$ appears. The whole method, when applied to elliptic curves, is a reformulation of Elkies’s algorithm.

As explained, in genus 2, if ℓ does not satisfy $\text{char } k > 8\ell + 7$, the standard solution is to use étaleness of the modular correspondance to lift the isogeny in characteristic 0, as in [JLo6]. To get a bound on complexity, one would need to control the loss of p -adic precision when reconstructing the isogeny. Provided the precision loss is small enough (as is the case in dimension 1), the complexity of the isogeny reconstruction is the same, except that we need to call the algorithm evaluating modular polynomials $O(\log \log_p \ell)$ times rather than just once in order to compute the lift. Since we are mainly interested with ℓ small with respect to $\text{char } k$ (for instance for applications to point counting), we leave that as an open question.

In practice, working with stacks would involve adding an additional level structure and keeping track of automorphisms, which is not computationally convenient. Therefore, in order to make everything explicit in the case $g = 2$, we choose to replace the stack \mathcal{A}_2 by its coarse moduli scheme \mathbf{A}_2 . We even work up to birationality, by considering the birational map from \mathbf{A}_2 to \mathbb{A}^3 defined by the three Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) . These reductions have the drawback of introducing singularities; this is the reason for restricting to the open set U in Theorem 1.1. When the genericity conditions of Theorem 1.1 are not

satisfied, one can still compute the isogeny by working at the level of stacks, or choosing other models, for instance when A or A' is a product of elliptic curves.

In the genus 2 setting, the local model of $A_g(\ell)$ that we use in Step 1 is given by modular equations in Igusa invariants; in order to compute the deformation map, it is enough to evaluate modular equations and their derivatives at (A, A') . In Step 2, we choose to encode a basis of $T_0(A)$ in the choice of a hyperelliptic curve equation. Then, the explicit Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism is simply an expression for certain Siegel modular forms, namely derivatives of Igusa invariants, in terms of the coefficients of the curve (see Theorem 3.14). In Step 3, we take advantage of the fact that the curve C embeds in its Jacobian to compute with power series in one variable only.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we work over \mathbb{C} : Section 2 is devoted to the necessary background on modular forms and isogenies, while Section 3 is devoted to the explicit Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism and the computation of the tangent map. In Section 4, we call upon the language of algebraic stacks to show that the calculations over \mathbb{C} remain in fact valid over any base. We present the computation of the isogeny from its tangent map in Section 5, focusing on the large characteristic case which is sufficient for applications to point counting, and we sum up the algorithm in Section 6. Finally, in Appendix A, we present variants in the algorithm in the case of real multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and compute an example of cyclic isogeny of degree 11.

Acknowledgement. The authors were supported by the ANR grant CIAO (French Agence Nationale de la Recherche).

2 Background on modular forms and isogenies

{sec:mf}

We present the basic facts about Siegel and Hilbert modular only in the genus 2 case. References for this section are [vano8] for Siegel modular forms, and [Bruo8] for Hilbert modular forms, where the general case is treated.

We write 4×4 matrices in block notation using 2×2 blocks. We write m^t for the transpose of a matrix m , and use the notations

$$m^{-t} = (m^{-1})^t, \quad \text{Diag}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.1 Siegel modular forms

{subsec:siegel}

The Siegel threefold. Denote by \mathbb{H}_2 the set of complex symmetric 2×2 matrices with positive definite imaginary part. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, the quotient

$$A(\tau) = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Lambda(\tau) \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda(\tau) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z}^2$$

is naturally endowed with the structure of a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} . A basis of differential forms on $A(\tau)$ is given by

$$\omega(\tau) = (2\pi i dz_1, 2\pi i dz_2)$$

where z_1, z_2 are the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 . Recall that the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on \mathbb{H}_2 in the following way:

$$\forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}), \forall \tau \in \mathbb{H}_2, \gamma\tau = (a\tau + b)(c\tau + d)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([BL04, Rem. 8.1.4]). *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, and let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ with blocks a, b, c, d . Then there is an isomorphism*

{prop:H2-isom}

$$\eta_{\gamma, \tau}: A(\tau) \rightarrow A(\gamma\tau), \quad z \mapsto (c\tau + d)^{-t}z.$$

{thm:siegel-unif}

Theorem 2.2 ([BL04, Prop. 8.1.3]). *Let A be a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} . Then there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$ such that A is isomorphic to $A(\tau)$, and τ is uniquely determined up to action of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$.*

The quotient space $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ is the set of complex points of the coarse moduli space \mathbf{A}_2 alluded to in the introduction.

More Details 2.3. Theorem 2.2 shows that $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a moduli space for principally polarized abelian surfaces over \mathbb{C} . More generally, \mathbf{A}_2 is a moduli space over \mathbb{Z} for principally polarized abelian varieties, either in the coarse sense or as a stack [vano8, §10]. Hence, most of the computations that we make in the paper have an algebraic meaning; in order to prove that they are valid over any field, it is enough to do so over \mathbb{C} , since \mathbf{A}_2 is smooth as a stack over \mathbb{Z} . Alternatively, we can use a lifting argument to characteristic zero. We refer to Section 4 for much more details.

Siegel modular forms. Let $\rho: \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a finite-dimensional holomorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We can assume that ρ is irreducible. A *Siegel modular form* of weight ρ is a holomorphic map $f: \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow V$ satisfying the transformation rule

$$\forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}), \forall \tau \in \mathbb{H}_2, \quad f(\gamma\tau) = \rho(c\tau + d)f(\tau).$$

We say that f is *scalar-valued* if $\dim V = 1$, and *vector-valued* otherwise. A *modular function* is only required to be meromorphic instead of holomorphic.

If A is a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} endowed with a basis ω of $\Omega^1(A)$ (the space of global differential forms on A), and if f is a Siegel modular form of weight ρ , then it makes sense to evaluate f on the pair (A, ω) . We refer to §4 for a geometric interpretation of this fact. To compute this quantity, choose $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and an isomorphism $\eta: A \rightarrow A(\tau)$ as in Theorem 2.2. Let $r \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix of the pullback map $\eta^*: \Omega^1(A(\tau)) \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ in the bases $\omega(\tau), \omega$. Then

$$f(A, \omega) = \rho(r)f(\tau).$$

We can check using Proposition 2.1 that $f(A, \omega)$ does not depend on the choice of τ and η .

More Details 2.4. There is no need to enforce the holomorphy condition at the cusps: Koecher's principle asserts that it is automatically satisfied. Since every irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$ is 1-dimensional, only scalar-valued modular forms occur in genus 1; this is no longer the case in genus 2.

From a geometric point of view, scalar Siegel modular forms are sections of certain algebraic line bundles on A_2 . These line bundles can be realized as certain powers, depending on the weight ρ , of the *Hodge line bundle*. More generally, the fibre of the *Hodge vector bundle* over the isomorphism class of an abelian surface A can be identified with the dual of the vector space $\Omega^1(A)$ of differential forms on A . As a consequence, if f is a Siegel modular form of weight ρ , and ω is a basis of $\Omega^1(A)$, then the quantity $f(A, \omega)$ has an algebraic meaning. See [vano8, §10] and Section 4.4 for more details.

2.2 An explicit view on Siegel modular forms in genus 2

{subsec:siegel-g2}

Classification of weights. Finite-dimensional holomorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are well known. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. We denote by Sym^n the n -th symmetric power of the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . Explicitly, Sym^n is a representation on the vector space $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ of polynomials of degree at most n , with

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) W(x) = (bx + d)^n W\left(\frac{ax + c}{bx + d}\right).$$

We take $(x^n, \dots, x, 1)$ as the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$, so that we can write an endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ as a matrix; in particular we have

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.5. *The irreducible finite-dimensional holomorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are exactly the representations $\det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

{prop:irr-rep}

Proof. Since $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a simply connected Lie group, there is an equivalence between holomorphic finite-dimensional representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and representations of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ [Bou72, Ch. III, §6.1, Th. 1]. By [Bou75, Ch. VIII, §1.3, Th. 1], irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are classified by their higher weight; on the Lie group side, this shows that the holomorphic finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are exactly the representations Sym^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The case of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ follows easily. \square

The weight of a scalar-valued Siegel modular form f is of the form \det^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and in fact $k \geq 0$. We also say that f is a scalar-valued Siegel modular form of weight k . Writing Sym^n as a representation on $\mathbb{C}_n[x]$ allows us to multiply Siegel modular forms; hence, they naturally generate a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Fourier expansions. Let f be a Siegel modular form on \mathbb{H}_2 of any weight, with underlying vector space V . If $s \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is symmetric, then $f(\tau + s) = f(\tau)$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$. Hence, if we write

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_2 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q_j = \exp(2\pi i \tau_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 3,$$

then f has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(n_1, n_2, n_3) q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} q_3^{n_3}.$$

The Fourier coefficients $c_f(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ belong to V , and can be nonzero only when $n_1 \geq 0$, $n_3 \geq 0$, and $n_2^2 \leq 4n_1n_3$. Note that n_2 can still be negative.

When computing with q -expansions, we consider them as elements of the power series ring $\mathbb{C}(q_2)[[q_1, q_3]]$. Writing the beginning of a q -expansion means computing modulo an ideal of the form (q_1^ν, q_3^ν) for some precision $\nu \geq 0$.

Structure of scalar-valued forms. The full graded \mathbb{C} -algebra of Siegel modular forms in genus 2 is not finitely generated [vano8, §25], but the subalgebra of scalar-valued modular forms is.

{thm:siegel-structure}

Theorem 2.6 ([Igu62; Igu67]). *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra of scalar-valued even-weight Siegel modular forms in genus 2 is generated by four algebraically independent elements $\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}$, and χ_{12} of respective weights 4, 6, 10, 12, and q -expansions*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_4(\tau) &= 1 + 240(q_1 + q_3) \\ &\quad + (240q_2^2 + 13440q_2 + 30240 + 13340q_2^{-1} + 240q_2^{-2})q_1q_3 + O(q_1^2, q_3^2), \\ \psi_6(\tau) &= 1 - 504(q_1 + q_3) \\ &\quad + (-504q_2^2 + 44352q_2 + 166320 + 44352q_2^{-1} - 504q_2^{-2})q_1q_3 + O(q_1^2, q_3^2), \\ \chi_{10}(\tau) &= (q_2 - 2 + q_2^{-1})q_1q_3 + O(q_1^2, q_3^2), \\ \chi_{12}(\tau) &= (q_2 + 10 + q_2^{-1})q_1q_3 + O(q_1^2, q_3^2). \end{aligned}$$

The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra of scalar-valued Siegel modular forms in genus 2 is

$$\mathbb{C}[\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}] \oplus \chi_{35} \mathbb{C}[\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}, \chi_{12}]$$

where χ_{35} is a modular form of weight 35 and q -expansion

$$\chi_{35}(\tau) = q_1^2 q_3^2 (q_1 - q_3)(q_2 - q_2^{-1}) + O(q_1^4, q_3^4).$$

The q -expansions in Theorem 2.6 are easily computed from expressions in terms of theta functions, and their coefficients are integers. We warn the reader that different normalizations appear in the literature: our χ_{10} is -4 times the modular form χ_{10} appearing in Igusa's papers, our χ_{12} is 12 times Igusa's χ_{12} , and our χ_{35} is $4i$ times Igusa's χ_{35} .

The equality $\chi_{10}(\tau) = 0$ occurs exactly when $A(\tau)$ is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves with the product polarization; otherwise, $A(\tau)$ is isomorphic to the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve.

Following Streng [Str10, §2.1] and our choice of normalizations, we define the *Igusa invariants* to be

$$j_1 = 2^{-8} \frac{\psi_4 \psi_6}{\chi_{10}}, \quad j_2 = 2^{-5} \frac{\psi_4^2 \chi_{12}}{\chi_{10}^2}, \quad j_3 = 2^{-14} \frac{\psi_4^5}{\chi_{10}^2}.$$

They are Siegel modular functions of trivial weight, i.e. weight \det^0 .

{prop:igusa-birational}

Proposition 2.7. *Igusa invariants define a birational map $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$.*

Proof. By the theorem of Baily and Borel [BB66, Thm. 10.11], scalar-valued Siegel modular forms of sufficiently high even weight realize a projective embedding of $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6, Igusa invariants generate the function field of $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$. \square

{rem:invariants_bielliptic}

Remark 2.8. Proposition 2.7 shows that generically, giving (j_1, j_2, j_3) in \mathbb{C} uniquely specifies an isomorphism class of principally polarized abelian surfaces over \mathbb{C} . This correspondence only holds on an open set: Igusa invariants are not defined on products of elliptic curves, and do not represent a unique isomorphism class when $\psi_4 = 0$. If one wants to consider these points nonetheless, it is best to make another choice of invariants: for instance one could use

$$h_1 = \frac{\psi_6^2}{\psi_4^3}, \quad h_2 = \frac{\chi_{12}}{\psi_4^3}, \quad h_3 = \frac{\chi_{10}\psi_6}{\psi_4^4}$$

which are generically well-defined on products of elliptic curves. See [Liu93, Thm. 1.V] for an interpretation of these invariants in terms of $j(E_1) + j(E_2)$ and $j(E_1)j(E_2)$ when evaluated on a product $E_1 \times E_2$.

Examples of vector-valued forms. Derivatives of Igusa invariants are modular function themselves; as explained in the introduction, this property stems from the existence of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism.

{prop:mf-derivative}

Proposition 2.9. *Let f be a Siegel modular function of trivial weight. Then*

$$\frac{df}{d\tau} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_1} x^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_2} x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_3}$$

is a Siegel modular function of weight Sym^2 .

Proof. Differentiate the relation $f(\gamma\tau) = f(\tau)$ with respect to τ . \square

More Details 2.10. Proposition 2.9 has an algebraic interpretation. For every principally polarized abelian surface A , the Kodaira–Spencer map is a canonical isomorphism between the vector space $\text{Sym}^2(\Omega^1(A))$ and the tangent space of \mathcal{A}_2 at A [And17, p. 1.4.1], Section 4.3. Therefore, the derivative of an invariant is naturally a meromorphic section of the vector bundle on \mathcal{A}_2 defining modular forms of weight Sym^2 .

We will use another vector-valued modular form in the sequel.

{ex:f86}

Example 2.11. Following Ibukiyama [Ibu12], let $E_8 \subset \mathbb{R}^8$ denote the lattice of half-integer vectors $v = (v_1, \dots, v_8)$ subject to the conditions

$$\sum_{k=1}^8 v_k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall 1 \leq k, l \leq 8, v_k - v_l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Set $a = (2, 1, i, i, i, i, 0)$ and $b = (1, -1, i, i, 1, -1, -i, i)$, where $i^2 = -1$. Define

$$f_{8,6}(\tau) = \frac{1}{111456000} \sum_{j=0}^6 \binom{6}{j} \Theta_j(\tau) x^j$$

where, using the notation $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^8 v_k w_k$,

$$\Theta_j(\tau) = \sum_{v, v' \in E_8} \langle v, a \rangle^j \cdot \langle v', a \rangle^{6-j} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \langle v, a \rangle & \langle v', a \rangle \\ \langle v, b \rangle & \langle v', b \rangle \end{vmatrix}^4 \cdot \exp(i\pi(\langle v, v \rangle \tau_1 + 2\langle v, v' \rangle \tau_2 + \langle v', v' \rangle \tau_3)).$$

Then $f_{8,6}$ is a nonzero Siegel modular form of weight $\det^8 \text{Sym}^6$. This definition provides an explicit, but slow, method to compute the first coefficients of the q -expansion; using the expression of $f_{8,6}$ in terms of theta series [CFv17] would be faster. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{8,6}(\tau) = & (4q_2^2 - 16q_2 + 24 - 16q_2^{-1} + 4q_2^{-2})q_1^2q_3 + \dots x^6 \\ & + (12q_2^2 - 24q_2 + 24q_2^{-1} - 12q_2^{-2})q_1^2q_3 + \dots x^5 \\ & + (-q_2 + 2 - q_2^{-1})q_1q_3 + \dots x^4 \\ & + (-2q_2 + 2q_2^{-1})q_1q_3 + \dots x^3 \\ & + (-q_2 + 2 - q_2^{-1})q_1q_3 + \dots x^2 \\ & + (12q_2^2 - 24q_2 + 24q_2^{-1} - 12q_2^{-2})q_1q_3^2 + \dots x \\ & + (4q_2^2 - 16q_2 + 24 - 16q_2^{-1} + 4q_2^{-2})q_1q_3^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Hilbert modular forms

{subsec:hilbert}

In the context of Hilbert surfaces and abelian surfaces with real multiplication, we consistently use the following notation:

K	a real quadratic number field (embedded in \mathbb{R})
Δ	the discriminant of K , so that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$
\mathbb{Z}_K	the ring of integers in K
\mathbb{Z}_K^\vee	the trace dual of \mathbb{Z}_K , in other words $\mathbb{Z}_K^\vee = 1/\sqrt{\Delta} \mathbb{Z}_K$
$x \mapsto \bar{x}$	real conjugation in K
Σ	the embedding $x \mapsto (x, \bar{x})$ from K to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Finally, we denote

$$\Gamma_K = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_K \oplus \mathbb{Z}_K^\vee) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(K) \mid a, d \in \mathbb{Z}_K, b \in (\mathbb{Z}_K^\vee)^{-1}, c \in \mathbb{Z}_K^\vee \right\}.$$

A principally polarized abelian surface A over \mathbb{C} has *real multiplication* by \mathbb{Z}_K if it is endowed with an embedding

$$\iota: \mathbb{Z}_K \hookrightarrow \text{End}^{\text{sym}}(A),$$

where $\text{End}^{\text{sym}}(A)$ denotes the set of endomorphisms of A that are invariant under the Rosati involution.

Hilbert surfaces. Denote by \mathbb{H}_1 the complex upper half plane. For every $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, the quotient

$$A_K(t) = \mathbb{C}^2 / \Lambda_K(t) \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda_K(t) = \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_K^\vee) \oplus \text{Diag}(t_1, t_2) \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_K)$$

is naturally endowed with the structure of a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} , and has a real multiplication embedding $\iota_K(t)$ given by multiplication via Σ . It is also endowed with the basis of differential forms

$$\omega_K(t) = (2\pi i dz_1, 2\pi i dz_2).$$

The involution σ of \mathbb{H}_1^2 given by $\sigma((t_1, t_2)) = (t_2, t_1)$ exchanges the two differential forms in the basis, and exchanges the real multiplication embedding with its conjugate.

The embedding Σ induces a map $\Gamma_K \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})^2$. Through this embedding, the group Γ_K acts on \mathbb{H}_1^2 by the usual action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}_1 on each coordinate.

{thm:hilbert-unif}

Theorem 2.12 ([BL04, §9.2]). *Let (A, ι) be a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ such that (A, ι) is isomorphic to $(A_K(t), \iota_K(t))$, and t is uniquely determined up to action of Γ_K .*

The quotient $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_K \backslash \mathbb{H}_1^2$ is the set of complex points of an algebraic variety \mathbf{H}_2 called a *Hilbert surface*.

Hilbert modular forms. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. A *Hilbert modular form* of weight (k_1, k_2) is a holomorphic function $f: \mathbb{H}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the transformation rule

$$\forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_K, \forall t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2, f(\gamma t) = (ct_1 + d)^{k_1} (\bar{c}t_2 + \bar{d})^{k_2} f(t).$$

We say that f is *symmetric* if $f \circ \sigma = f$. If f is nonzero and symmetric, then its weight (k_1, k_2) is automatically *parallel*, meaning $k_1 = k_2$. A Hilbert modular *function* is only required to be meromorphic instead of holomorphic.

All irreducible representations of $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})^2$ are 1-dimensional, so there is no need to consider vector-valued forms. The analogue of Proposition 2.9 for Hilbert modular forms is the following.

{prop:mf-hilbert-derivative}

Proposition 2.13. *Let f be a Hilbert modular function of weight $(0, 0)$. Then the partial derivatives $\partial f / \partial t_1$ and $\partial f / \partial t_2$ are Hilbert modular functions of weight $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ respectively.*

Proof. Differentiate the relation $f(\gamma t) = f(t)$. □

Let (A, ι) be a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . As in the Siegel case, we would like to evaluate Hilbert modular forms when a basis of differential forms on A is given; this is possible if we restrict to bases of $\Omega^1(A)$ which behave well with respect to the real multiplication embedding.

More Details 2.14. From a geometric point of view, we have two line bundles \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 on $A_{2,K}$ whose fibres over the isomorphism class of (A, ι) are given by

$$\{\omega \in \Omega^1(A) \mid \forall \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K, \iota(\beta)^* \omega = \beta \omega \text{ (resp. } \bar{\beta} \omega)\}^\vee.$$

Hilbert modular forms of weight (k_1, k_2) are holomorphic sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1^{k_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{k_2}$ [van88, p. X.3], More Details 4.35.

Definition 2.15. Let ω be a basis of $\Omega^1(A)$. We say that (A, ι, ω) is *Hilbert-normalized* if for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, the matrix of $\iota(\alpha)^* : \Omega^1(A) \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ in the basis ω is $\text{Diag}(\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$.

{def:hilbert-normalized}

If (A, ι, ω) is Hilbert-normalized and f is a Hilbert modular form of weight (k_1, k_2) , then the quantity $f(A, \iota, \omega)$ is computed as follows. Choose $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ and an isomorphism $\eta : (A, \iota) \rightarrow (A_K(t), \iota_K(t))$ as in Theorem 2.12, and let $r \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega(t), \omega$. Then r is diagonal, $r = \text{Diag}(r_1, r_2)$, and

$$f(A, \iota, \omega) = r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2} f(t).$$

2.4 The Hilbert embedding

{subsec:hilbert-siegel}

Forgetting the real multiplication structure yields a map $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ from the Hilbert surface to the Siegel threefold. In fact, this forgetful map comes from a linear map

$$H: \mathbb{H}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$$

called the *Hilbert embedding*, which we now describe explicitly. Let (e_1, e_2) be the \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}_K given by $e_1 = 1$ and

$$e_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \quad \text{if } \Delta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad e_2 = \sqrt{\Delta} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Set $R = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 \\ \bar{e}_1 & \bar{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and define

$$H: \mathbb{H}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2, \quad t = (t_1, t_2) \mapsto R^t \text{Diag}(t_1, t_2) R.$$

Proposition 2.16. For every $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, left multiplication by R^t on \mathbb{C}^2 induces an isomorphism $A_K(t) \rightarrow A(H(t))$.

{prop:hilbert-embedding}

Proof. By definition, $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_K) = R \mathbb{Z}^2$, and since \mathbb{Z}_K^\vee is the trace dual of \mathbb{Z}_K , we have $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_K^\vee) = R^{-t} \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then a direct computation shows that

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2, \Lambda(H(t)) = R^t \Lambda_K(t). \quad \square$$

The Hilbert embedding is compatible with the actions of the modular groups.

Proposition 2.17 ([LY11, Prop. 3.1]).

{prop:hilbert-embedding-action}

1. Under H , the action of Γ_K on \mathbb{H}_1^2 is transformed into the action of $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{H}_2 by means of the morphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} R^t & 0 \\ 0 & R^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & b^* \\ c^* & d^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$$

where we write $x^* = \mathrm{Diag}(x, \bar{x})$ for $x \in K$.

2. Define

$$M_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (0) \\ \delta & -1 & \\ (0) & 1 & \delta \\ & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta = 1$ if $\Delta = 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. Then we have

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2, H(\sigma(t)) = M_\sigma H(t).$$

Moreover, pulling back a Siegel modular form via the Hilbert embedding gives a Hilbert modular form. {prop:mf-pullback}

Proposition 2.18. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f: \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_n[x]$ be a Siegel modular form of weight $\rho = \det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$. Define the functions $g_i: \mathbb{H}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ by

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2, \sum_{i=0}^n g_i(t) x^i = \rho(R)f(H(t)).$$

Then each g_i is a Hilbert modular form of weight $(k+i, k+n-i)$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check the transformation rule using Proposition 2.17. The heart of the computation is that on diagonal matrices $\mathrm{Diag}(r_1, r_2)$, the representation $\det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$ splits: the coefficient before x^i is multiplied by $(r_1 r_2)^k r_1^i r_2^{n-i}$. \square

Corollary 2.19. If f is a scalar-valued Siegel modular form of weight \det^k , then $H^*f: t \mapsto f(H(t))$ is a symmetric Hilbert modular form of weight (k, k) . {cor:scalar-pullback}

Proof. Since $\det(R)^k$ is a nonzero constant, by Proposition 2.18, the function H^*f is a Hilbert modular form of weight (k, k) . Moreover $\det(M_\sigma) = 1$, so H^*f is symmetric by Proposition 2.17. \square

The image of the Hilbert embedding in $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called a *Humbert surface*. It can be described by an equation in terms of Igusa invariants, which grows quickly in size with the discriminant Δ , but can be computed in small cases [Gru10]. {prop:igusa-hilbert}

Proposition 2.20. Igusa invariants generate the field of symmetric Hilbert modular functions of weight $(0, 0)$. They define a birational map from $\mathbf{A}_{2,K}(\mathbb{C})$ to the closed subset of \mathbb{C}^3 cut out by the Humbert equation.

Proof. The image of H in $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not contained in the codimension 1 subset where Igusa invariants are not a local isomorphism to \mathbb{A}^3 . \square

To ease notation, we also write j_k for the pullback H^*j_k , for each $1 \leq k \leq 3$.

2.5 Isogenies between abelian surfaces

{subsec:isogenies}

Let k be a field, and let A be a principally polarized abelian surface over k . Denote its dual by A^\vee and its principal polarization by $\pi: A \rightarrow A^\vee$. Recall that for every line bundle \mathcal{L} on A , there is a morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}: A \rightarrow A^\vee$ defined by $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = T_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, where T_x denotes translation by x on A . Finally, let $\text{NS}(A)$ denote the Néron–Severi group of A , consisting of line bundles up to algebraic equivalence.

Theorem 2.21 ([Mil86a, Prop. 14.2]). *For every $\zeta \in \text{End}^{\text{sym}}(A)$, there is a unique symmetric line bundle \mathcal{L}_A^ζ such that $\phi_{\mathcal{L}_A^\zeta} = \pi \circ \zeta$. This association induces an isomorphism of groups*

{thm:NS-End}

$$(\text{End}^{\text{sym}}(A), +) \simeq (\text{NS}(A), \otimes).$$

Under this isomorphism, line bundles giving rise to polarizations correspond to totally positive elements in $\text{End}^{\text{sym}}(A)$.

In this notation, \mathcal{L}_A^1 is the line bundle associated with the principal polarization π . We will consider two different isogeny types that we now define.

{def:beta-isog}

Definition 2.22. Let k be a field.

1. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime, and let A, A' be principally polarized abelian surfaces over k . An isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ is called an ℓ -isogeny if

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{L}_{A'}^1 = \mathcal{L}_A^\ell.$$

2. Let K be a real quadratic field, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ be a totally positive prime. Let (A, ι) and (A', ι') be principally polarized abelian surfaces over k with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . An isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ is called a β -isogeny if

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{L}_{A'}^1 = \mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\beta)}$$

and

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K, \varphi \circ \iota(\alpha) = \iota'(\alpha) \circ \varphi.$$

For a generic principally polarized abelian surface, ℓ -isogenies are the simplest kind of isogenies that occur. They have degree ℓ^2 . If we restrict to abelian surfaces with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , then β -isogenies are smaller: their degree is only $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)$ [DJR+17, Prop. 2.1].

Both ℓ - and β -isogenies are easily described over \mathbb{C} . For $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, write

$$t/\beta := (t_1/\beta, t_2/\bar{\beta}).$$

The following well-known statement is a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.12, using the facts that the kernel of an ℓ -isogeny is a maximal isotropic subgroup of the ℓ -torsion, and the kernel of a β -isogeny is a cyclic subgroup of the β -torsion.

Proposition 2.23.

{prop:complex-isog}

1. For every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, the identity map on \mathbb{C}^2 induces an ℓ -isogeny

$$A(\tau) \rightarrow A(\tau/\ell).$$

Let A, A' be principally polarized abelian surfaces over \mathbb{C} , and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny. Then there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$ such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A' \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ A(\tau) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z} & A(\tau/\ell). \end{array}$$

2. For every $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, the identity map on \mathbb{C}^2 induces a β -isogeny

$$(A_K(t), \iota_K(t)) \rightarrow (A_K(t/\beta), \iota_K(t/\beta)).$$

Let $(A, \iota), (A', \iota')$ be principally polarized abelian surfaces over \mathbb{C} with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , and let $\varphi: (A, \iota) \rightarrow (A', \iota')$ be a β -isogeny. Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, \iota) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (A', \iota') \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ (A_K(t), \iota_K(t)) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z} & (A_K(t/\beta), \iota_K(t/\beta)). \end{array}$$

2.6 Modular equations

{subsec:modpol}

Modular equations encode the presence of an isogeny between principally polarized abelian surfaces, as the classical modular polynomial does for elliptic curves. To define them, we use the fact that the extension of the field $\mathbb{C}(j_1(\tau), j_2(\tau), j_3(\tau))$ constructed by adjoining $j_1(\tau/\ell), j_2(\tau/\ell), j_3(\tau/\ell)$ is finite and generated by $j_1(\tau/\ell)$. A similar statement holds for Igusa invariants at t/β in the Hilbert case [MR17, Prop. 4.11].

Definition 2.24.

{def:modeq}

1. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. We call the *Siegel modular equations of level ℓ* the data of the three polynomials $\Psi_{\ell,1}, \Psi_{\ell,2}, \Psi_{\ell,3} \in \mathbb{C}(J_1, J_2, J_3)[J'_1]$ defined as follows:
 - $\Psi_{\ell,1}$ is the monic minimal polynomial of the function $j_1(\tau/\ell)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}(j_1(\tau), j_2(\tau), j_3(\tau))$.
 - For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, we have the following equality of meromorphic functions:

$$j_i(\tau/\ell) = \Psi_{\ell,i}(j_1(\tau), j_2(\tau), j_3(\tau), j_1(\tau/\ell)).$$

2. Let K be a real quadratic field, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ be a totally positive prime. We call the *Hilbert modular equations of level β* the data of the three polynomials $\Psi_{\beta,1}, \Psi_{\beta,2}, \Psi_{\beta,3}$ defined as follows:

- $\Psi_{\beta,1}$ is the monic minimal polynomial of the function $j_1(t/\beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}(j_1(t), j_2(t), j_3(t))$.
- For $i \in \{2, 3\}$, we have the following equality of meromorphic functions:

$$j_i(t/\beta) = \Psi_{\beta,i}(j_1(t), j_2(t), j_3(t), j_1(t/\beta)).$$

In the Hilbert case, since Igusa invariants are symmetric by Corollary 2.19, the modular equations encode β - and $\bar{\beta}$ -isogenies simultaneously [MR17, Ex. 4.17]. It would be better to consider modular equations with non-symmetric invariants; however, we know of no good choice of such invariants in general.

As explained in the introduction, modular equations really are equations for the image of a map defined at the level of algebraic stacks. As a consequence, they have coefficients in \mathbb{Q} . Since Igusa invariants have poles on \mathbf{A}_2 and \mathbf{H}_2 , modular equations in genus 2 have denominators [MR17, Rem. 4.20]. If we multiply by these denominators, then we may consider modular polynomials as elements of $\mathbb{C}[J_1, J_2, J_3, J'_1, J'_2, J'_3]$ that vanish on the Igusa invariants of isogenous Jacobians: this is what we do in the sequel.

From a practical point of view, modular equations in genus 2 are very large. This is especially true for Siegel modular equations of level ℓ . The degree of $\Psi_{\ell,1}$ in J'_1 is $\ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1$, and its degree in J_1, J_2, J_3 has the same order of magnitude, not mentioning the height of the coefficients. The situation is less desperate for Hilbert modular equations of level β : the degree of $\Psi_{\beta,1}$ in J'_1 is $2N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) + 2$ [MR17, Ex. 4.17]. Modular equations have been computed for $\ell = 2$ and 3 in the Siegel case, up to $N(\beta) = 41$ in the Hilbert case with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ using Gundlach invariants, and even up to $N(\beta) = 97$ for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ using theta constants as invariants [Mil].

3 Explicit Kodaira–Spencer over \mathbb{C}

{sec:cov}

A nonsingular hyperelliptic equation $C : v^2 = E_C(u)$ over \mathbb{C} naturally encodes a basis of differential forms $\omega(C)$ on the principally polarized abelian surface $\text{Jac}(C)$ (§3.1). If f is a Siegel modular function, this gives rise to a map

$$\text{Cov}(f) : C \mapsto f(\text{Jac}(C), \omega(C)).$$

Then, $\text{Cov}(f)$ is a *covariant* of the curve, and has an expression in terms of the coefficients. We give an algorithm to obtain this expression from the q -expansion of f (§3.2), and apply it to the derivatives of Igusa invariants (§3.3). The result is the explicit Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism. This allows us to compute the deformation map and the tangent map of a given ℓ -isogeny over \mathbb{C} (§3.4). Finally, we adapt these methods to the Hilbert case (§3.5).

3.1 Hyperelliptic equations

{subsec:hyperelliptic}

Let C be a nonsingular hyperelliptic equation of genus 2 over \mathbb{C} :

$$C : v^2 = E_C(u),$$

with $\deg E_C \in \{5, 6\}$. Then C is naturally endowed with the basis of differential forms

$$\omega(C) = \left(\frac{u \, du}{v}, \frac{du}{v} \right).$$

Recall that the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)$ is a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} [Mil86b, Thm. 1.1 and Summary 6.11]. Choose a base point P on C . This gives an embedding

$$\eta_P: C \hookrightarrow \text{Jac}(C), \quad Q \mapsto [Q - P].$$

{prop:etaP-diff}

Proposition 3.1 ([Mil86b, Prop. 2.2]). *The map*

$$\eta_P^*: \Omega^1(\text{Jac}(C)) \rightarrow \Omega^1(C)$$

is an isomorphism and is independent of P .

By Proposition 3.1, we can see $\omega(C)$ as a basis of differential forms on $\text{Jac}(C)$. This basis depends on the particular hyperelliptic equation chosen.

{lem:hyperell-isomorphism}

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be a genus 2 hyperelliptic equation over \mathbb{C} , and let*

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $E_{C'}$ be the image of E_C by $\det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6(r)$, and let C' be the curve with equation $y'^2 = E_{C'}(x')$. Let $\eta: C' \rightarrow C$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$\eta(x', y') = \left(\frac{ax' + c}{bx' + d}, \frac{(\det r) y'}{(bx' + d)^3} \right).$$

Then the matrix of $\eta^: \Omega^1(C) \rightarrow \Omega^1(C')$ in the bases $\omega(C), \omega(C')$ is r .*

Proof. Write $(x, y) = \eta(x', y')$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\frac{dx}{y} = (bx' + d) \frac{dx'}{y'} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x \, dx}{y} = (ax' + c) \frac{dx'}{y'},$$

so the result follows. \square

{cor:hyperell-rep}

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} that is not a product of two elliptic curves, and let ω be a basis of $\Omega^1(A)$. Then there exists a unique hyperelliptic curve equation C of genus 2 over \mathbb{C} such that*

$$(\text{Jac}(C), \omega(C)) \simeq (A, \omega).$$

Proof. By Torelli's theorem, there is a curve equation C_0 over \mathbb{C} such that A is isomorphic to $\text{Jac}(C_0)$. Then ω differs from $\omega(C_0)$ by a linear transformation in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. By Lemma 3.2, we can make a suitable change of variables to find the correct C . It is unique because every isomorphism between hyperelliptic curves comes from such a matrix r . \square

Definition 3.4. The bases of differential forms chosen in §2 allows us to define particular curve equations attached to a point of \mathbb{H}_2 or \mathbb{H}_1^2 .

1. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, and assume that $\chi_{10}(\tau) \neq 0$. Then, by Corollary 3.3, there exists a unique hyperelliptic equation $C(\tau)$ over \mathbb{C} such that

$$(\text{Jac}(C(\tau)), \omega(C(\tau))) \simeq (A(\tau), \omega(\tau)).$$

We call $C(\tau)$ the *standard curve* attached to τ . We define the meromorphic functions $a_i(\tau)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 6$ to be the coefficients of $C(\tau)$:

$$C(\tau) : y^2 = \sum_{i=0}^6 a_i(\tau)x^i.$$

2. Let $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, and assume that $\chi_{10}(H(t)) \neq 0$, where H is the Hilbert embedding. Then, by Corollary 3.3, there exists a unique hyperelliptic equation $C_K(t)$ over \mathbb{C} such that

$$(\text{Jac}(C_K(t)), \omega(C_K(t))) \simeq (A_K(t), \omega_K(t)).$$

We call $C_K(t)$ the *standard curve* attached to t .

Proposition 3.5. *The function $\tau \mapsto C(\tau)$ is a Siegel modular function of weight $\det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6$ which has no poles on the open set $\{\chi_{10} \neq 0\}$.* {prop:curve-siegel-mf}

Proof. Over \mathbb{C} , the Torelli map is biholomorphic, so this function is meromorphic. By Corollary 3.3, it is defined everywhere on $\{\chi_{10} \neq 0\}$. Combining Proposition 2.1 with Lemma 3.2 shows the transformation rule. \square

Finally, for $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, we can relate the standard curves $C_K(t)$ and $C(H(t))$.

Proposition 3.6. *For every $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, we have* {prop:hibl-standard-curve}

$$C_K(t) = \det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6(R) C(H(t)).$$

Proof. Use Proposition 2.16 and Lemma 3.2. \square

3.2 Covariants {subsec:cov}

If f is a Siegel modular form, then we have a map

$$\text{Cov}(f) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto f(\text{Jac}(C), \omega(C)).$$

We show that $\text{Cov}(f)$ is a covariant of the curve equation. A recent reference for covariants is Mestre's article [Mes91]. {def:cov}

Definition 3.7. Denote by $\mathbb{C}_6[x]$ the space of polynomials of degree at most 6. Let $\rho : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a finite-dimensional holomorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. A *covariant*, or *polynomial covariant*, of weight ρ is a map

$$C : \mathbb{C}_6[x] \rightarrow V$$

which is polynomial in the coefficients, and such that the following transformation rule holds: for every $r \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $W \in \mathbb{C}_6[x]$,

$$C(\det^{-2} \mathrm{Sym}^6(r) W) = \rho(r) C(W).$$

If $\dim V \geq 2$, then C is said to be *vector-valued*, and otherwise *scalar-valued*. A *fractional covariant* is a map satisfying the same transformation rule which is only required to have a fractional expression in terms of the coefficients.

It is enough to consider covariants of weight $\det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. What we call a vector-valued covariant of weight $\det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$ is in Mestre's paper a covariant of order n and degree $k + n/2$; what we call a scalar-valued covariant of weight \det^k is in Mestre's paper an invariant of degree k . The reason for this change of terminology is the following.

Proposition 3.8. *If f be a Siegel modular function of weight ρ , then $\mathrm{Cov}(f)$ is a fractional covariant of weight ρ . Conversely, if F is a fractional covariant of weight ρ , then the meromorphic function $\tau \mapsto F(C(\tau))$ is a Siegel modular function of weight ρ . These operations are inverse of each other.*

{prop:siegel-cov-weight}

Proof. If f is a Siegel modular function, then $\mathrm{Cov}(f)$ is well defined on a Zariski open set of $\mathbb{C}_6[x]$ and is algebraic, so must have a fractional expression in terms of the coefficients. We let the reader check the transformation rules (use Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.5). \square

Proposition 3.8 gives a bijection between Siegel modular *functions* and *fractional* covariants, but we need more. The following theorem establishes a relation between Siegel modular *forms* and *polynomial* covariants, and was first proved in [CFv17, §4].

Theorem 3.9. *Let f be a holomorphic Siegel modular form. Then $\mathrm{Cov}(f)$ is a polynomial covariant. Moreover, if f is a cusp form, then $\mathrm{Cov}(f/\chi_{10})$ is also a polynomial covariant.*

{thm:siegel-cov}

Proof. The main difficulty is that nonsingular hyperelliptic equations only form a codimension 1 subset of all degree 6 polynomials: if f is a Siegel modular form, then the proof of Proposition 3.8 only shows that $\mathrm{Cov}(f)$ is a polynomial divided by some power of the discriminant. However, one can show that f extends to the so-called toroidal compactification of $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and this shows that $\mathrm{Cov}(f)$ is well defined on all curve equations with at most one node. Since this set has codimension 2, the result follows. \square

Unlike for Siegel modular forms, the graded \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by polynomial covariants is finitely generated.

Theorem 3.10 ([Cle72, p. 296]). *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra of covariants is generated by 26 elements defined over \mathbb{Q} . The number of generators of weight $\det^k \mathrm{Sym}^n$ is indicated in the following table:*

{thm:cov-structure}

$n \setminus k$	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	15
0						1		1		1				1		1
2							1		1		1		1			
4				1		1	1			1		1				
6		1			1	1		2								
8			1	1		1										
10					1											
12	1															

We only need to manipulate a small subset of these generators. Take our scalar generators of even weight to be the Igusa–Clebsch invariants I_2, I_4, I_6, I_{10} , in Mestre’s notation A', B', C', D' [Mes91], and set

$$I'_6 := (I_2 I_4 - 3I_6)/2.$$

Denote the generator of weight \det^{15} by R , and denote by y_1, y_2, y_3 the generators of weights $\det^2 \text{Sym}^2$, $\det^4 \text{Sym}^2$, and $\det^6 \text{Sym}^2$ respectively. Finally, the generator of weight $\det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6$, denoted by X , is the degree 6 polynomial itself. Note that when computing these covariants as described in [Mes91, §1], the integers m and n on page 315 should be the *orders* of f and g , and not their degrees. To help the reader check their computations, we mention that the coefficient of $a_1^5 a_4^{10}$ in R is $2^{-2} 3^{-6} 5^{-10}$.

3.3 From q -expansions to covariants

We now explain how to compute the polynomial covariant associated with a Siegel modular form whose q -expansion is known up to a certain precision. The works of Igusa already provide the answer in the case of scalar covariants.

{subsec:identification}

Theorem 3.11. *We have*

{thm:scalar-identification}

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \text{Cov}(\psi_4) &= I_4, \\ 4 \text{Cov}(\psi_6) &= I'_6, \\ 2^{12} \text{Cov}(\chi_{10}) &= I_{10}, \\ 2^{15} \text{Cov}(\chi_{12}) &= I_2 I_{10}, \\ 2^{37} 3^{-9} 5^{-10} \text{Cov}(\chi_{35}) &= I_{10}^2 R. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [Igu62, p. 848], there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that these relations hold up to a factor λ^k , for $k \in \{4, 6, 10, 12, 35\}$ respectively. Note that Igusa’s covariant E is $-2^5 3^9 5^{10} R$. Then, Thomae’s formulæ ([Mum84, Thm. IIIa.8.1] and [Tho70, pp. 216–217]), which relate theta constants with the values of path integrals on the associated hyperelliptic curve, imply that $\lambda = 1$. \square

Therefore, the Igusa invariants satisfy

$$\text{Cov}(j_1) = \frac{I_4 I'_6}{I_{10}}, \quad \text{Cov}(j_2) = \frac{I_2 I_4^2}{I_{10}}, \quad \text{Cov}(j_3) = \frac{I_4^5}{I_{10}^2}.$$

Let us compute the q -expansion of the standard curve $C(\tau)$. Recall the Siegel modular form $f_{8,6}$ of weight $\det^8 \text{Sym}^6$ introduced in Example 2.11.

{prop:f86}

Proposition 3.12. *We have $\text{Cov}(f_{8,6}/\chi_{10}) = X$. In other words, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$ such that $\chi_{10}(\tau) \neq 0$, we have*

$$C(\tau) = \frac{f_{8,6}(\tau)}{\chi_{10}(\tau)}.$$

Proof. Since $f_{8,6}$ is a cusp form, by Theorem 3.9, $\text{Cov}(f_{8,6}/\chi_{10})$ is a nonzero polynomial covariant of weight $\det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6$. By Theorem 3.10, this space of covariants is of dimension 1 and generated by X , so the relation holds up to a factor $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. This yields q -expansions for the coefficients $a_i(\tau)$ of $C(\tau)$ up to a factor λ . Then, the relations from Theorem 3.11 imply $\lambda^4 = \lambda^6 = \lambda^{35} = 1$, hence $\lambda = 1$. \square

Given a Siegel modular form f of weight ρ whose q -expansion can be computed, the following algorithm recovers the expression of $\text{Cov}(f)$ as a polynomial.

{alg:qexp-to-cov}

Algorithm 3.13. 1. Compute a basis \mathcal{B} of the vector space of polynomial covariants of weight ρ using Theorem 3.10.

{step:qexp}

2. Choose a precision ν and compute the q -expansion of f modulo (q_1^ν, q_3^ν) .
3. For every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, compute the q -expansion of the Siegel modular function $\tau \mapsto B(C(\tau))$ using Proposition 3.12.
4. Do linear algebra; if the matrix does not have full rank, go back to step 2 with a larger ν .

Sturm-type bounds [BP17] provide a theoretical limit for the precision ν that we need to consider; for the examples given in this article, $\nu = 3$ is enough.

We now apply Algorithm 3.13 to derivatives of Igusa invariants. Recall from Proposition 2.9 that for $1 \leq k \leq 3$, the partial derivative

$$\frac{dj_k}{d\tau} := \frac{\partial j_k}{\partial \tau_1} x^2 + \frac{\partial j_k}{\partial \tau_2} x + \frac{\partial j_k}{\partial \tau_3}$$

is a Siegel modular function of weight Sym^2 .

{thm:vector-identification}

Theorem 3.14. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dj_1}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_{10}} \left(\frac{153}{8} I_2^2 I_4 y_1 - \frac{135}{2} I_2 I_6 y_1 + \frac{135}{2} I_4^2 y_1 + \frac{46575}{4} I_2 I_4 y_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 30375 I_6 y_2 + 1366875 I_4 y_3 \right), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dj_2}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_{10}} \left(90 I_2^2 I_4 y_1 + 900 I_2^2 y_1 + 40500 I_2 I_4 y_2 \right), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dj_3}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_{10}^2} \left(225 I_2 I_4^4 y_1 + 101250 I_4^4 y_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq k \leq 3$. The function $\chi_{10}^2 j_k$ has no poles on $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the Siegel modular function

$$f_k = \chi_{10}^3 \frac{dj_k}{d\tau}$$

is holomorphic on $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Its q -expansion can be computed from the q -expansion of j_k by formal differentiation. Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} = q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we check that f_k is a cusp form. Therefore, by Theorem 3.9, $\text{Cov}(f_k/\chi_{10})$ is a polynomial covariant of weight $\det^{20} \text{Sym}^2$. Looking at the table in Theorem 3.10, we find that a basis of this space of covariants is given by covariants of the form Iy where $y \in \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ and I is a scalar-valued covariant of the appropriate even weight. Algorithm 3.13 succeeds with $\nu = 3$; the computations were done using Pari/GP [The19]. \square

{rem:vector-identification-numche}

Remark 3.15. Theorems 3.11 and 3.14 can be checked numerically. Computing big period matrices of hyperelliptic curves [MN19] provides pairs $(\tau, C(\tau))$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$. We can evaluate Igusa invariants at a given τ to high precision using their expression in terms of theta functions [Dup11]. Therefore we can also evaluate their derivatives numerically with high precision and compute the associated covariant using floating-point linear algebra. The computations were done using the libraries `hperiods` [Mol18] and `cmh` [ET14]; they provide a nice consistency check to Theorem 3.14. Another consistency check is that we can recover the relations from Theorem 3.11.

{rem:derivatives-other-invariants}

Remark 3.16. From Theorem 3.14, we can easily obtain similar formulæ for derivatives of other invariants, or even invariants for abelian surfaces with extra structure such as theta constants. For instance, taking the invariants h_1, h_2, h_3 defined in Remark 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dh_1}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_4^4} \left(-\frac{297}{8} y_1 I_4^2 I_2^3 + -\frac{54675}{4} y_2 I_4^2 I_2^2 + \frac{1701}{8} y_1 I_6 I_4 I_2^2 + \frac{135}{2} y_1 I_4^3 I_2 \right. \\ &\quad + 1366875 y_3 I_4^2 I_2 + \frac{346275}{4} y_2 I_6 I_4 I_2 - \frac{1215}{4} y_1 I_6^2 I_2 + -\frac{405}{2} y_1 I_6 I_4^2 \\ &\quad \left. - 4100625 y_3 I_6 I_4 - \frac{273375}{2} y_2 I_6^2 \right), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dh_2}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_4^4} \left(-135 y_1 I_{10} I_2^2 - 60750 y_2 I_{10} I_2 + 900 y_1 I_{10} I_4 \right), \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Cov}\left(\frac{dh_3}{d\tau}\right) &= \frac{1}{I_4^5} \left(-\frac{747}{8} y_1 I_{10} I_4 I_2^2 - \frac{155925}{4} y_2 I_{10} I_4 I_2 + 270 y_1 I_{10} I_6 I_2 + \frac{135}{2} y_1 I_{10} I_4^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 1366875 y_3 I_{10} I_4 + 121500 y_2 I_{10} I_6 \right). \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Deformation matrix and action on tangent spaces

{subsec:norm-matrix}

Let C, C' be equations of genus 2 hyperelliptic curves over \mathbb{C} , let A, A' be their Jacobians, and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny. The choice of curve equations encodes a choice of

bases of $\Omega^1(A)$ and $\Omega^1(A')$, or equivalently, by taking dual bases, a choice of bases of the tangent spaces $T_0(A)$ and $T_0(A')$. By an abuse of notation, we identify the tangent map $d\varphi: T_0(A) \rightarrow T_0(A')$ with its matrix written in these bases. Let us show how to compute $d\varphi$ from the data of the curve equations and modular equations of level ℓ .

Definition 3.17. It is convenient to introduce matrix notations. {def:djdtau}

- For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, we define

$$D_\tau J(\tau) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial j_k}{\partial \tau_l}(\tau) \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq 3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, if we set

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the l -th column of $D_\tau J(\tau)$ contains (up to $2\pi i$) the derivatives of Igusa invariants at τ in the direction v_l . We can check that for $r \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the l -th column of $D_\tau J(\tau) \mathrm{Sym}^2(r)$ contains the derivatives of Igusa invariants at τ in the direction $r v_l r^t$.

Let (A, ω) be a principally polarized abelian surface over \mathbb{C} with a basis of differential forms, let $\eta: A \rightarrow A(\tau)$ be an isomorphism for some $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$, and let r be the matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega(\tau), \omega$. Then the fact that derivatives of Igusa invariants have weight Sym^2 translates as

$$D_\tau J(A, \omega) = D_\tau J(\tau) \mathrm{Sym}^2(r^t).$$

We denote by

$$C \mapsto D_\tau J(C)$$

the associated fractional covariant; Theorem 3.14 expresses the entries of this matrix up to a constant in terms of the coefficients of C .

- Consider the Siegel modular equations $\Psi_{\ell,1}, \Psi_{\ell,2}, \Psi_{\ell,3}$ of level ℓ as elements of the ring $\mathbb{Q}[J_1, J_2, J_3, J'_1, J'_2, J'_3]$. We define

$$D\Psi_{\ell,L} = \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell,n}}{\partial J_k} \right)_{1 \leq n, k \leq 3} \quad \text{and} \quad D\Psi_{\ell,R} = \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell,n}}{\partial J'_k} \right)_{1 \leq n, k \leq 3}.$$

Definition 3.18. Let φ be an ℓ -isogeny as above, write j as a shorthand for the Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) of A , and j' for the invariants (j'_1, j'_2, j'_3) of A' . We say that the isogeny φ is *generic* if the 3×3 matrices $D\Psi_{\ell,L}(j, j')$, $D\Psi_{\ell,R}(j, j')$, $D_\tau J(C)$ and $D_\tau J(C')$ are invertible. In this case, we define the *deformation matrix* $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ of φ as {def:generic}

$$\mathcal{D}(\varphi) = -D_\tau J(C')^{-1} \cdot D\Psi_{\ell,R}(j, j')^{-1} \cdot D\Psi_{\ell,L}(j, j') \cdot D_\tau J(C).$$

In Section 4, we will interpret $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ as the matrix of the deformation map in the bases of $T_A(A_2)$ and $T_{A'}(A_2)$ associated with $\omega(C), \omega(C')$ via the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism. Let us relate the deformation matrix $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ with the tangent matrix $d\varphi$.

{prop:norm-matrix}

Proposition 3.19. *Assume that φ is generic. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(d\varphi) = \ell \mathcal{D}(\varphi).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.23, we can find $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and isomorphisms η, η' such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A' \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \eta' \\ A(\tau) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z/\ell} & A(\tau/\ell). \end{array}$$

Let r be the matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega(\tau), \omega(C)$, and define r' similarly. Then we have $d\varphi = r'^t r^{-t}$. By the definition of modular equations, we have

$$\Psi_{\ell, n}(j_1(\tau), j_2(\tau), j_3(\tau), j_1(\tau/\ell), j_2(\tau/\ell), j_3(\tau/\ell)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq 3.$$

We differentiate with respect to τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 and obtain

$$D\Psi_{\ell, L}(j, j') \cdot D_{\tau}J(\tau) + \frac{1}{\ell} D\Psi_{\ell, R}(j, j') \cdot D_{\tau}J(\tau/\ell) = 0.$$

We rewrite this relation as

$$-\ell D\Psi_{\ell, L}(j, j') \cdot D_{\tau}J(C) \cdot \mathrm{Sym}^2(r^t) = D\Psi_{\ell, R}(j, j') \cdot D_{\tau}J(C') \cdot \mathrm{Sym}^2(r'^t),$$

and the result follows. \square

Once we compute $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$, the matrix $d\varphi$ itself is easily computed up to sign.

3.5 Explicit Kodaira–Spencer in the Hilbert case

{subsec:explicit-hilbert}

We now explain how to recover the tangent matrix in the Hilbert case, in the same spirit as the Siegel case. An important difference is that we have to restrict to Hilbert-normalized bases of differential forms (recall Definition 2.15), so not all curve equations will do. For the moment, assume that we have a β -isogeny $\varphi: (A, \iota) \rightarrow (A', \iota')$ between abelian surfaces with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , and we are given curve equations C, C' such that the associated bases $\omega(C)$ and $\omega(C')$ are Hilbert-normalized. We address the question of constructing C, C' in §3.6.

Definition 3.20.

{def:djdt-matrix}

- For $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$, we define

$$D_t J(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{\partial j_k}{\partial t_l}(t) \right)_{1 \leq k \leq 3, 1 \leq l \leq 2}.$$

If C is a curve equation such that $\omega(C)$ is Hilbert-normalized, we denote by $D_t J(C)$ the value of this modular form on C .

- We define the 3×3 matrices $D\Psi_{\beta,L}$ and $D\Psi_{\beta,R}$ in the case of Hilbert modular equations of level β as in Definition 3.17.
- Write j as a shorthand for the Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) of A , and j' for the invariants (j'_1, j'_2, j'_3) of A' . We say that the isogeny φ is *generic* if the denominators of modular equations do not vanish at j and the 3×2 matrices

$$D\Psi_{\beta,L}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C) \quad \text{and} \quad D\Psi_{\beta,R}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C')$$

have rank 2.

{prop:djdt-transformation}

Lemma 3.21. *Let (A, ι, ω) be Hilbert-normalized, and let $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ such that there is an isomorphism $\eta: (A, \iota) \rightarrow (A_K(t), \iota_K(t))$. Let r be the matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega_K(t), \omega$. Then we have*

$$D_t J(A, \omega) = D_t J(t) \cdot r^2.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, derivatives of Igusa with respect to t_1 and t_2 are Hilbert modular functions of weight $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ respectively. \square

{prop:djdt-djdttau}

Proposition 3.22. *Let (A, ι, ω) be Hilbert-normalized. Then we have*

$$D_t J(A, \omega) = D_\tau J(A, \omega) \cdot T \quad \text{where} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let t, η, r as in Lemma 3.21, and write $\tau = H(t)$. By the expression of the Hilbert embedding, $D_t J(t)$ contains the derivatives of Igusa invariants at τ in the directions

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} R^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\pi i} R^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} R.$$

Hence we have

$$D_t J(t) = D_\tau J(\tau) \cdot \text{Sym}^2(R^t) \cdot T.$$

By Proposition 2.16, we have an isomorphism $\zeta: A_K(t) \rightarrow A(\tau)$ such that the matrix of ζ^* in the bases $\omega(\tau), \omega_K(t)$ is R . Therefore

$$D_t J(A, \omega) = D_t J(t) r^2, \quad D_\tau J(A, \omega) = D_\tau J(\tau) \text{Sym}^2((rR)^t).$$

The result follows. \square

It is natural that the matrix R defining the Hilbert embedding does not appear in Proposition 3.22: evaluating derivatives of Igusa invariants on (A, ω) has an intrinsic meaning in terms of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism, and the choice of Hilbert embedding does not matter.

{prop:norm-matrix-hilbert}

Proposition 3.23. *Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be a β -isogeny and let C, C' be Hilbert-normalized curve equations as above. Then the tangent matrix $d\varphi$ is diagonal, and we have*

$$D\Psi_{\beta,L}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C) = -D\Psi_{\beta,R}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C') \cdot \text{Diag}(1/\beta, 1/\bar{\beta}) \cdot (d\varphi)^2.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.23, we can find $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ and isomorphisms η, η' such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A, \iota) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (A', \iota') \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \eta' \\ (A_K(t), \iota_K(t)) & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z} & (A_K(t/\beta), \iota_K(t/\beta)). \end{array}$$

Let r be the matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega_K(t), \omega$, and define r' similarly; they are diagonal. We have $d\varphi = r'^t r^{-t} = r' r^{-1}$. Differentiating the modular equations, we obtain

$$D\Psi_{\beta,L}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(t) + D\Psi_{\beta,R}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(t/\beta) \cdot \text{Diag}(1/\beta, 1/\bar{\beta}) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.21, we have

$$D_t J(t) = D_t J(C) \cdot r^2, \quad D_t J(t/\beta) = D_t J(C') \cdot r'^2$$

and the result follows. \square

This relation allows us to compute $(d\varphi)^2$ from derivatives of modular equations when φ is generic. In contrast with the Siegel case, the knowledge of $(d\varphi)^2$ does not allow us to recover the diagonal matrix $d\varphi$ up to sign, as we have to perform two uncorrelated root extractions: we obtain two possible candidates.

3.6 Constructing Hilbert-normalized curves

Let (A, ι) is an abelian surface over \mathbb{C} with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . Given the Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) of A , we would like to construct a curve equation C such that $(A, \iota, \omega(C))$ is Hilbert-normalized. Our method is to compute a first curve equation using Mestre's algorithm [Mes91], and then look for a suitable homographic change of variables. However, we are missing some information, as the two pairs (A, ι) and $(A, \bar{\iota})$, where $\bar{\iota}$ denotes the real conjugate of ι , have the same Igusa invariants. The best we can hope for is to compute an equation C such that either $(A, \iota, \omega(C))$ or $(A, \bar{\iota}, \omega(C))$ is Hilbert-normalized. In this case, we say that C is *potentially Hilbert-normalized*. This uncertainty is a consequence of our using symmetric invariants on the Hilbert surface.

Proposition 3.24. *Let C be a hyperelliptic curve equation of genus 2 over \mathbb{C} such that $\text{Jac}(C)$ has real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . Denote its Igusa invariants by (j_1, j_2, j_3) . Then the curve C is potentially Hilbert-normalized if and only if the two columns of the 3×2 matrix*

$$D_{\tau} J(C) \cdot T \quad \text{where } T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

define tangent vectors to the Humbert surface at (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

{subsec:curves}

{prop:tangent-humbert}

Proof. Let $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ such that there is an isomorphism $\eta: \text{Jac}(C) \rightarrow A_K(t)$, and write $\tau = H(t)$. Let $r \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix of η^* in the bases $\omega_K(t), \omega$. Then the columns of $D_\tau J(C) \cdot T$ contain, up to πi , the derivatives of Igusa invariants at τ in the directions

$$R^t r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} r^t R \quad \text{and} \quad R^t r \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} r^t R.$$

These directions are tangent to the Humbert surface if and only if r is either diagonal or anti-diagonal. \square

Assume that the equation of the Humbert surface for K in terms of Igusa invariants is given: this precomputation depends only on K . Given Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) on the Humbert surface, the algorithm to reconstruct a potentially Hilbert-normalized curve equation runs as follows.

Algorithm 3.25. 1. Construct any curve equation C_0 with Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) using Mestre's algorithm. {algo:hilb-curve-2}

2. Find $r \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that the two columns of the matrix {step:tangent-humbert}

$$D_\tau J(C_0) \cdot \text{Sym}^2(r^t) \cdot T$$

are tangent to the Humbert surface at (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

3. Output $\det^{-2} \text{Sym}^6(r) C_0$.

In step 2, if a, b, c, d denote the entries of r , we only have to solve a quadratic equation in a, c , and a quadratic equation in b, d . Therefore Algorithm 3.25 costs $O_K(1)$ square roots and field operations.

In practice, when computing a β -isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ in the Hilbert case, we are only given the Igusa invariants of A and A' . Constructing potentially Hilbert-normalized curves is then equivalent to making a choice of real multiplication embedding for each abelian surface. If these embeddings are incompatible via φ , we obtain antidiagonal matrices when computing the tangent matrix; in this case, we apply the change of variables $x \mapsto 1/x$ on one of the curve equations to make them compatible. Even if they are compatible, φ will be either a β - or a $\bar{\beta}$ -isogeny depending on the choices of real multiplication embeddings. Therefore we really obtain four candidates for the tangent matrix, among which only one is correct.

4 Moduli spaces and the deformation map

In this section, we use the language of algebraic stacks to show how to compute the deformation map of a given isogeny φ , and to show its relation with the tangent map $d\varphi$, for abelian schemes of any dimension over any base. {sec:moduli}

We start by recalling well-known and general facts about separated Deligne–Mumford stacks and their coarse moduli spaces (§4.1). Then we recall the properties of several moduli stacks for principally polarized abelian schemes of dimension g , namely \mathcal{A}_g (abelian schemes with no extra structure), $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ (abelian schemes with a level n structure),

$A_g(\ell)$ (abelian schemes endowed with the kernel of an ℓ -isogeny), and their coarse moduli schemes \mathbf{A}_g , $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$, $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ (§4.2). In particular, we have a map at the level of algebraic stacks,

$$\Phi_\ell = (\Phi_{\ell,1}, \Phi_{\ell,2}) : A_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g \times A_g$$

sending (A, K) to $(A, A/K)$ such that both $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are étale. Therefore, for an ℓ -isogeny φ seen as a point of $A_g(\ell)$, the deformation map

$$\mathcal{D}(\varphi) = d\Phi_{\ell,2}(\varphi) \circ d\Phi_{\ell,1}(\varphi)^{-1}$$

is well-defined at the level of stacks. However, the induced maps at the level of coarse spaces,

$$(\Phi_{\ell,1}, \Phi_{\ell,2}) : \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$$

are not étale everywhere, so that we can only recover the deformation map on an open set of the coarse spaces (see Corollary 4.12). In the genus 2 case, when we work with the modular polynomials $\Psi_{\ell,i}$ from Section 2.6, this phenomenon worsens; still, we can give precise conditions on the isogeny that ensure genericity in the sense of Definition 3.18 (see Proposition 4.16). We also extend these results to the Hilbert case.

After that, we give the general relation between the tangent map and the deformation map of a given ℓ - or β -isogeny (§4.3). Finally we show that in dimension 2, the relations between modular forms and covariants given in Proposition 3.12 hold over \mathbb{Z} and not only over \mathbb{C} (§4.4). This allows us to give an explicit version of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism over any base (§4.5), that we could use for instance to construct explicit families of abelian surfaces with real multiplication.

In summary, this section explains the relationship between the fine moduli space $A_g(\ell)$ and its coarse moduli space $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$, and the geometric meaning of the genericity conditions of Theorem 1.1; moreover it gives a purely algebraic, rather than analytic, interpretation of the results of Section 3. Another way to extend the results of Section 3 over any base would be to lift the isogeny to characteristic zero (in the case of fields), then interpolate between fibers using rigidity; however, we find that the moduli-theoretic approach is superior as it provides more geometric insight.

More Details 4.1. We give more details on the standard lifting arguments to extend the results of Section 3 to other fields than \mathbb{C} . Let φ be an ℓ -isogeny defined over a field k of characteristic prime to ℓ or zero. Since $A_g(\ell)$ is of finite type, we may assume that k has finite transcendence degree over its prime field. Then, we may embed k in \mathbb{C} if $\text{char } k = 0$; otherwise, we lift φ to the Witt ring $W(k)$ by smoothness of $A_g(\ell)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$, and embed $W(k)$ in \mathbb{C} . This technique alone, however is not possible if we want to apply it to an abelian scheme. A workaround would be to use rigidity and interpolate between fibers, at least if the base scheme is reduced, or combine lifting and rigidity for a general connected scheme. But at this point it is easier to work with stacks.

4.1 Coarse moduli spaces

{subsec:coarse}

In this paper, we always assume stacks to be of finite type over a Noetherian base scheme. Let \mathcal{X} be a separated Deligne–Mumford stack over S ; we recall that an Artin stack is Deligne–Mumford if and only if its diagonal is unramified [The18, Tag 06N3]. Here we summarize well-known results on the geometry of \mathcal{X} and its coarse moduli space.

By a *point* x of \mathcal{X} , we mean a point of the underlying topological space $|\mathcal{X}|$, and we implicitly take a representative $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of x . For any scheme T , a T -*point* of \mathcal{X} is a morphism $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. We denote by $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ the inertia stack of \mathcal{X} , and if x is a point of \mathcal{X} , we denote by I_x the pullback of $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ to x ; this pullback is simply the space $\text{Aut}(x)$ of automorphisms, or stabilizers, of x . Since we assume \mathcal{X} separated, I_x is in fact finite. The stabilizer I_x does not depend on the representative chosen since I_x is the pullback of the residual gerbe $G_x \rightarrow k(\xi)$ at x through $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k(\xi)$: see [LM00, Ch. 11], [The18, Tag o6ML]. We identify open substacks of \mathcal{X} with the underlying open topological spaces of $|\mathcal{X}|$ [The18, Tag o6FJ].

We recall that a map $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is representable if and only if the induced map $I_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a monomorphism [The18, Tag o4YY]. Also, if f is unramified, then its diagonal is étale by [The18, Tag oCIS] and [Ryd11]; hence the map $I_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is étale. Therefore, if f is representable and unramified, then the map $I_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} I_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an open immersion.

A *coarse moduli space* X of \mathcal{X} is an algebraic space X endowed with a map $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that π is categorical and induces a bijection $\pi: \mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow X(k)$ for every algebraically closed field k . We also use the following terminology from [MFK94] (see also [KM97, Def. 1.8] and [Ryd13, Defs. 2.2 and 6.1]): a map $q: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Z$ is *topological* if q is a universal homeomorphism, and *geometric* if it is topological and furthermore $O_Z \rightarrow q_* O_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism. A *GC quotient* is a geometric quotient that is also (uniformly) categorical; in particular, its image is a coarse moduli space ([KM97, Def. 1.8] and [Ryd13, Def. 3.17 and Rem. 3.18]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a separated Deligne–Mumford stack.*

- (i) (Keel–Mori). *There exists a coarse moduli space $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$, where X is of finite type over S . The map π is a GC quotient, is proper, quasi-finite and separated; moreover the construction is stable under flat base change.*
- (ii) *Let $x \in X(k)$ be a point, and let I_x be the stabilizer of any point in \mathcal{X} above x . Then étale-locally around x , \mathcal{X} is a quotient stack by I_x and X is a geometric quotient by I_x . More precisely, there is an affine scheme U , an étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$ whose image contains x , and a finite morphism $V \rightarrow U$ with an action of I_x on V such that $\mathcal{X}_U := \mathcal{X} \times_X U = [V/I_x]$ is an I_x -quotient stack, and $U = V/I_x$.*

Proof. Theorem 4.2.(i) is valid for Artin stacks with finite inertia; the original proof is in [KM97], and reformulations of the proof using the language of stacks rather than groupoids are given in [Cono5], [Ryd13] and [The18, Tag oDUK]. {{ A proof relying on the language of stacks rather than groupoids is given in [Cono5] where the Noetherian hypothesis on S is relaxed, and \mathcal{X} is assumed to be locally of finite presentation. This last condition is relaxed in [Ryd13]. }} Since \mathcal{X} is a separated Deligne–Mumford stack, its inertia $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ is finite, so the Keel–Mori theorem applies.

For Theorem 4.2.(ii), see [AV02, Lem. 2.2.3] which shows that \mathcal{X} is locally a quotient, and [Olso6, Thm. 2.12] which shows that we can take the quotient to be a quotient by I_x . If $V = \text{Spec } R$, then V/I_x is the affine scheme $\text{Spec } R^{I_x}$. The fact that $U = (\text{Spec } R)/I_x$ then follows easily from the theory of quotients of affine schemes: see for instance [Ryd13, §4] or [DR73, §I.8.2.2]. See also [The18, Tag oDUo] for extensions of this result in the case of quasi-DM stacks, and [AHR19; AHR20] for a far reaching generalization. \square

{th:coarsemoduli}

{th:keelmori}

{th:localstruct}

By Zarsiki's main theorem, the coarse moduli space X is characterized by the fact that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is proper and quasi-finite, and $O_X \simeq \pi_* O_{\mathcal{X}}$ on the étale site [Con05, §1].

The formation of coarse moduli spaces is not stable under base change in general. This causes problems when reducing coarse moduli spaces, defined for instance over \mathbb{Z} , modulo a prime p , as the morphism $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is not flat. Coarse moduli spaces have better properties in the case of *tame* stacks.

The stack \mathcal{X} is said to be tame [AOV08] if the map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is cohomologically affine; in particular it is a good moduli space in the sense of [Alp13]. A finite fppf group scheme G/S is *linearly reductive* if $BG \rightarrow S$ is tame ([MFK94], [AOV08, Def. 2.4], [Alp13, Def. 12.1]). In [AOV08], it is shown that G/S is linearly reductive if and only if its geometric fibers are geometrically reductive, if and only if its geometric fibers are locally (in the fppf topology) a split extension of a constant tame group by a group of multiplicative type. If $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ is a geometric point of \mathcal{X} , we say that x is a *tame point* of \mathcal{X} if x has a linearly reductive stabilizer.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a separated Deligne–Mumford stack, and let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be its coarse moduli space.*

- (i) *If every geometric point of \mathcal{X} is tame, then \mathcal{X} is tame. If \mathcal{X} is tame, then the formation of its coarse space commutes with arbitrary base change.*
- (ii) *More generally, if $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ is tame, then there is an open tame substack \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} containing x . Furthermore, the image of \mathcal{U} in X is Cohen–Macaulay.*
- (iii) *The map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is always an adequate moduli space in the sense of [Alp14]. In particular, if $T \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of algebraic spaces, \mathcal{X}_T denotes the base change of \mathcal{X} to T and X_T denotes the coarse moduli space of \mathcal{X}_T , then the natural map $X_T \rightarrow X \times_S T$ is an universal homeomorphism.*

Proof. Theorems 4.3.(i) and 4.3.(ii) are proved in the case of Artin stacks with finite inertia in [AOV08]. The openness of tame points is the main result of this paper [AOV08, Thm. 3.2, Prop. 3.6]. Since we restrict to separated Deligne–Mumford stacks, it also follows from Theorem 4.2.(ii). Formation of the coarse moduli space commutes with pullbacks in the tame case by [AOV08, Cor. 3.3].

If x is a tame point of \mathcal{X} , then by the local structure theorem, étale-locally around x , there is an open substack of the form $\mathcal{U} = [V/I_x]$, and I_x is linearly reductive. By the Hochster–Roberts theorem [MFK94, Appendix 1.E], the affine scheme V/I_x is Cohen–Macaulay. Being Cohen–Macaulay is a local notion for the étale topology, so the image of \mathcal{U} in X is also Cohen–Macaulay.

Finally, Theorem 4.3.(iii) is proved in [Alp14], which shows that the coarse moduli space of an Artin stack with finite inertia is always an adequate moduli space. The natural map $X_T \rightarrow X \times_S T$ is then an adequate homeomorphism in the sense of [Alp14], and in particular is a universal homeomorphism [Alp14, Main Theorem]. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathcal{X} be a separated Deligne–Mumford stack.*

- (i) *The set \mathcal{U} of points x such that I_x is trivial is an open substack of \mathcal{X} (which may be empty), and $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{U})$ is an isomorphism.*

(ii) Let $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ be a point, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ be the strict Hensel ring of \mathcal{X} at x . Then

{cor:hensellocal}

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x}^{I_x}. \quad (1) \quad \{\{eq:strict hensel\}\}$$

In particular, if \mathcal{X} is a normal, then its coarse moduli space is normal.

Proof. These two statements are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.2.(ii). For Corollary 4.4.(ii), see also [DR73, §1.8.2.1] which states that the kernel of the action of I_x acting on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ is exactly the set of automorphisms of x that can be extended to $\text{Spec } \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. \square

Finally, we know when an étale map between algebraic stacks induces an étale map on their coarse moduli spaces.

{th:strong étale}

Theorem 4.5 (Luna’s fundamental lemma). *Let $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a representable and unramified morphism of separated Deligne–Mumford stacks. Then the set of points where f is stabilizer preserving, meaning that the monomorphism on inertia $I_x \rightarrow I_{f(x)}$ induced by f is an isomorphism, is an open substack \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} . The morphism $I_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{U}$ induced by f is an isomorphism.*

If f is étale and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X}$, that is if f is stabilizer preserving at every point, then the induced map on coarse spaces $f_0: X \rightarrow Y$ is étale, and even strongly étale; in other words $\mathcal{X} = X \times_Y \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{U} is open is [The18, Tag oDUA], [Ryd13, Prop. 3.5]. Since \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are separated Deligne–Mumford stacks, the induced map is étale by Corollary 4.4.(ii).

The general case of Artin stacks with finite inertia is treated in [Ryd13, Prop. 6.5 and Thm. 6.10]. In this reference, *stabilizer preserving* is called *fixed point reflecting*, but we prefer to use the terminology of the Stacks project [The18, Tag oDU6]. The fact that f_0 is strongly étale comes from the cartesian diagram in [Ryd13, Thm. 6.10]. See also [AHR19, Thm. 3.14] where this is proved in a more general setting. \square

Remark 4.6. If $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is proper (resp. finite), then the induced map $f_0: X \rightarrow Y$ is proper (resp. finite), because the maps from \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} to their coarse moduli spaces are proper quasi-finite [The18, Tag o2LS], [Gro64, EGA IV.8.11.1]).

Remark 4.7. If x is a tame smooth k -point of \mathcal{X} , then by Luna’s étale slice theorem ([Lun73], [AHR20, Thm 1.1 and Thm 2.1], [AHR19, Thm 19.4]), the étale local structure of Theorem 4.2.(ii) takes a particularly nice form. Indeed, taking an étale local presentation $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{U}} = [V/I_x]$ as in Theorem 4.2.(ii), then (possibly after an étale extension of k and after shrinking V) there is a strongly étale morphism $[V/I_x] \rightarrow [T_x \mathcal{X}/I_x]$ which sends x to 0, where I_x acts via its natural linear action on $T_x \mathcal{X}$. In particular, étale locally around x the map $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is given by $[T_x \mathcal{X}/I_x] \rightarrow T_x \mathcal{X}/I_x$.

{rem:adequate_moduli}

More Details 4.8. The definition and characterization of a tame stack given in Theorem 4.3 is also valid for an Artin stack with finite inertia [AOV08], meaning that \mathcal{X} is tame if its coarse moduli space $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is a good moduli space (hence always a tame moduli space in the sense of [Alp13] since

In fact this is true more generally for good moduli spaces [Alp13]. The local structure and characterization of Theorem 4.3.(ii) is also still valid for good moduli spaces, but much more difficult to prove: see [AHR20, Theorem 1.2] and [AHR19, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 13.4].

In [MFK94] and [Ses77], Mumford and Seshadri study quotients of schemes by (linearly) reductive groups. This has been generalised in the context of stacks by Alper, which introduces the notion of good moduli spaces in [Alp13] (this includes GIT quotients by linearly reductive groups), and the notion of adequate moduli space in [Alp14] (this includes GIT quotients by geometrically reductive groups). In particular if \mathcal{X} is an Artin stack with finite inertia, its coarse moduli is adequate [Alp14, Proposition 8.2.1].

Most of the results of this section can be extended to good and adequate moduli spaces. For instance adequate moduli spaces are stable by flat base change [Alp14], while good moduli spaces are stable by arbitrary base change [Alp13]. Alper defines in [Alp13, §7] a tame moduli spaces $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ as a good moduli which induces a bijection on geometric points. This extends the notion of tame spaces from [AOV08].

The local structure and characterization of Theorem 4.3.(ii) is still valid for good moduli spaces, but much more difficult to prove: see [AHR20, Theorem 1.2] and [AHR19, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 13.4].

Finally Luna’s étale slice theorem [Lun73], which use Luna’s fundamental lemma as a building block, can be used to study the local structure of the quotient of a scheme by a linearly reductive group scheme. See also the generalization to stacks and the relative setting in [AHR20, Theorem 1.1] and [AHR19, Theorem 19.4], and the generalization of Luna’s fundamental lemma in [AHR19, Theorem 3.14].

The coarse moduli of principally polarized abelian varieties is constructed by Mumford as a quotient of a locally closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme by the reductive group PGL_n (and its coarse moduli space as the corresponding GIT quotient). Over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, the coarse moduli of hyperelliptic curves can be constructed as the quotient of the open subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{2g+2} given by the discriminant by PGL_2 (since the map from stack of hyperelliptic curves to its coarse space factorize through $[\mathbb{P}^{2g+2}/\mathrm{PGL}_2]$). One can then use Luna’s étale slice theorem to study the local structure of these spaces.

4.2 Moduli stacks of abelian varieties

{subsec:moduliav}

In this section, we apply the general results gathered in §4.1 to the case of moduli spaces of abelian schemes. This allows us to investigate the properties of the map Φ_ℓ on coarse moduli spaces in the Siegel case, and its analogue Φ_β in the Hilbert case.

4.2.1 Siegel stacks

{subsec:moduliav-siegel}

Recall that we denote by \mathcal{A}_g the moduli stack of principally polarized abelian varieties, and by $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ the moduli stack of principally polarized abelian varieties with a level n symplectic structure; here we mean a level $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ structure as in [FC90] rather than a $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^g \times \mu_n^g$ structure as in [Mum71; de 93], so that $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ rather than over \mathbb{Z} . Both \mathcal{A}_g and $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ are separated Deligne–Mumford stacks, and moreover $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ with $\phi(n)$ geometrically irreducible fibers [FC90].

We denote by $\mathbf{A}_g, \mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ their corresponding coarse moduli spaces. By Mumford’s Geometric Invariant Theory [MFK94], they are quasi-projective schemes. {{ Note that the Keel-Mori theorem only show that $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is an algebraic space. Instead, Mumford constructs in [MFK94, §VII.2] $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ as a GIT quotient by PGL of a subscheme of an Hilbert scheme. }} We can

extend $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ over \mathbb{Z} by taking the normalization of \mathbf{A}_g in $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$, as in [Mum71; DR73; de 93]. Over \mathbb{C} , the analytification of \mathbf{A}_g is the Siegel space $\mathbb{H}_g/\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ seen as an orbifold.

If $n \geq 3$, then the inertia of the stack $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is trivial. Therefore $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ by Corollary 4.4.(i), and $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$. This shows in particular that there is a p_0 such that \mathbf{A}_g is tame at every abelian variety defined over a field of characteristic $p \geq p_0$. {{ Indeed, $\mathbf{A}_g = [\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})]$ is a $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ quotient stack, and for p large enough, it is prime to $\#\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. }}

If $n \leq 2$, then the generic automorphism group on $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is μ_2 . We can rigidify $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ by μ_2 in such a way that $\mathbf{A}_{g,n} \rightarrow [\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mu_2]$ is a μ_2 -gerbe [AOVo8, Appendix A]. The map $\mathbf{A}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ factors through $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mu_2]$, so the coarse moduli space of $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mu_2]$ is still $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$. By Theorem 4.2.(ii) or Theorem 4.3.(ii), there exists an affine étale open scheme U above $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ whose image is dense and contains all points with only generic automorphisms. Then $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mu_2] \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ becomes an isomorphism over U by Corollary 4.4.(i). Since $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\mu_2]$ is smooth, the image of U in $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ is also smooth by étale descent.

We now proceed to construct the moduli stack $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ parametrizing ℓ -isogenies. If Γ is a level subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, and n is an integer such that the level subgroup $\Gamma(n)$ is contained in Γ , we define $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ as the quotient stack $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\tilde{\Gamma}]$ where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the image of Γ in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. A T -point of $[\mathbf{A}_{g,n}/\tilde{\Gamma}]$ corresponds to an abelian scheme A/T which is étale-locally endowed with a level n structure modulo the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ [DR73, §IV.3.1]. The maps $\mathbf{A}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ are finite, étale, and representable [DR73, §IV.2, §IV.3]. We can extend $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ to \mathbb{Z} by normalization, as we did for $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$. We can check as in [DR73, §IV.3.6] that the definition does not depend on the integer n such that $\Gamma(n) \subset \Gamma$.

Proof Details. Indeed, choose another integer m such that $\Gamma(m) \subset \Gamma$, and define $\mathbf{A}'_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}[1/m] := [\mathbf{A}_{g,m}/\tilde{\Gamma}]$. Then $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ and $\mathbf{A}'_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{Z}/[1/nm]$, and since $\mathbf{A}'_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$ is smooth, it coincides with the normalization $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$.

Assume that Γ is of level ℓ . Then fixing an integer $n \geq 3$ as above, we get that $\mathbf{A}_{g,n\ell}$ is an étale presentation of both $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}$ and \mathbf{A}_g over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n\ell]$. Hence the natural map $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is étale over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n\ell]$, and it is obviously representable since $I_{\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma}/\mathbf{A}_g} = 0$. Letting $m \geq 3$ be an integer coprime to n and reasoning over $\mathbb{Z}[1/m\ell]$, this show that $\mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is representable and étale over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. \square

We apply this construction to $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(\ell)$, the standard level subgroup encoding ℓ -isogenies, and we denote by $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell) := \mathbf{A}_{g,\Gamma_0(\ell)}$ the resulting stack. The stack $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. We denote by

$$\Phi_\ell = (\Phi_{\ell,1}, \Phi_{\ell,2}) : \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$$

the map $(A, K) \mapsto (A, A/K)$.

Proposition 4.9. 1. *The maps $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are finite, étale and representable.*

2. *Let $x \in \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)(k)$ be a point represented by (A, K) , and let $K' \subset A/K$ be the kernel of the contragredient isogeny. Then $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is stabilizer preserving at x if and only if all*

automorphisms of A stabilize K , and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ is stabilizer preserving at x if and only if all automorphisms of A/K stabilize K' .

Proof. The automorphisms of x in $A_g(\ell)$ are exactly the automorphisms of A stabilizing K . In particular $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ induces a monomorphism of the automorphism groups, so is representable; it is stabilizer preserving if and only if all automorphisms of A stabilize K .

If α is an automorphism of (A, K) , then α descends to $A' = A/K$, so $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ is representable as well. An automorphism of A' comes from an automorphism of A if and only if it stabilizes K' , hence the condition for $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ to be stabilizer preserving.

Finally, the map $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is finite étale because it is of the form $A_{g,\Gamma} \rightarrow A_g$ for $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(\ell)$. Denote by $\pi_1 : \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow A_g$ the universal abelian scheme, and by $\pi_\ell : \mathcal{X}_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g(\ell)$ the universal abelian scheme with a $\Gamma_0(\ell)$ -level structure. Then the universal isogeny $f : \mathcal{X}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_g \times_{A_g} A_g(\ell)$ is separable over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. If we let $s_1 : A_g \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_g$ and $s_\ell : A_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_g(\ell)$ be the zero sections, then we have

$$\Phi_{\ell,2} = \Phi_{\ell,1} \circ \pi_1 \times_{A_g} A_g(\ell) \circ f \circ s_\ell.$$

Therefore $\Phi_{\ell,2} : A_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g$ is finite étale as well. \square

The map Φ_ℓ induces a map $\Phi_\ell : \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g^2$ on the coarse moduli spaces. This map is not injective, but the same reasoning as in [DR73, §VI.6] shows that it is generically radical, and even a birational isomorphism. The open subscheme U of $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ where Φ_ℓ is an embedding is dense in every fiber of characteristic $p \nmid \ell$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let Ψ_0 denote the schematic image of Φ_ℓ . Then $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is the normalization of Ψ_0 . If x_0 lies in the image, then $\Phi_\ell : \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \Psi_0$ induces a local isomorphism around x_0 if and only if x_0 is normal in Ψ_0 .*

{prop:moduli_normal}

Proof. The map $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \Psi_0$ is separated quasi-finite, and birational by the discussion above. Since $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is normal by Corollary 4.4.(ii), we deduce that $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is the normalization of Ψ_0 by Zariski's main theorem [Gro64, Cor. IV.8.12.11].

If Φ_ℓ induces a local isomorphism at x_0 , then x_0 is normal since $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is normal. In fact it suffices to ask that $\Phi_\ell : \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \Psi_0$ is étale at x , because normality is a local notion in the smooth topology [The18, Tag 034F]. The converse also follows from Zariski's main theorem [Gro64, Cor. IV.8.12.10 and Cor. IV.8.12.12]: there exists an open neighborhood U of x_0 in Ψ_0 such that the map $\Phi_\ell^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. \square

If x is a point of $A_g(\ell)$ or A_g , we abuse notation by also calling x its reduction to the associated coarse moduli space.

{prop:defo1}

Proposition 4.11. *Let x be a k -point of $A_g(\ell)$.*

1. *Assume that $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is stabilizer preserving at x . Then:*

- *The map $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is strongly étale at x , and the point x is smooth in $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ if and only if $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ is smooth in \mathbf{A}_g .*
- *The point $x_0 = \Phi_\ell(x)$ is normal in Ψ_0 if and only if the projection $p_1 : \Psi_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is étale at x_0 .*

- If $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ is represented by an abelian variety A defined over k , then the isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ representing x is also defined over k .
2. Assume that $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ only has generic automorphisms. Then $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is stabilizer preserving at x , the point x is smooth in $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$, and the map $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$) is étale at x (resp. at $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$).

Proof. The first part of Item 1 comes from Theorem 4.5: in this case, the map $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is étale at x , and $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is étale-locally around x the pullback of $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ by the map $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$.

For the second part, we know that $\Phi_{\ell,1} = p_1 \circ \Phi_{\ell}$ is étale at x , and we have seen in Proposition 4.10 that Φ_{ℓ} is étale at x if and only if x_0 is normal in Ψ_0 . Therefore x_0 is normal in Ψ_0 if and only if p_1 is étale at x_0 .

The final part of Item 1 comes from [DR73, §VI.3.1]. Indeed, if (A, K) represents x over k , the obstruction for (A, K) to descend over k is given by an element in $H^2(\text{Spec } k, \text{Aut}(x))$ in the sense of Giraud. But this obstruction vanishes since $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ is represented by A/k , and the automorphism groups of x and $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ are equal. The set of isomorphism classes over k is then canonically given by $H^1(\text{Spec } k, \text{Aut}(x))$.

If $y = \Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ only has generic automorphisms, then x too, so $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ is stabilizer preserving at x . The rigidification $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}_g/\mu_2]$ is étale (it is a μ_2 -gerbe) and $[\mathcal{A}_g/\mu_2] \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is an isomorphism above y by Corollary 4.4.(i). Therefore $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is étale at y , and y is smooth in \mathbf{A}_g . By the same reasoning, the map $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ is étale at x . \square

Proposition 4.11 also holds for $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ in place of $\Phi_{\ell,1}$.

{cor:criteria}

Corollary 4.12. *Let x be a k -point of $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell)$ such that both $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}(x)$ only have generic automorphisms. Then x is a smooth k -point of $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$, the points $\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}(x)$ are both smooth k -points of \mathbf{A}_g , and we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)}(\mathcal{A}_g) & \xleftarrow{d\Phi_{\ell,1}} & T_x(\mathcal{A}_g(\ell)) & \xrightarrow{d\Phi_{\ell,2}} & T_{\Phi_{\ell,2}(x)}(\mathcal{A}_g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)}(\mathbf{A}_g) & \xleftarrow{d\Phi_{\ell,1}} & T_x(\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)) & \xrightarrow{d\Phi_{\ell,2}} & T_{\Phi_{\ell,2}(x)}(\mathbf{A}_g) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms induced by the maps $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$. In particular, the deformation map of the isogeny φ representing x is given by $\mathcal{D}(\varphi) = d\Phi_{\ell,2}(x) \circ d\Phi_{\ell,1}^{-1}(x)$.

Furthermore, let $\Psi_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$ be the image of Φ_{ℓ} , denote by $p_1, p_2: \Psi_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ the two projections, and let $x_0 = \Phi_{\ell}(x)$. If Ψ_0 is normal at x_0 , then the deformation map $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ is given by $dp_2(x_0) \circ dp_1(x_0)^{-1}$.

Proof. For the first part, apply Proposition 4.11 for both $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$. For the second part, if Ψ_0 is normal at y , then $\Phi_{\ell}: \mathbf{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \Psi_0$ is an isomorphism around x_0 by Proposition 4.10. \square

Remark 4.13. Let x be a k -point of $A_g(\ell)$ such that both $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are stabilizer preserving at x . Let $y_1 = \Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$, $y_2 = \Phi_{\ell,2}(x)$, and let y'_1, y'_2 be lifts of y_1, y_2 to A_g . Let $G = I_x$ be the common automorphism group of these objects. Even if G contains non-generic automorphisms, strong étaleness still allows us to compute the deformation map by looking at the coarse spaces, as follows.

Indeed, suppose that x is smooth in $A_g(\ell)$ (equivalently, by Proposition 4.11, y_1 , or y_2 , is smooth in A_g). Then, the same reasoning as in Corollary 4.12 holds for x , except that in the commutative diagram the vertical maps are not isomorphisms, since the maps to the coarse moduli spaces are not étale at x and its images. From strong étaleness, the maps on the bottom are isomorphisms, and it remains to explain how to recover the maps on the top from them.

Let B_1 be the completed local ring of A_g at y'_1 . Then by Corollary 4.4(ii), the completed local ring of A_g at y_1 is B_1^G . Therefore, given $m = g(g+1)/2$ uniformizers u'_1, \dots, u'_m of A_g at y'_1 , we obtain $g(g+1)/2$ uniformizers of A_g at y_1 as G -invariant polynomials in u'_1, \dots, u'_m . Knowing these polynomials and proceeding in the same way at y_2 allows us to recover the deformation map at the level of stacks up to an action of non-generic elements of G , which amounts to changing the lifts y'_1 and y'_2 .

In practice, it may be more convenient to work at the level of stacks to recover the deformation map directly, rather than using G -invariants uniformizers on A_g . Algorithmically, the choice depends on the degree of the field extension one has to take to add enough level structure to rigidify the stack. For instance, if $g = 2$ and k is a finite field, we only need an extension of degree at most 6 to get the 2-torsion, whereas over a number field this could take an extension of degree up to 720.

More Details 4.14. We can also invoke Luna's étale slice theorem as follow. By Theorem 4.2(ii), there is a strongly étale representable affine morphism $[V/G] \rightarrow A_g$, which is a pullback of the étale $V/G \rightarrow A_g$, and a $v \in V$ whose image is y'_1 . If G contains non generic automorphisms, then they won't extend to the strict Hensel ring of A_g at y'_1 (see Corollary 4.4(ii)), and so they will not be automorphisms of the deformations at y'_1 , hence they will act on the deformation map.

If x is tame, so G is linearly reductive, by Luna's étale slice theorem ([Lun73], [AHR20, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.1], [AHR19, Theorem 19.4]), which can be seen as a refinement of Theorem 4.2(ii) and Corollary 4.4(ii), then (possibly after an étale extension of k and after shrinking V) there is a strongly étale morphism $[V/G] \rightarrow [T_{y'_1} A_g/G]$ which sends v to 0, with the natural linear action of G on $T_{y'_1} A_g$.

In particular, étale locally around y'_1 the map $\pi : A_g \rightarrow A_g$ is given by $[T_{y'_1} A_g/G] \rightarrow T_{y'_1} A_g/G$, and if x is smooth in $A_g(\ell)$, so that y_1 is smooth in A_g , then $T_{y_1} A_g = T_0(T_{y'_1} A_g/G)$.

So given $m = g(g+1)/2$ uniformizers u'_1, \dots, u'_m at y'_1 , we get that the $g(g+1)/2$ uniformizers u_1, \dots, u_m are G -invariants polynomials in u'_1, \dots, u'_m . Passing to the completions, we recover as above the maps $A \rightarrow B$ on the top, and $A^G \rightarrow B^G$. Up to an automorphism of B^G , the map $A^G \rightarrow B^G$ is determined by its degree one part $d\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$, and so this determines the degree one part $d\Phi_{\ell,1}(x)$ of $A \rightarrow B$ (since the automorphisms only change higher degree elements).

Remark 4.15. Let k be a field. Then Proposition 4.11 and Corollary 4.12 also apply to the map $A_g(\ell)^{(k)} \rightarrow A_g^{(k)} \times A_g^{(k)}$, where $A_g(\ell)^{(k)}$ and $A_g^{(k)}$ are the coarse moduli space of $A_g(\ell) \otimes k$ and $A_g \otimes k$ respectively. In practice this does not change the results much, since at points x with generic automorphisms, we know that $A_g^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to $A_g \otimes k$

locally around x by Theorem 4.3.(ii). Moreover, if the characteristic of k is large enough, then all points above k are tame, so $\mathbf{A}_g^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}_g \otimes k$ by Theorem 4.3.(i).

Now assume that we are in the situation of Remark 4.13, with x a k -point of $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell)$ such that both $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are stabilizer preserving at x . Assume furthermore that x is a tame point, and that the characteristic of k is p . Let $x_0 = \Phi_\ell(x)$. If Φ_ℓ is étale at x , or equivalently x_0 is normal in Ψ_0 , then Φ_ℓ is étale above lifts in characteristic 0 of x . The converse is also true: if x_0 is not normal, then it must come from a singular point in characteristic zero. Indeed, normality is equivalent to the conditions S_2 and R_1 ; since $\Psi_0 \otimes k$ is reduced, so is S_1 and R_0 , it suffices to check normality at lifts of characteristic zero. This generalizes the remark of [Sch95, p. 248].

4.2.2 Birational invariants for abelian surfaces

In the case $g = 2$, the structure of the coarse moduli space \mathbf{A}_2 and the possible automorphism groups have been worked out explicitly.

Recall that the Jacobian locus, denoted by \mathbf{M}_2 , is the open locus in \mathbf{A}_2 consisting of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves. Igusa showed in [Igu60] that

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \text{Proj}[J_2, J_4, J_6, J_8, J_{10}] / (J_2 J_6 - J_4^2 - 4J_8)_{(J_{10})},$$

and that there is only one singular point of \mathbf{M}_2 over \mathbb{Z} , given by the hyperelliptic curve $C_0 : y^2 = x^5 - 1$, which corresponds to the point $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = J_8 = 0$. Over \mathbb{C} , in [Igu62], Igusa shows that \mathbf{A}_2 has also in its singular locus two projective lines which represent products of elliptic curves, one of which being isomorphic to $y^2 = x^3 - 1$ or to $y^2 = x^4 - 1$. Finally, the structure of \mathbf{A}_2 over \mathbb{Z} is described in [Igu79], but the singular locus is not determined.

The possible (reduced) groups of automorphisms of genus 2 curves over an algebraic closure are determined in [Igu60, §VIII]; see also [Liu93, §4.1]. We restrict to a characteristic different from 2. Define $C_0 : y^2 = x^5 - 1$ and $C_1 : y^2 = x^5 - x$. Then every curve C not isomorphic to C_0 or C_1 satisfies $\#\text{Aut}(C) \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. In characteristic different from 5, we have $\text{Aut } C_0 = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$ and $\#\text{Aut } C_1 \in \{6, 8\}$. In characteristic 5, $\text{Aut } C_1$ is an extension of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, which has cardinality 120, by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In particular we see that in characteristic 0 and $p > 5$ all curves have a tame automorphism group.

From [Igu60; Str10; GL12], the covariants I_2, I_4, I'_6, I_{10} are defined over \mathbb{Z} . They are zero modulo 2, and I_2, I_4, I'_6 are all polynomials in J_2 modulo 3. Therefore the Igusa invariants j_1, j_2, j_3 have bad reduction modulo 2 and do not generate the function field of \mathbf{M}_2 modulo 3. Over $\mathbb{Z}[1/6]$ however, they are birational invariants, and determine an isomorphism from $U = \{I_4 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{M}_2$ to $\{j_3 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$. Every point with $I_4 = 0$ maps to $(j_1, j_2, j_3) = (0, 0, 0)$.

The modular polynomials $\Psi_{\ell,i}$ from §2.6 are equations for the image $\Psi_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$ of Φ_ℓ intersected with $U \times U$ in $\mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^3$ via j_1, j_2, j_3 .

Proposition 4.16. *Let Ψ_1 denote the normalization of the variety cut out by the modular polynomials $\Psi_{\ell,i}$. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny over a field k of characteristic $p > 5$ or zero, and let x be the k -point of Ψ_1 corresponding to φ . Assume that A and A' are Jacobians with no extra automorphisms and that $A, A' \in U$. Then the deformation map $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ of φ is given by $dp_2(x) \circ dp_1(x)^{-1}$, where p_1, p_2 denotes the projections $\Psi_1 \otimes k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^3$.*

{prop:defomatrix}

Proof. By assumption, the Igusa invariants induce an isomorphism between the tangent spaces $T_A(\mathbf{A}_g)$ and $T_{j(A)}(\mathbb{A}_k^3)$, and similarly for A' . Since A and A' have no extra automorphisms, $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are automatically stabilizer preserving. The normalization Ψ_1 is isomorphic to the preimage of $U \times U$ in the coarse moduli space $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ by the discussion before Proposition 4.11. Since φ is a tame point, by Theorem 4.3(ii), $\Psi_1 \otimes k$ is still the coarse moduli space of $A_{g,\Gamma_0(\ell)} \otimes k$ locally around φ , so we conclude by Proposition 4.11. \square

Remark 4.17. We summarize different incarnations of the deformation map.

- At the level of stacks, the two projections $\Phi_{\ell,1}, \Phi_{\ell,2} : A_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g$ are always étale and we can always compute the deformation map at an isogeny φ as $d\Phi_{\ell,2}(\varphi) \circ d\Phi_{\ell,1}(\varphi)^{-1}$.
- At the level of the coarse moduli space $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$, we can still compute the deformation map at the points where $\Phi_{\ell,1}$ and $\Phi_{\ell,2}$ are stabilizer preserving. If this is not the case, we must add a level structure that kills the automorphisms that do not stabilize the kernel of the isogeny.
- We may then replace $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ by its birational image in \mathbf{A}_g^2 . We recover the deformation map at points $x \in \mathbf{A}_g^2$ where there is a local isomorphism $\Phi_\ell^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ for some open set U containing x . If this is not the case, we may instead recover $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ from its birational image by computing the normalization. It is usually enough to compute the normalization once and for all over \mathbb{Z} , since by Theorem 4.3 the formation of $\mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ commutes with arbitrary base change at tame points.
- Finally, when $g = 2$, we can use the birational morphism from \mathbf{A}_2 to \mathbb{A}^3 given by the three Igusa invariants. Modular polynomials are usually given in this form. With Streng's version of Igusa invariants, they can be used as long as $I_4 \neq 0$, i.e. $j_3 \neq 0$. Otherwise, one has to compute the modular polynomials for another set of invariants which are defined at A and A' .

As we go down the list, modular equations become algorithmically more tractable, at the expense of introducing more exceptions; but if we find such an exception, we can always spend more computation time if needed in order to recover the deformation map.

4.2.3 Hilbert–Blumenthal stacks

We now briefly describe Hilbert–Blumenthal stacks, and refer to [Rap78; Cha90] for more details. Let K be a real number field of dimension g , and let \mathbb{Z}_K be its maximal order. We say that an abelian scheme $A \rightarrow S$ has *real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K* (or, for short, is RM) if it is endowed with a morphism $\iota : \mathbb{Z}_K \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ such that $\text{Lie}(A)$ is a locally free $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module of rank 1. This last condition can be checked on geometric fibers [Rap78, Rem. 1.2] and is automatic on fibers of characteristic zero [Rap78, Prop. 1.4].

We let \mathcal{H}_g be the stack of principally polarized abelian schemes with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K . It is algebraic and smooth of relative dimension g over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ [Rap78, Thm. 1.14]. Moreover, \mathcal{H}_g is connected and its generic fiber is geometrically connected [Rap78, Thm. 1.28]. Forgetting the real multiplication embedding ι yields a

map $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, called the *Hilbert embedding*, which is an $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}_K, \mathbb{Z}_K) \simeq \text{Gal}(K)$ -gerbe over its image, the *Humbert stack*. We described the analytification of \mathcal{H}_g and the Hilbert embedding in Section 2. The map from $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is finite by [Gro64, EGA IV.15.5.9], [DR73, Lem 1.19] (or by looking at the compactifications of [Rap78], [FC90]).

One can define the stack $\mathcal{H}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ of RM abelian schemes with a level n structure in the usual way. The map $\mathcal{H}_{g,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g$ is étale over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ [Rap78, Thm. 1.22], its generic fiber is connected, and geometrically has $\phi(n)$ components defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ [Rap78, Thm. 1.28]. If β is a totally positive prime of \mathbb{Z}_K , this allows us to construct, in a similar fashion to $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell)$, the stack $\mathcal{H}_g(\beta) = \mathcal{H}_{g,\Gamma_0(\beta)}$ of RM abelian schemes endowed with a subgroup K which is maximal isotropic for the β -pairing. We have a map

$$\Phi_\beta = (\Phi_{\beta,1}, \Phi_{\beta,2}): \mathcal{H}_g(\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathcal{H}_g$$

given by forgetting the extra structure and taking the isogeny respectively. The condition on β ensures that $\Phi_{\beta,2}$ sends $\mathcal{H}_g(\beta)$ to \mathcal{H}_g .

The methods of Section 4.2.1 also apply to compute the Hilbert deformation map. We have the following analogue of Corollary 4.12, with a similar proof.

{prop:hilbert-criteria}

Proposition 4.18. *Let x be a k -point of $\mathcal{H}_g(\beta)$ such that $\Phi_{\beta,1}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\beta,2}(x)$ only have generic automorphisms. Then x maps to a smooth point of the coarse moduli space $\mathbf{H}_g(\beta)$, both $\Phi_{\beta,1}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\beta,2}(x)$ map to smooth points of the coarse moduli space \mathbf{H}_g , and we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{\Phi_{\beta,1}(x)}(\mathcal{H}_g) & \xleftarrow{d\Phi_{\beta,1}} & T_x(\mathcal{H}_g(\beta)) & \xrightarrow{d\Phi_{\beta,2}} & T_{\Phi_{\beta,2}(x)}(\mathcal{H}_g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{\Phi_{\beta,1}(x)}(\mathbf{H}_g) & \xleftarrow{d\Phi_{\beta,1}} & T_x(\mathbf{H}_g(\beta)) & \xrightarrow{d\Phi_{\beta,2}} & T_{\Phi_{\beta,2}(x)}(\mathbf{H}_g) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms induced by the maps $\mathcal{A}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g(\ell)$ and $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$, and $\Phi_{\beta,i}$ is the map induced by $\Phi_{\beta,i}$ at the level of coarse spaces. In particular, the deformation map of the isogeny φ representing x is given by $\mathcal{D}(\varphi) = d\Phi_{\beta,2}(x) \circ d\Phi_{\beta,1}^{-1}(x)$.

Corollary 4.19. *Let x be a k -point of $\mathcal{H}_g(\beta)$ such that both $x_1 = \Phi_{\beta,1}(x)$ and $x_2 = \Phi_{\beta,2}(x)$ only have generic automorphisms. Assume furthermore that (x_1, x_2) does not lie in $\Phi_{\bar{\beta}}(\mathcal{H}_g(\bar{\beta}))$: this means that the corresponding abelian varieties are β -isogenous but not $\bar{\beta}$ -isogenous.*

Let $\Psi_\beta \subset \mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g$ be the image of Φ_β . Let $\Psi_{\beta,\bar{\beta}} \subset \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$ be the image of Ψ_β , and let $y = (y_1, y_2)$ the image of (x_1, x_2) by the forgetful morphism $\mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$. Denote by $p_1, p_2: \Psi_{\beta,\bar{\beta}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ the two projections. If $\Psi_{\beta,\bar{\beta}}$ is normal at y , then the deformation map $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ is given by $dp_2(y) \circ dp_1(y)^{-1}$.

Proof. The map $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is finite étale, and under our assumptions the maps $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_g$ and $\mathcal{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ are étale at x_1 and x_2 (resp. at their images y_1, y_2 in \mathbf{A}_g). Therefore the map $\mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$ is étale at $x' = (x_1, x_2)$. Furthermore the pullback of $\Psi_{\beta,\bar{\beta}}$ by

$\mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g \times \mathbf{A}_g$ is $\Psi_\beta \cup \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} \subset \mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g$, so the map $\Psi_\beta \cup \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} \rightarrow \Psi_{\beta, \bar{\beta}}$ is étale at x' . Since $\Phi_{\bar{\beta}}$ is finite, its image $\Psi_{\bar{\beta}} \subset \mathbf{H}_g \times \mathbf{H}_g$ is closed. By our assumption on x , there is an open subscheme containing x which does not intersect Ψ_β , so the map $\Psi_\beta \rightarrow \Psi_{\beta, \bar{\beta}}$ is étale at x' . In particular, Ψ_β is normal at x' if and only if $\Psi_{\beta, \bar{\beta}}$ is normal at x . The same proof as in Corollary 4.12 shows that the projections maps $\Psi_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_g$ are étale at x' , and can be used to compute the deformation matrix. Since $\mathbf{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is étale at x_1 and x_2 , the projections p_1 and p_2 are also étale at y , and can be used to compute the deformation matrix as well. \square

More Details 4.20. If A has real multiplication, there is always a polarization compatible with $\iota: \mathbb{Z}_K \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ [Rap78, Proposition 1.10]. In fact the possible compatible polarizations form a projective \mathbb{Z}_K -module P of rank 1 with positivity. The stack $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}}$ of polarized abelian schemes with real multiplication is algebraic and smooth of relative dimension g over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ [Rap78, Théorème 1.14]. Isomorphism classes of polarization modules P as above are indexed narrow class group $\text{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Z}_K)$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}}$ decomposes as $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}} = \coprod_{P \in \text{Cl}^+(\mathbb{Z}_K)} \mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}, P}$ where $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}, P}$ is the open substack of abelian schemes with real multiplication and polarization type P [Cha90, §1], [Rap78, Preuve du thm. 1.28]. Over \mathbb{C} , the analytification of $\mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}, P}$ is given by $\mathbb{H}_1^g / \text{Sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}_K \oplus P^\vee)$, where a point $(t_1, \dots, t_g) \in \mathbb{H}_1^g$ represents the abelian variety $\mathbb{C}^g / (\Sigma(P^\vee) \oplus \text{Diag}(t_1, \dots, t_g)\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_K))$, where $\Sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^g$ is the collection of the g real embeddings of K . For any $\lambda \in P$ the corresponding hermitian form of the polarization is given by $H_\lambda(z, w) = \sum_{i=1}^g \lambda_i z_i \bar{w}_i / \mathfrak{I}t_i$.

Then $\mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}, \mathbb{Z}_K}$ is the substack which corresponds to principally polarizable abelian schemes, $\mathcal{H}_{g, n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is geometrically connected.

We can also construct $\mathcal{H}_{g, \Gamma^0(I)}^{\text{pol}}$ for any ideal I of \mathbb{Z}_K , but in this case the corresponding $\Phi_{\beta, 2}$ isogeny map would map $\mathcal{H}_{g, \Gamma^0(I)}^{\text{pol}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g^{\text{pol}, I \otimes P}$ [Kie20, §3.4].

Note that the deformation map is represented by an element of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ instead of a $g(g+1)/2 \times g(g+1)/2$ \mathcal{O}_S -matrix.

4.3 The deformation and tangent maps

{subsec:defo}

In this section, we present the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism, which for a principally polarized abelian variety A identifies $T_A(A_g)$ with $\text{Sym}^2(T_0(A))$. This yields a relation between the deformation and tangent maps of a given ℓ -isogeny (Proposition 4.24). We also present an analogous result in the Hilbert case.

More Details 4.21. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a rational ℓ -isogeny between two principally polarized abelian varieties defined over a field k , of character prime to ℓ . So (the isomorphism classes of) A and B are points in $A_g(k)$ and f is a point in $A_g(\ell)(k)$. A deformation of A is an abelian scheme A over $k[\epsilon]$ such that $A_k = A$. In particular this is a point of $A_g(k[\epsilon])$ above $A \in A_g(k)$, or in other words A is given by a choice of tangent vector τ_A above A in A_g .

Given such a deformation scheme, by étaleness of $A_g(\ell) \rightarrow A_g$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$, there is a unique lift of f to an isogeny $f: A \rightarrow B$ where B is a deformation of B . Explicitly B is the quotient scheme of the unique lift of $\text{Ker } f$ to A . In particular B corresponds to a tangent vector τ_B above B in A_g . This gives a linear map $\Phi(f): T_{A_g, A} \rightarrow T_{A_g, B}, \tau_A \mapsto \tau_B$, $\Phi(f)$ which is simply the deformation map $D_{\Phi_{\ell, 2}}(f) \circ D_{\Phi_{\ell, 1}}^{-1}(f)$ of f .

4.3.1 The Siegel case

The Kodaira-Spencer morphism was first introduced in [KS58]; we refer to [FC90, §III.9] and [And17, §1.3] for more details.

Let $p: A \rightarrow S$ be a proper abelian scheme, and assume for simplicity that S is smooth. Then, using the Gauss-Manin connection

$$\nabla: R^1 p_* \Omega_{A/S} \rightarrow R^1 p_* \Omega_{A/S} \otimes \Omega_S^1,$$

one can define the *Kodaira-Spencer morphism*

$$\kappa: T_S \rightarrow R^1 p_* T_{A/S},$$

where $T_{A/S}$ is the dual of $\Omega_{A/S}^1$. {{ Note that S smooth is enough for our purpose since we will apply this to A_g , or rather to an étale presentation S of A_g . }}

Recall that $\text{Lie}_S A = p_* T_{A/S}$ is the dual of $p_* \Omega_{A/S}^1$, and is canonically identified with $s^* T_{A_S}$ where $s: S \rightarrow A$ is the zero section [MvE12, Prop. 3.15]. By the projection formula [FGI+05, Thm. 8.3.2], [The18, Tag 0943], we have

$$R^1 p_* T_{A/S} = \text{Lie}_S(A) \otimes_{O_S} R^1 p_* O_A.$$

Moreover, $R^1 p_* O_A$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Lie}_S(A^\vee)$, where $A^\vee \rightarrow S$ is the dual of A . Therefore, we can also write the Kodaira-Spencer map as

$$\kappa: T_S \rightarrow R^1 p_* T_{A/S} \simeq \text{Lie}_S(A) \otimes_{O_S} \text{Lie}_S(A^\vee).$$

The Kodaira-Spencer map κ is invariant by duality. A polarization $A \rightarrow A^\vee$ induces another version of the Kodaira-Spencer map:

$$\kappa: T_S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 \text{Lie}_S(A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Sym}}(\Omega_{A/S}^1, \Omega_{A^\vee/S}^1) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Sym}}(\text{Lie}_S(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}_S(A^\vee)).$$

If we apply this construction to the universal abelian scheme $\mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow A_g$ (or rather, the pullback of \mathcal{X}_g to an étale presentation S of A_g), the Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism [And17, §2.1.1]. Its analytification can be described explicitly.

Proposition 4.22. *Let V be the trivial vector bundle \mathbb{C}^g on \mathbb{H}_g , identified with the tangent space at 0 of the universal abelian variety $A(\tau)$ over \mathbb{H}_g . Then the pullback of the Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa: T_{A_g} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 \text{Lie}_S \mathcal{X}_g$ by $\mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow A_g^{\text{an}}$ is an isomorphism $T_{\mathbb{H}_g} \simeq \text{Sym}^2 V$ given by*

$$\kappa\left(\frac{1 + \delta_{jk}}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{jk}}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}.$$

for each $1 \leq j, k \leq g$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol.

Proof. The fact that the pullback is an isomorphism is [And17, §2.2]. The identification itself can be derived by looking at the deformation of a section s of the line bundle on \mathcal{X}_g giving the principal polarization. {{ Precisely, if (A, \mathcal{L}) is a principally polarized abelian variety, and s a non zero section of \mathcal{L} , each deformation $(A_\epsilon, \mathcal{L}_\epsilon)$ gives a deformation s_ϵ . }} On

{prop:analytic_kodaira}

$\mathbb{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_g$, we can take the theta function θ as a section, and its deformation along τ is given by the heat equation [Cvoo, p. 9]:

$$2\pi i(1 + \delta_{jk}) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau_{jk}} = \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z_j \partial z_k}. \quad \square$$

When identifying the tangent space at τ with the symmetric matrices, the action of Sym^2 at a matrix U on the tangent space is given by $M \mapsto MUM^t$. It is then easy to check that this action is indeed compatible with the action of $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ on τ and U . From Proposition 4.22, we recover that derivatives of Siegel modular invariants have weight Sym^2 in the sense of §2; moreover the basis of differential forms $\omega(\tau)$ from §2.1 and the matrix $D_\tau J$ defined in §3.4 are correctly normalized.

To sum up, if $x : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow A_g$ is a point represented by a principally polarized abelian variety A/k , we have a canonical isomorphism $T_x A_g \simeq \text{Sym}^2(T_0(A))$.

{def:siegel_defo_matrix}

Definition 4.23. Let k be a field of characteristic distinct from ℓ , let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny representing a point of $A_g(\ell)(k)$, and fix bases of $T_0(A)$ and $T_0(A')$ as k -vector spaces. We call the matrix of the tangent map $d\varphi$ in these bases the *tangent matrix* of φ .

By functoriality, this choice of bases induces bases of $T_A(A_g)$ and $T_{A'}(A_g)$ over k . We call the matrix of the deformation map $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ in these bases the *deformation matrix* of φ .

We still denote these matrices by $d\varphi$ and $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$, but this abuse of notation should cause no confusion.

We can now extend the relation that we gave in Proposition 3.19 between the tangent and deformation matrices, as follows.

{prop:defo_siegel}

Proposition 4.24. Let φ be as in Definition 4.23, and let $d\varphi$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$) be its tangent (resp. deformation) matrix. Then we have $\text{Sym}^2(d\varphi) = \ell \mathcal{D}(\varphi)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove it for the universal ℓ -isogeny

$$\varphi: \mathcal{X}_g(\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_g \times_{A_g} A_g(\ell)$$

over $\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell]$. All line bundles involved in the relation we have to prove are locally free on smooth stacks, so are flat over \mathbb{Z} ; therefore, since $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is injective, it suffices to prove the relation over \mathbb{C} . By rigidity [MFK94, Prop. 6.1 and Thm. 6.14], it suffices to prove the relation on each fiber.

Hence we may assume that $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ is an ℓ -isogeny over \mathbb{C} . We can find $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_g$ such that A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g)$ and A' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau/\ell \mathbb{Z}^g)$, with φ induced by the identity on \mathbb{C}^g . Then, the deformation map at φ is given by $\tau \rightarrow \tau/\ell$, so the result follows. \square

More Details 4.25. • We give an alternative proof using modular polynomials. We may take our basis such that $df = \text{Id}$ (we say that f is a normalized isogeny). If A correspond to the abelian variety $\mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g)$, then since f is normalized it is the identity on \mathbb{C}^g , so B is given by $\mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau/\ell \mathbb{Z}^g)$. Let A_ϵ be a deformation of A over $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$ (where $\epsilon^2 = 0$), and B_ϵ the deformation induced by f . Then taking j a set of local uniformizers at A and B and

Φ_ℓ modular equations in these uniformizers, we have $\Phi_\ell(j(A_e), j(B_e)) = 0$. Thus we get a relation involving $\mathcal{D}(f)(t_e)$ where t_e is the tangent vector corresponding to A_e . On the other hand, differentiating the relation $\Phi_\ell(j(\tau), j(\tau/\ell)) = 0$ shows that in the normalized basis $\mathcal{D}(f) = \text{Id}/\ell$. Alternatively, working on \mathbb{H}_g , we see directly that the deformation map is given by $\tau \rightarrow \tau/\ell$, so $\mathcal{D}(f) = \text{Id}/\ell$.

- In the proof above, if we take f to be given by $z \mapsto \ell z$ on \mathbb{C}^g , then $B = \mathbb{C}^g/(\mathbb{Z}^g + \ell\tau\mathbb{Z}^g)$. Then differentiating the relation $\Phi_\ell(j(\tau), j(\ell\tau)) = 0$, shows that in a ℓ -normalized basis, $\mathcal{D}(f) = \ell \text{Id}$. This is coherent with the fact that changing the tangent matrix df by a factor ℓ change $\text{Sym}^2 df$ by ℓ^2 .
- The multiplication by $[m]$ map is an m^2 -isogeny, and acts by m on the tangent space. Hence the deformation matrix is the identity, as expected from the fact that $[m]$ lift on any deformation of A .

4.3.2 The Hilbert case

In the Hilbert case, the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism is as follows.

{prop:kodaira_hilbert}

Proposition 4.26. *Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme in \mathcal{H}_g . Then we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$T_A(\mathcal{H}_g) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_S}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}(A^\vee)) = \text{Lie}(A^\vee) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_S} \text{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_K} \mathbb{Z}_K^\vee.$$

Proof. Combine [Rap78, Prop. 1.6] with [Rap78, Prop. 1.9]. \square

More Details 4.27. By the above Propositions of [Rap78], the functor of formal deformations of RM abelian schemes with or without polarization are the same; in other words, all deformations which preserve the real multiplication automatically preserve the polarization. By contrast for an abelian scheme with a separable polarization, the formal functor of deformations (without polarization) is represented by $W(k)[[[t_{11}, \dots, t_{gg}]]$ [Oor71, Thm. 2.2.1] and the one with polarization by $W(k)[[[t_{11}, \dots, t_{gg}]]/(t_{ij} - t_{ji})$ [Oor71, Thm. 2.3.3 and Rem. p. 288].

Proposition 4.26 shows that for Hilbert–Blumenthal stacks, the deformation map is actually represented by an element of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_S$ rather than a matrix in O_S . The action of the Hilbert embedding on tangent spaces is also easy to describe.

{prop:ks_embedding}

Proposition 4.28. *Let A be a k -point of \mathcal{H}_g . Then the map $T_A(\mathcal{H}_g) \rightarrow T_A(A_g)$ induced by the forgetful functor fits in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_A(\mathcal{H}_g) & \longrightarrow & T_A(A_g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_k}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}(A^\vee)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Sym}}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}(A^\vee)). \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphisms. *{As a reformulation: the forgetful functor $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow A_g$ induces the following map on tangent spaces. If $A \rightarrow k$ represents the geometric point $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g$, then $T_{A, \mathcal{H}_g} \rightarrow T_{A, A_g}$ is given by the natural map $\text{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k} \text{Lie}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_K} \mathbb{Z}_K^\vee \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}(A^\vee)) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 \text{Lie}(A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Sym}}(\text{Lie}(A)^\vee, \text{Lie}(A^\vee))$. }*

Proof. The bottom arrow is well-defined: $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ is a projective $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_k$ -sheaf of rank 1, so its image in $\mathrm{Hom}_{O_k}(\mathrm{Lie}(A)^\vee, \mathrm{Lie}(A^\vee))$ obtained by forgetting the \mathbb{Z}_K -structure is automatically symmetric. We omit the proof of commutativity. \square

Combining Proposition 4.28 with the analytic description of the Kodaira–Spencer in the Siegel case (Proposition 4.22) and the analytic description of the forgetful map (§2.4), we obtain the following analytic description of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism in the Hilbert case.

Corollary 4.29. *The pullback of $\kappa: T_{\mathcal{H}_g} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{Lie}_S X_g$ by $\mathbb{H}_1^g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_g^{an}$ is given by*

$$\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq g$.

This result provides an algebraic interpretation of Proposition 3.22: in genus 2, the part of $T_A(A_2)$ that comes from the Hilbert space corresponds to the span of $dz_1 \otimes dz_1$ and $dz_2 \otimes dz_2$.

We obtain the analogue of Proposition 4.24 in the Hilbert case by a similar proof; in this statement, we see $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ as an element of a $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_S$ -module.

{prop:defo_hilbert}

Proposition 4.30. *Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be a β -isogeny. Then $\mathrm{Sym}^2(d\varphi) = \beta \mathcal{D}(\varphi)$.*

Proof Details. The forgetting map \mathcal{H}_g to A_g is given over \mathbb{C} by Proposition 2.16 and the action on the tangent space at the period matrices and on the tangent space \mathbb{C}^g at 0 of the corresponding complex abelian varieties is compatible with the Sym^2 action by the same proof as in Proposition 3.22. The conclusion then follows from Proposition 4.22 and ?? \square

{rem:hilbertztangent}

Remark 4.31. We give an algebraic interpretation of the notion of Hilbert-normalized bases from §2.3, and the reduction to diagonal matrices that we used in §3.5 to compute the tangent matrix in the Hilbert case.

Let k be a field, and let A be an abelian variety representing a k -point of \mathcal{H}_g . Then $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$ -module of rank 1, and any choice of basis v induces an isomorphism with $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$ itself. Provided that $\mathrm{char} k \nmid \mathrm{Discr}(K)$, and up to taking an étale extension of k , we may assume that k splits \mathbb{Z}_K :

$$\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g k^{\sigma_i}$$

where $k^{\sigma_i} \simeq k$ has a \mathbb{Z}_K -module structure induced by the i -th embedding $\sigma_i: \mathbb{Z}_K \rightarrow k$. We fixed such a trivialization in §2.3 in the case $k = \mathbb{C}$. Then, v induces a basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ as a k -vector space on which \mathbb{Z}_K acts diagonally, in other words a Hilbert-normalized basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$. With such choices of trivializations, the deformation map as given by a $g \times g$ matrix in the basis $(v_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, v_g \otimes v_g)$ of the tangent spaces to \mathcal{H}_g .

Let us discuss, as a generalization of §3.6, the construction of Hilbert-normalized basis when only the Humbert equation is given. Assume that k splits \mathbb{Z}_K and fix a trivialization; let (v_1, \dots, v_g) be a Hilbert-normalized basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$, let (w_1, \dots, w_g)

be another k -basis and let M be the base-change matrix. Then $w_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, w_g \otimes w_g$ are tangent to the Humbert variety if and only if they are in the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes O_k}(\mathrm{Lie}(A)^\vee, \mathrm{Lie}(A^\vee)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sym}}(\mathrm{Lie}(A)^\vee, \mathrm{Lie}(A^\vee)).$$

Via the trivialization, the left hand side is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathrm{Hom}_k(k^{\sigma_i}, k^{\sigma_i})$. So $w_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, w_g \otimes w_g$ are tangent to the Humbert variety if and only if M is diagonal up to a permutation. When $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is not the full symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_g , this is not enough in general to ensure that the basis (w_1, \dots, w_g) is potentially Hilbert-normalized. This issue does not appear in genus 2.

As a final remark, assume that $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ is an isogeny compatible with the real multiplication, and assume that we are given bases of $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(A')$ as $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$ -modules (which we assume is étale for simplicity). Then, knowing $\mathrm{Sym}^2(d\varphi)$, the number of possibilities for $d\varphi$ is 2^s where s is the number of connected components of the étale algebra $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$. For instance, if $g = 2$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ there are 2 or 4 possibilities according to whether p is inert or split in \mathbb{Z}_K .

More Details 4.32. Thus the results of this section allows to recover purely algebraically the results of Propositions 3.22 and 3.24 and extend them to all dimension g .

When $k = \mathbb{C}$, the trivialization of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is given by a numerotation of the g embeddings of \mathbb{Z}_K into \mathbb{R} , and the analytic description of \mathcal{H}_g shows that on T_0A we may take $v_i = dz_i$.

The discussion above of Hilbert-normalized can be detailed as follow. If $x \in \mathcal{H}_g$ represents an abelian variety A/k with real multiplication, but we are only given the image of x in \mathcal{A}_g , then we only have $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ as a k -module. Likewise, the tangent equations of the Humbert moduli in \mathcal{A}_g at A only allows us to recover $T_{\mathcal{H}_g}(A)$ as a k -vector space. The choice of an \mathbb{Z}_K module structure on $\mathrm{Lie} A$ then essentially corresponds to a choice of a preimage of A in \mathcal{H}_g [Rap78, Prop. 1.4]; there are $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ possibilities. As we saw above, the generalization of Proposition 3.24 only gives that if $w_1 \otimes w_1, \dots, w_g \otimes w_g$ are tangent to the Humbert variety, then the action of \mathbb{Z}_K on the w_i is diagonal. But when $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ is not the full symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n then this is not enough to get that the action is induced by the given trivialization, even up to Galois conjugation, so (w_1, \dots, w_g) may not be potentially Hilbert-normalized. To treat this case, the explicit action of \mathbb{Z}_K on $\mathrm{Lie}(A)$ is required.

Likewise, if $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an isogeny compatible with real multiplication between abelian varieties with real multiplication, then seeing $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{Lie} A$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathrm{Lie} B$ only as O_k -modules, there are a priori 2^s possibilities for df (since by the discussion above, eventually taking an étale extension of k , we can assume df diagonal since f is compatible with the real multiplication). Here again the \mathbb{Z}_K -action is important to get the 2^s possibilities of Remark 4.31.

4.4 Modular forms and covariants

{subsec:mf-ZZ}

In this section, we give an algebraic interpretation of modular forms and covariants over \mathbb{Z} , as well as a completely algebraic proof of Theorem 3.9. This yields an explicit version of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism in the model of \mathbf{A}_g given by Igusa invariants over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and not only over \mathbb{C} . {{ Indeed, to apply the results of Sections 4.2 and 4.3, we need to describe models of \mathcal{A}_g and \mathbf{A}_g , and give an explicit version of the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism on these models. But there is a canonical model on \mathcal{A}_g , given by the Hodge line bundle, whose sections are modular form. So in this section, we reinterpret the results of Section 3 to show that they still hold over \mathbb{Z} . }}

Let $\pi : \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow A_g$ be the universal abelian variety. The vector bundle

$$h = \pi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_g/A_g}^1$$

over A_g , which is dual to $\text{Lie}_{\mathcal{X}_g/A_g}$, is called the *Hodge bundle*. If ρ is a representation of GL_g , a Siegel modular form of weight ρ is a section of $\rho(h)$; in particular, a scalar-valued modular form of weight k is a section of $\Lambda^g h^{\otimes k}$. In other words, a Siegel modular form f can be seen as a map

$$(A, \omega) \mapsto f(A, \omega)$$

where A is a point of A_g and ω is a basis of differential forms on A , with the following property: if $\eta : A \rightarrow A'$ is an isomorphism, and $r \in \text{GL}_g$ is the matrix of η^* in the bases ω', ω , then $f(A', \omega') = \rho(r)f(A, \omega)$. The link with classical modular forms over \mathbb{C} is the following: if $\tau \in \mathbb{H}_g$, then we define

$$f(\tau) = f(\mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g), (2\pi i dz_1, \dots, 2\pi i dz_g)).$$

This choice of basis is made so that the q -expansion principle holds [FC90, p. 141]. We already used it to define $f(A, \omega)$ over \mathbb{C} in §2.1. The canonical line bundle $h = \Lambda^g h$ is ample, so modular forms give local coordinates on A_g .

The link between modular forms and covariants comes from the Torelli morphism

$$\tau_g : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow A_g$$

where \mathcal{M}_g denotes the moduli stack of smooth curves of genus g . Let $C_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ denote the universal curve; then the pullback $\tau_g^* h$ of the Hodge bundle by the Torelli morphism is $\pi_* \Omega_{C_g/\mathcal{M}_g}^1$, with both having canonical action by GL_g . In other words a Siegel modular form of weight ρ induces a Teichmüller modular form of weight ρ .

Now assume that $g = 2$. Over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, the moduli stack \mathcal{M}_2 is identified with the moduli stack of nondegenerate binary forms of degree 6. Let $V = \mathbb{Z}x \oplus \mathbb{Z}y$, let $X = \det^{-2} V \otimes \text{Sym}^6 V$, and let U be the open locus determined by the discriminant. Then $U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is naturally identified with the Hodge frame bundle on \mathcal{M}_2 : in other words, U is the moduli space of genus 2 hyperelliptic curves $\pi : C \rightarrow S$ endowed with a rigidification $O_S^{\oplus 2} \simeq \pi_* \Omega_{C/S}^1$. In this identification, we send the binary form $f(x, y)$ to the curve $v^2 = f(u, 1)$ with a basis of differential forms given by $(u du/v, du/v)$ [CFv17, §4]. The natural action of GL_2 on the Hodge bundle corresponds to the action of GL_2 on U that we describe in Section 3.2. This shows why a Siegel modular form of weight ρ pulls back to a fractional covariant of weight ρ , at least over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. In fact, one can show as in Theorem 3.9, by considering suitable compactifications, that a Siegel modular form pulls back to a polynomial covariant over any ring R in which 2 is invertible. Using Igusa's universal form [Igu60, §2], one can also use binary forms of degree 6 to describe the moduli stack of genus 2 curves even in characteristic two.

More Details 4.33. The canonical line bundle $h = \Lambda^g h$ is ample, and can be used to construct the Satake-Baily-Borel compactification A_g^* of A_g over \mathbb{Z} . The stack A_g^* is normal but not smooth, one can also construct smooth toroidal compactifications \overline{A}_g over \mathbb{Z} . If $g > 1$, the Koecher principle is still valid over \mathbb{Z} , and a scalar modular form defined over A_g extends to A_g^* and \overline{A}_g .

The boundary components have interpretations in terms of the Fourier coefficients, in term of the Siegel operator or the Fourier-Jacobi development respectively. Finally the q -expansion principle give a convenient way to find the ring of definition of a modular form. For all this and much more, we refer to [FC90, Ch. V].

Let j be a modular function, that is a section of O_{A_g} . Then if A/k is in the open of definition of j , one can evaluate j at every deformation A_ϵ of A . If t_ϵ is the tangent vector at A to A_g corresponding to A_ϵ , writing $j(A_\epsilon) = j(A) + dj(\epsilon)\epsilon$ defines an application $dj : \text{Sym}^2 T_{0_A} A \rightarrow k$ (where we used the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism). More generally this holds for abelian schemes, so we see that dj is a section of $\text{Sym}^2 h$, in other words a modular form of weight Sym^2 .

So if j_1, \dots, j_m contains uniformizers of A_g at A , we can use the dj_i to compute the deformation matrix. This uniformizer condition explains the genericity condition on the ranks of $dj/d\tau$ (resp. dj/dt) of Definitions 3.18 and 3.20.

It remains to give an explicit version of the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism when $g = 2$, via covariants of hyperelliptic curves. The isomorphism $T_0 \text{Jac } C \simeq H^1(C, O_C) \simeq H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ for a curve C shows that the pullback $\tau_g^* h$ of h by the Torelli morphism $\tau_g : M_g \rightarrow A_g$ is indeed given by the bundle $\pi_* \Omega^1 C_g / M_g$, with both having canonical action by Gl_g . The Torelli morphism is radicial on M_g , and unramified when restricted to $M_g \setminus \mathcal{H}_g$ and to \mathcal{H}_g , where \mathcal{H}_g is the locus of hyperelliptic curves. If \overline{M}_g is the moduli space of stable curves of genus g , then the Torelli morphisms extends to morphisms (no longer injective) $M_g^* \rightarrow A_g$ and $\overline{M}_g \rightarrow \overline{A}_g$, where M_g^* denotes the locus of stable curves.

If $g = 2$, then the morphism $M_g^* \rightarrow A_g$ is surjective, as can be seen from the degenerations of hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 studied in ([Liu93, Thm. 1]). Let U be the open locus of X defined above, the action of Gl_2 on M_2 is the one described in Section 3.2, which as explained there, differs from the usual action when considering covariants, so that if we let $\alpha : U \rightarrow [U / \text{Gl}_2] \simeq M_2$ be the natural projection, then $\alpha^* \Omega^1 C_2 / M_2$ is identified to V with its natural Gl_2 action. With the standard action from [CFv17, §4], the pullback is identified to $V \otimes \det V$ instead.

Then Theorem 3.9 can be interpreted as follow, a Siegel modular form on A_g extends to \overline{A}_g , hence its restriction via the Torelli morphism gives a Teichmuller modular form on the stable curves of genus g . If $g = 2$, these curves describe a codimension > 1 of the space $X = \text{Sym}^6 V$, so by normality of X , f induces a polynomial covariant. In particular a Siegel modular form defined over a ring R induces a polynomial covariant defined over R .

{prop:identification}

Proposition 4.34. *The equality $\text{Cov}(f_{8,6}) = \text{Cov}(\chi_{10})X$ from Proposition 3.12 holds over \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. By the q -expansion principle, $f_{8,6}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2, 1/3, 1/5, 1/43]$; the covariants I_{10} and X are defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ since they have integral coefficients. Checking the value of $\text{Cov}(\chi_{10})X$ on Igusa's universal hyperelliptic curve as in [Igu60, §3] shows that this covariant is even defined over \mathbb{Z} . Since the Hodge bundle is without torsion, it is enough to check equality over \mathbb{C} , which is the content of Proposition 3.12. \square

This suggests another, entirely algebraic proof of Proposition 3.12. By dimension considerations, we have $\text{Cov}(f_{8,6}) = \lambda \text{Cov}(\chi_{10})X$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. We have seen above that $\text{Cov}(\chi_{10})X$ is defined over \mathbb{Z} and primitive; therefore, if we can show that the Fourier coefficients of $f_{8,6}$ are integers with gcd 1, we will have $\lambda = \pm 1$. In order to obtain $\lambda = 1$, we can use Thomae's formula on one curve, perform a certified numerical evaluation over \mathbb{C} , or study degenerations from hyperelliptic curves to elliptic curves using the formula from [Liu93, Thm. 1.II].

As a consequence of Proposition 4.34, the identification of derivatives of Igusa invariants as explicit covariants (Theorem 3.14) still holds over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

For the algebraic interpretation of Hilbert modular forms as sections of the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{H}_g , the Koecher principle and the q -expansion principle for Hilbert modular forms, we refer to [Chago, §4] and [Rap78, Thm. 6.7]. We can check that the relation between derivatives of Igusa invariants on the Hilbert and Siegel sides (Proposition 3.22) and the characterization of potentially Hilbert-normalized curves (Proposition 3.24) are still valid over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

{details:hilbert}

More Details 4.35. More precisely, the Hilbert Hodge bundle $h = \pi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}_g}^1$ is a locally free $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes \mathcal{H}_g$ -module, and Hilbert modular forms of weight χ are sections of the line bundle h^χ where the weights are given by $\chi \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_K} = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}_K} \times \mathbb{Z}_K$ [AGo5, Defs. 5.1 and 5.4], [Rap78, §6]. If K' is the normal closure of K , it splits \mathbb{Z}_K , and a choice of trivialization of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes K'$ induce a splitting of the torus $G_{K'}$, hence a basis of g characters χ_1, \dots, χ_g . An Hilbert modular form of weight $\chi_1^{a_1} \dots \chi_g^{a_g}$ corresponds to a form of weight (a_1, \dots, a_g) in the notations of Section 2.3 [AGo5, p. 2]. Then the same reasoning as in Proposition 4.34 shows that Proposition A.4 is valid over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

4.5 Computing the tangent map in dimension 2

{subsec:kodaira}

In this section, we work over a field k of characteristic different from 2 and 3; this restriction is not essential and comes from our choice of invariants. We have seen that derivatives of Igusa invariants are defined over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, and hence make sense over k . We keep the matrix notations from §3.4.

{prop:tangent_siegel}

Proposition 4.36. *Let U be the open set of A_2 over k consisting of abelian surfaces A such that $\text{Aut}(A) = \{\pm 1\}$ and $j_3(A) \neq 0$. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ be an ℓ -isogeny over k . Assume that A, A' lie in U , and denote their Igusa invariants by j, j' . Assume further that the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^3$ cut out by modular equations is normal at $(j(A), j(A'))$. Let C, C' be hyperelliptic equations over k whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' respectively. Then*

1. *The isogeny φ is generic in the sense of Definition 3.18, in other words the 3×3 matrices*

$$D\Psi_{\ell,L}(j, j'), \quad D\Psi_{\ell,R}(j, j'), \quad D_\tau J(C) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\tau J(C')$$

are invertible.

2. *Let $d\varphi$ be the tangent matrix of φ with respect to C, C' . Then*

$$\text{Sym}^2(d\varphi) = -\ell D_\tau J(C')^{-1} \cdot D\Psi_{\ell,R}(j, j')^{-1} \cdot D\Psi_{\ell,L}(j, j') \cdot D_\tau J(C).$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.12, both A and A' are smooth points of \mathbf{A}_g , and the deformation map $\mathcal{D}(\varphi)$ is $d\Phi_{\ell,2}(\varphi) \circ d\Phi_{\ell,1}(\varphi)^{-1}$. Since A has generic automorphisms, A is not a product of elliptic curves; moreover $j_3(A) \neq 0$, so the birational map $(j_1, j_2, j_3): \mathbf{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$ is well-defined and étale at A . The map $A_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is also étale at A , so the Igusa invariants are local uniformizers around A in A_g . This shows that φ is generic in the sense of Definition 3.18. We obtain the expression of $\text{Sym}^2(d\varphi)$ by Proposition 4.24. \square

If A lies in the open set U defined in Proposition 4.36 and C is a hyperelliptic equation for A , then giving an element of $T_A(A_g)$ is equivalent to giving one of the following:

1. A deformation C_ϵ of C over $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$,
2. The Igusa invariants $j_1(C_\epsilon), j_2(C_\epsilon), j_3(C_\epsilon)$ in $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$,
3. If $(w_1, w_2) = (x dx/y, dx/y)$ is the canonical basis of differential forms on C , a vector $v = \alpha w_1^2 + \beta w_1 w_2 + \gamma w_2^2$ in $\text{Sym}^2 \Omega^1(C)$.

Switching from one representation to another can be done at the cost of $O(1)$ operations in k using the formulæ for Igusa invariants, the expression of their derivatives as a covariant, and linear algebra.

Proof Details. We simply specialize the results above, to get explicit Kodaira-Spencer formula in the genus 2 case. For simplicity we assume that we are over a field (of characteristic different from 2). Let $C : v^2 = E_C(u)$ be an hyperelliptic curve of genus 2 over k . We want to prove that to give a deformation of $\text{Jac}(C)$ over $k[\epsilon]$ (with $\epsilon^2 = 0$), is the same as to give

1. A deformation $C_\epsilon/k[\epsilon]$ of C {defo:i}
2. A deformation $E_{C,\epsilon}$ of E_C {defo:ii}
3. If $w_1 = dx/y, w_2 = x dx/y$ are the canonical basis of differential forms on C , a vector $v = \alpha w_1^2 + \beta w_1 w_2 + \gamma w_2^2$ in $\text{Sym}^2 \Omega^1(C)$. {defo:iii}
4. The Igusa invariants $j_1(C_\epsilon), j_2(C_\epsilon), j_3(C_\epsilon)$ (if $j_1(C) \neq 0$) {defo:iv}

The Torelli morphism from the stack of hyperelliptic curves to the stack of principally polarized abelian varieties is unramified, so in genus 2 induces an isomorphism on tangent space since their dimension are the same. Hence Item 1. The equivalence of Item 1 and Item 2 comes from the theory of hyperelliptic curves over a base scheme, and Item 3 is the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism. Finally Item 4 comes from the discussion above Proposition 4.16, since $j_1(C_\epsilon) \neq 0$ if $j_1(C) \neq 0$.

Changing between these representations is done as follow: given $E_{C,\epsilon}$, we can evaluate $j_1(C_\epsilon), j_2(C_\epsilon), j_3(C_\epsilon)$ since they are rational functions on the coefficients of $E_{C,\epsilon}$. Conversely, from $j_1(C_\epsilon), j_2(C_\epsilon), j_3(C_\epsilon)$ we can recover the curve C_ϵ by applying Mestre's algorithm [Mes91]. This algorithm requires finding a rational point on a conic, but since we know C we just need to lift the point coming from C . Alternatively, writing the coefficients of $E_{C,\epsilon}$ as $a_i + \epsilon a'_i$ where a_i are the coefficients of E_C , and then plugging the formulas for j_1, j_2, j_3 , this amount to solving linear equations in the a'_i .

If C_ϵ is a deformation coming from a tangent vector w , then $j_i(C_\epsilon) = j_i(C) + dj_i(C)(w)\epsilon$. Using Proposition 4.34, we can evaluate $dj_i(C)(w)$ for $w = w_1^2, w = w_1 w_2$ and $w = w_2^2$, hence for all w by linearity. Conversely, given the $j_i(C_\epsilon)$, to search for w such that $j_i(C_\epsilon) = j_i(C) + dj_i(C)(w)\epsilon$ we simply compute $dj_i(C)(w)$ for $w = w_1^2, w = w_1 w_2$ and $w = w_2^2$ and solve a linear equation. \square

In the Hilbert case, it is more difficult to ensure genericity in the sense of Definition 3.20 because the Hilbert embedding $\mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow A_g$ comes into play. We assume that k splits \mathbb{Z}_K , and fix a trivialization of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$.

Proposition 4.37. *Let A, A' be abelian varieties representing k -points of \mathcal{H}_g , and let C, C' be hyperelliptic equations over k whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' respectively; assume that C, C' are Hilbert-normalized and that there exists a β -isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$. Then we have*

$$D\Psi_{\beta,L}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C) = -D\Psi_{\beta,R}(j, j') \cdot D_t J(C') \cdot \text{Diag}(1/\beta, 1/\bar{\beta}) \cdot (d\varphi)^2.$$

Proof. This comes from the relation between the deformation and tangent matrices (Proposition 4.30). \square

The equality in Proposition 4.37 only allows to compute $(d\varphi)^2$ when φ is generic. Even in this case, we get several possible candidates for $d\varphi$ up to sign. The discussion of Remark 4.31 shows that Proposition 3.24, which gives an algorithm to construct potentially Hilbert-normalized curve equations in genus 2, is still valid over k .

Remark 4.38. The 3×2 matrices $D_t J(C)$ and $D_t J(C')$ have rank two when the Igusa invariants contain uniformizers of \mathcal{H}_g at A and A' by [Gro64, p. IV.17.11.3]. Given the relation between derivatives of Igusa invariants on the Hilbert and Siegel sides (Proposition 3.24, which is valid over k by Proposition 4.28), this will be the case at soon as the images of A and A' in \mathbf{A}_g lie in the open set U from Proposition 4.36.

Assume that generators of the ring of Hilbert modular forms are known, and the expression of Igusa invariants in terms of these generators is given. Since modular forms realize a projective embedding of \mathbf{H}_g , one can compute from this data an open set V in \mathbf{H}_g where the Igusa invariants contain local uniformizers. Then, if A lies in V and $\text{Aut}(A) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$, the Igusa invariants will contain local uniformizers of \mathcal{H}_g , hence $D_t J(C)$ will have rank 2.

In the Hilbert case, if Igusa invariants contain local uniformizers of \mathcal{H}_g at A and if C is a Hilbert-normalized curve equation for A , then giving an element of $T_A(\mathcal{H}_g)$ is equivalent to giving

1. A deformation C_ϵ of C over $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K ,
2. Igusa invariants $j_1(C_\epsilon), j_2(C_\epsilon), j_3(C_\epsilon)$ in $k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ lying on the Humbert surface (if $j_1(C) \neq 0$),
3. If $(w_1, w_2) = (x dx/y, dx/y)$ is the canonical basis of differential forms on C , a vector $v = \alpha w_1^2 + \gamma w_2^2$ in $\text{Sym}^2 \Omega^1(C)$.

Switching from one representation to another can be done at the cost of $O(1)$ operations in k .

Proof Details. Indeed, this is immediate by ???. When $g = 2$, the Humbert surface is enough to determine a potentially Hilbert normalized basis, as explained in Remark 4.31, we may even only assume that C is potentially Hilbert normalized.

This give an algebraic interpretation of Section 3.6, and as a corollary, we get that Algorithms Algorithms 3.25 and A.5 are valid over an arbitrary field (of characteristic different from two). \square

5 Computing the isogeny from its tangent map

5.1 General strategy

Assume that we are given the tangent map $d\varphi$ of a separable isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g defined over a field k . In general, the task of *computing φ explicitly* may be specified as follows: given models of A and A' ,

{sec:alg}

{subsec:introalg}

that is given very ample line bundles \mathcal{L}_A and $\mathcal{L}_{A'}$ on A and A' and a choice of global sections (a_i) (resp. (a'_i)) which give a projective embedding of A (resp. A'), express the functions $\varphi^*a'_j$ on A as rational fractions in terms the coordinates (a_i) .

One method to determine φ given $d\varphi$ is to work over the formal groups of A and A' . Let x_1, \dots, x_g be uniformizers at 0_A , and let y_1, \dots, y_g be uniformizers at $0_{A'}$. Knowing the map $d\varphi$ allows us to express the differential form φ^*dy_j in term of the differential forms dx_i on A , so the functions $\varphi^*a'_j$ satisfy a differential system. A possible strategy to solve this differential system is to use a multivariate Newton algorithm, possibly over an extension of the formal group. If this algorithm is successful, we recover the functions $\varphi^*a'_j$ as power series in $k[[x_1, \dots, x_g]]$ up to some precision. The next step is to use multivariate rational reconstruction to obtain φ as a rational map. In order for the rational reconstruction algorithm to succeed, the power series precision must be large enough with respect to the degrees of the result in the variables (a_i) .

This strategy to compute φ is not new: the idea of using a differential equation to compute isogenies in genus 1 appears in [Elk98], and [BMS+08] uses a Newton algorithm to solve this differential equation. To the best of our knowledge, the first article to extend these ideas to genus 2 is [CE15]. The method is further extended to compute endomorphisms of Jacobians over a number field in [CMS+19]. In [CMS+19, §6], the endomorphism is represented as a divisorial correspondence; the interpolation of this divisor is done a bit differently, via linear algebra on Riemann–Roch spaces.

A necessary condition for the whole method to work is that φ be completely determined by its tangent map. In general, this will be the case when $\text{char } k$ is large with respect to the degree of φ . For instance, we have the following statement in the case of ℓ -isogenies.

Lemma 5.1. *Let A and A' be two principally polarized abelian varieties over a field k , and $M : T_0(A) \rightarrow T_0(A')$ a linear map. Assume that $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k > 4N$. Then there is at most one ℓ -isogeny $\varphi : A \rightarrow A'$ with $\ell \leq N$ such that $d\varphi = M$.*

Proof. Let φ_1 and φ_2 be two such isogenies. Then $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 + \psi$ where ψ is inseparable. If $\text{char } k = 0$, this implies $\psi = 0$ and hence $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$. Otherwise, write $p = \text{char } k$ and denote by $\overline{\varphi}_1$ the contragredient isogeny. Then if $\psi \neq 0$, we have

$$\psi\overline{\psi} = \varphi_2\overline{\varphi}_2 + \varphi_1\overline{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_1\overline{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_2\overline{\varphi}_1.$$

But $\psi\overline{\psi}$ is equal to p^m for some $m \geq 1$, and $\varphi_1\overline{\varphi}_1 = \ell_1$, $\varphi_2\overline{\varphi}_2 = \ell_2$ with $\ell_1, \ell_2 \leq N$ by hypothesis. Therefore we obtain $p^m \leq 2N + 2\sqrt{N}\sqrt{N} = 4N$. \square

In practice, Newton iterations will fail to reach sufficiently high power series precision if $\text{char } k$ is too small, hence the bound given in Theorem 1.1.

In the rest of this section, we carry out this strategy in detail when A, A' are the Jacobians of genus 2 hyperelliptic curves C, C' . Concretely, we are given the matrix of $d\varphi$ in the bases of $T_0(A)$ and $T_0(A')$ that are dual to $\omega(C)$ and $\omega(C')$ respectively (see §3.1). In this case, a nice simplification occurs: the isogeny φ is completely determined by the compositum

$$C \xrightarrow{Q \rightarrow [Q-P]} \text{Jac}(C) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Jac}(C') \xrightarrow{\sim} C'^{\text{2,sym}} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{A}^4$$

where P is any point on C , and m is the rational map given by

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\} \mapsto \left(x_1 + x_2, x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2, \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right).$$

This compositum is a tuple of four rational fractions $s, p, q, r \in k(u, v)$ that we call the *rational representation of φ at the base point P* . We choose a uniformizer z of C around P and perform the Newton iterations and rational reconstruction over the *univariate* power series ring $k[[z]]$.

We explain how we choose the base point P and solve the differential system in Section 5.2. One difficulty is that the differential system we obtain is singular (Lemma 5.7), so we need to use the geometry of the curves (Proposition 5.4) to find the first few terms in the series before switching to Newton iterations (Proposition 5.9). In Section 5.3, we estimate the degrees of the rational fractions that we want to compute and present the rational reconstruction step.

5.2 Solving the differential system

{subsec:diffsyst}

We keep the notation used in §5.1. Write the curve equations C, C' and the tangent matrix as

$$C : v^2 = E_C(u), \quad C' : y^2 = E_{C'}(x), \quad d\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume that φ is separable, so that $d\varphi$ is invertible. If P is a base point on C , we denote by φ_P the associated map $C \rightarrow C'^2_{\text{sym}}$.

Step 1: choice of base point and power series. Let P be a point on C which is not at a point at infinity; up to enlarging k , we assume that $P \in C(k)$. Since $\varphi_P(P)$ is zero in $\text{Jac}(C')$, we have

$$\varphi_P(P) = \{Q, i(Q)\}$$

for some $Q \in C'$, where i denotes the hyperelliptic involution. We say that φ_P is of *Weierstrass type* if Q is a Weierstrass point of C' , and of *generic type* otherwise. If z is a local uniformizer of C at P , and R is an étale extension of $k[[z]]$, we define a *local lift of φ_P at P with coefficients in R* to be a tuple $\tilde{\varphi}_P = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in R^4$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } R & \xrightarrow{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)} & C'^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } k[[z]] & \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{\varphi_P} & C'^2_{\text{sym}}. \end{array}$$

If the power series x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 define a local lift of φ_P , then they satisfy the differ-

ential system (S) given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x_1 dx_1}{y_1} + \frac{x_2 dx_2}{y_2} = (m_{1,1}u + m_{1,2}) \frac{du}{v} \\ \frac{y_1}{dx_1} + \frac{y_2}{dx_2} = (m_{2,1}u + m_{2,2}) \frac{du}{v} \\ y_1^2 = E_{C'}(x_1) \\ y_2^2 = E_{C'}(x_2), \end{cases} \quad (S) \quad \{\{\text{eq:diffsyst}\}\}$$

where we consider the coordinates u, v on C as elements of $k[[z]]$, and the letter d denotes derivation with respect to z .

When solving (S), we want φ_P to be of generic type. Proposition 5.4 shows how to choose P to enforce this condition; in order to prove it, we first study the existence of local lifts for arbitrary base points.

Lemma 5.2. *Let z be a uniformizer of C at P . Then there is a quadratic extension k'/k such that a local lift of φ_P at P with coefficients in $R = k'[[\sqrt{z}]]$ exists. Moreover, if φ_P is of generic type, or if P is a Weierstrass point of C , then the same statement holds with $R = k'[[z]]$.*

{lem:lift}

Proof. First assume that φ_P is of generic type. Since the unordered pair $\{Q, i(Q)\}$ is Galois-invariant, there is a quadratic extension k'/k such that Q is defined over k' . The map $C'^2 \rightarrow C'^{2,\text{sym}}$ is étale at $(Q, i(Q))$, so induces an isomorphism of completed local rings. Therefore a local lift exists over $k'[[z]]$.

Second, assume that φ_P is of Weierstrass type. The map $\text{Spec } k[[z]] \rightarrow C'^{2,\text{sym}}$ defines a $k((z))$ -point of $C'^{2,\text{sym}}$, and there exists a preimage of this point defined over an extension $K/k((z))$ of degree 2. Let R be the integral closure of $k[[z]]$ in K . Then R is contained in $k'[[\sqrt{z}]]$ for some quadratic extension k'/k [The18, Tag 09E8]. By the valuative criterion of properness, our K -point of C'^2 extends to an R -point uniquely, so a local lift exists over R .

Finally, assume that φ_P is of Weierstrass type and that P is a Weierstrass point of C . Let (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) be a local lift of φ_P over $k'[[\sqrt{z}]]$. The completed local ring of the Kummer line of C at P is $k[[z^2]]$, and the unordered pair $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is defined on the Kummer line; by the same argument as above, x_1 and x_2 are defined over $k'[[z]]$. The system (S) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/y_1 \\ 1/y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x'_1 & x_2 x'_2 \\ x'_1 & x'_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_1(z) \\ R_2(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some series $R_1, R_2 \in k[[z]]$, hence y_1 and y_2 are defined over $k'[[z]]$ as well. \square

Consider the tangent space $T_{(Q, i(Q))} C'^2$ of C'^2 at $(Q, i(Q))$. It decomposes as

$$T_{(Q, i(Q))} C'^2 = T_Q C' \oplus T_{i(Q)} C' \simeq (T_Q C')^2$$

where the last map is given by the hyperelliptic involution on the second term.

{lem:colinear}

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that a local lift $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ of φ_P to $k'[[z]]$ exists. Then the tangent vector $d\tilde{\varphi}_P/dz$ at $z = 0$ cannot be of the form (v, v) where $v \in T_Q C'$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. The direction (v, v) is contracted to zero in the Jacobian, so every differential form on the Jacobian is pulled back to zero via φ_P . This is a contradiction because φ^* is nonzero. \square

{prop:imagept}

Proposition 5.4. *The point Q is uniquely determined by the property that, up to a scalar factor,*

$$\varphi^* \omega'_Q = \omega_P$$

where ω_P (resp. ω'_Q) is a nonzero differential form on C (resp. C') vanishing at P (resp. Q).

Proof. First, assume that a local lift $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ exists over $k'[[z]]$. By Lemma 5.3, the tangent vector $d\tilde{\varphi}_P/dz$ at $z = 0$ is of the form $(v + w, w)$ for some $v, w \in T_Q C'$ such that $v \neq 0$. Let ω' be the unique nonzero differential form pulled back to ω_P by φ . Then ω' must vanish on $(v, 0)$, in other words ω' must vanish at Q .

Second, assume that no such lift exists. By Lemma 5.2, Q is a Weierstrass point on C' , and P is not a Weierstrass point on C . After a change of variables, we may assume that Q is not at infinity. Write $P = (u_0, v_0)$ with $v_0 \neq 0$, and $Q = (x_0, 0)$. We have to show that

$$x_0 = \frac{m_{1,1}u_0 + m_{1,2}}{m_{2,1}u_0 + m_{2,2}}.$$

Let (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) be a lift over $k'[[\sqrt{z}]]$ as in Lemma 5.2, and look at the differential system (S). Write the lift as

$$y_1 = v_1 \sqrt{z} + t_1 z + O(z^{3/2}), \quad y_2 = v_2 \sqrt{z} + t_2 z + O(z^{3/2}).$$

Then the relation $y^2 = E_{C'}(x)$ forces x_1, x_2 to have no term in \sqrt{z} , so that

$$x_1 = x_0 + w_1 z + O(z^{3/2}), \quad x_2 = x_0 + w_2 z + O(z^{3/2}).$$

Using the relation $dx/y = 2dy/E'_{C'}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 \frac{dy_1}{E'_{C'}(x_1)} + 2x_2 \frac{dy_2}{E'_{C'}(x_2)} = (m_{1,1}u + m_{1,2}) \frac{du}{v}, \\ 2 \frac{dy_1}{E'_{C'}(x_1)} + 2 \frac{dy_2}{E'_{C'}(x_2)} = (m_{2,1}u + m_{2,2}) \frac{du}{v}. \end{cases}$$

Inspection of the $(\sqrt{z})^{-1}$ term gives the relation $v_1 = -v_2$. Write $e = E'_{C'}(x_0)$. Then the constant term of the series on the left hand side are respectively

$$2x_0 \left(\frac{t_1}{e} + \frac{t_2}{e} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \left(\frac{t_1}{e} + \frac{t_2}{e} \right).$$

The differential forms on the right hand side do not vanish simultaneously at P , therefore $m_{2,1}u_0 + m_{2,2}$ must be nonzero. Taking the quotient of the two lines gives the result. \square

Using Proposition 5.4, we choose a base point P on C such that φ_P is of generic type. By Lemma 5.2, a local lift $\tilde{\varphi}_P = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ of φ_P exists over $k'[[z]]$, where k' is a quadratic extension of k .

More Details 5.5. Let U, D be the power series in z with respective constant terms u_0, d_0 such that $u = U(z)$ and $du/v = D(z) dz$. Then we can rewrite (S) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_1'}{y_1} + \frac{x_2 x_2'}{y_2} = (m_{1,1}U + m_{2,1})D \\ \frac{x_1'}{y_1} + \frac{x_2'}{y_2} = (m_{2,1}U + m_{2,2})D \\ y_1^2 = E_C(x_1) \\ y_2^2 = E_C(x_2). \end{cases} \quad (S) \quad \{\{eq:diffsyst-ps\}\}$$

Step 2: initialization. Now we explain how to compute the power series x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 up to $O(z^2)$. We can compute the point $Q = (x_0, y_0)$ using Proposition 5.4. Write

$$x_1 = x_0 + v_1 z + O(z^2), \quad x_2 = x_0 + v_2 z + O(z^2).$$

Then, using the curve equations, we can compute y_1, y_2 up to $O(z^2)$ in terms of v_1, v_2 respectively. Let u_0 (resp. d_0) be the constant term of the power series u (resp. du/v). Then (S) gives

$$v_1 + v_2 = \frac{y_0}{x_0} (m_{1,1}u_0 + m_{2,1})d_0 = y_0(m_{2,1}u_0 + m_{2,2})d_0. \quad (2) \quad \{\{eq:vi1\}\}$$

Combining the two lines, we also obtain

$$(x_1 - x_0) \frac{dx_1}{y_1} + (x_2 - x_0) \frac{dx_2}{y_2} = R,$$

where $R = r_1 z + O(z^2)$ has no constant term. At order 1, this yields

$$v_1^2 + v_2^2 = y_0 r_1. \quad (3) \quad \{\{eq:vi2\}\}$$

Equalities (2) and (3) yield a quadratic equation satisfied by v_1, v_2 . This gives the values of v_1 and v_2 in a quadratic extension k'/k .

Step 3: Newton iterations. Assume that the series x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 are known up to $O(z^n)$ for some $n \geq 2$. The system (S) is satisfied up to $O(z^{n-1})$ for the first two lines, and $O(z^n)$ for the last two lines. We attempt to double the precision, and write

$$x_1 = x_1^0(z) + \delta x_1(z) + O(z^{2n}), \text{ etc.}$$

where x_1^0 is the polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ that has been computed. The series δx_i and δy_i start at the term z^n . From now on, we also denote by x' the derivative of a power series x with respect to z .

Proposition 5.6. *The power series $\delta x_1, \delta x_2$ satisfy a linear differential equation of the first order*

$$M(z) \begin{pmatrix} \delta x_1' \\ \delta x_2' \end{pmatrix} + N(z) \begin{pmatrix} \delta x_1 \\ \delta x_2 \end{pmatrix} = R(z) + O(z^{2n-1}) \quad (E_n) \quad \{\{eq:mde\}\}$$

\{prop:diffsyst-linearize\}

where $M, N, R \in M_2(k'[[z]])$ have explicit expressions in terms of $x_1^0, x_2^0, y_1^0, y_2^0, u, v, E_C$ and $E_{C'}$. In particular,

$$M(z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^0/y_1^0 & x_2^0/y_2^0 \\ 1/y_1^0 & 1/y_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and, writing $e = E_{C'}'(x_0)$, the constant term of N is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_1}{y_0} - \frac{x_0 v_1}{2y_0^3} e & \frac{v_2}{y_0} - \frac{x_0 v_2}{2y_0^3} e \\ -\frac{v_1}{2y_0^3} e & -\frac{v_2}{2y_0^3} e \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Linearize the system (S). We omit the calculations. \square

In order to solve (S) in quasi-linear time in the precision, it is enough to solve equation (E_n) in quasi-linear time in n . One difficulty here, that does not appear in similar works [CE15; CMS+19], is that the matrix M is not invertible in $k'[[z]]$. Still, we can adapt the generic divide-and-conquer algorithm from [BCG+17, §13.2].

{lem:det-valuation}

Lemma 5.7. *The determinant*

$$\det M(z) = \frac{x_1^0 - x_2^0}{y_1^0 y_2^0}$$

has valuation one.

Proof. We know that y_1^0 and y_2^0 have constant term $\pm y_0 \neq 0$. The polynomials x_1^0 and x_2^0 have the same constant term x_0 , but they do not coincide at order 2: if they did, then so would y_1 and y_2 because of the curve equation, contradicting Lemma 5.3. \square

By Lemma 5.7, we can find $I \in M_2(k[[z]])$ such that $IM = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\kappa \geq 1$, and assume that $\text{char } k > \kappa + 1$. Let $A = IN$. Then the matrix $A + \kappa$ has an invertible constant term.*

{lem:invertible}

Proof. By Lemma 5.7, the leading term of $\det(M)$ is λz for some nonzero $\lambda \in k'$. Using Proposition 5.6, we compute that the constant term of $\det(A + \kappa)$ is $\lambda^2 \kappa(\kappa + 1)$. We omit the calculations. \square

{prop:newton-mde}

Proposition 5.9. *Let $1 \leq v \leq 2n - 1$, and assume that $\text{char } k > v$. Then we can solve (E_n) to compute δx_1 and δx_2 up to precision $O(z^v)$ using $\tilde{O}(v)$ operations in k' .*

Proof. Write $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \delta x_1 \\ \delta x_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Multiplying (E_n) by I , we obtain the equation

$$z\theta' + (A + \kappa)\theta = B + O(z^d), \quad \text{where } d = 2n - 1, \kappa = 0.$$

We show that θ can be computed from this kind of equation up to $O(z^d)$ using a divide-and-conquer strategy. If $d > 1$, write $\theta = \theta_1 + z^{d_1}\theta_2$ where $d_1 = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$. Then we have

$$z\theta'_1 + (A + \kappa)\theta_1 = B + O(z^{d_1})$$

for some other series B . By induction, we can recover θ_1 up to $O(z^{d_1})$. Then

$$z\theta'_2 + (A + \kappa + d_1)\theta_2 = E + O(z^{d-d_1})$$

where E has an expression in terms of θ_1 . This is enough to recover θ_2 up to $O(z^{n-1-d})$, so we can recover θ up to $O(z^{n-1})$. We initialize the induction with the case $d = 1$, where we have to solve for the constant term in

$$(A + \kappa)\theta = B.$$

Since θ starts at z^2 , the values of κ that occur are $2, \dots, \nu - 1$ when computing the solution of (S) up to precision $O(z^\nu)$. By Lemma 5.8, the constant term of $A + \kappa$ is invertible. This concludes the induction, and the result follows from standard lemmas in computer algebra [BCG+17, Lem. 1.12]. \square

{prop:newton}

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\nu \geq 1$, and assume that $\text{char } k > \nu$. Then we can compute the lift $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ up to precision $O(z^\nu)$ within $\tilde{O}(\nu)$ operations in k' .*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 5.9 and [BCG+17, Lem. 1.12]. \square

5.3 Rational reconstruction

{subsec:rational}

Finally, we want to recover the rational representation (s, p, q, r) of φ at P from its power series expansion $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ at some finite precision. First, we estimate the degrees of the rational fractions we want to compute; second, we present the reconstruction algorithm.

Degree estimates. The degrees of s, p, q, r as morphisms from C to \mathbb{P}^1 can be computed as intersection numbers of divisors on $\text{Jac}(C')$, namely $\varphi_P(C)$ and the polar divisors of s, p, q and r as functions on $\text{Jac}(C')$. They are already known in the case of an ℓ -isogeny.

{prop:degree-siegel}

Proposition 5.11 ([CE15, §6.1]). *Let $\varphi: \text{Jac}(C) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(C')$ be an ℓ -isogeny, and let $P \in C(k)$. Let (s, p, q, r) be the rational representation of φ at the base point P . Then the degrees of s, p, q and r as morphisms from C to \mathbb{P}^1 are $4\ell, 4\ell, 12\ell$, and 8ℓ respectively.*

Now assume that $\text{Jac}(C)$ and $\text{Jac}(C')$ have real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K given by embeddings ι, ι' , and that

$$\varphi: (\text{Jac}(C), \iota) \rightarrow (\text{Jac}(C'), \iota')$$

is a β -isogeny. Denote the theta divisors on $\text{Jac}(C)$ and $\text{Jac}(C')$ by Θ and Θ' respectively, and denote by $\eta_P: C \rightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ the map $Q \mapsto [Q - P]$. Then $\eta_P(C)$ is algebraically equivalent to Θ .

{lem:spqr-poles}

Lemma 5.12. *The polar divisors of s, p, q, r as rational functions on $\text{Jac}(C')$ are algebraically equivalent to $2\Theta', 2\Theta', 6\Theta'$ and $4\Theta'$ respectively.*

Proof. See [CE15, §6.1]. For instance, $s = x_1 + x_2$ has a pole of order 1 along each of the two divisors $\{(\infty_{\pm}, Q) \mid Q \in C\}$, where ∞_{\pm} are the two points at infinity on C , assuming that we choose a degree 6 hyperelliptic model for C' . Each of these divisors is algebraically equivalent to Θ' . The proof for p, q , and r is similar. \square

Recall that divisor classes on $\text{Jac}(C')$ are in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of line bundles. By Theorem 2.21, if (A, ι) is a principally polarized abelian surface with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , then there is a bijection $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)}$ between \mathbb{Z}_K and the Néron–Severi group of A .

Lemma 5.13. *Let φ be a β -isogeny as above. Then the divisor $\varphi_P(C)$ is algebraically equivalent to the divisor corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C')}^{\iota(\bar{\beta})}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.21, there exists an $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ such that the divisor $\varphi_P(C)$ corresponds to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C')}^{\iota(\alpha)}$ up to algebraic equivalence. Look at the pullback $\varphi^*(\varphi_P(C))$ as a divisor on $\text{Jac}(C)$: by definition, we have

$$\varphi^*(\varphi_P(C)) = \sum_{x \in \ker \varphi} (x + \eta_P(C))$$

and therefore, up to algebraic equivalence,

$$\varphi^*(\varphi_P(C)) = (\#\ker \varphi)\Theta = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)\Theta.$$

Since φ is a β -isogeny, by Definition 2.22, the pullback $\varphi^*\Theta'$ corresponds to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C)}^{\iota(\beta)}$ up to algebraic equivalence. Therefore, for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_K$,

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C')}^{\iota(\gamma)} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C)}^{\iota(\gamma\beta)}.$$

By Theorem 2.21 applied on $\text{Jac}(C)$, we have $\alpha\beta = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)$, so $\alpha = \bar{\beta}$. \square

The next step is to compute the intersection degree of Θ' and the divisor corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Jac}(C')}^{\iota(\alpha)}$ on $\text{Jac}(C')$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K$.

Proposition 5.14 ([Kan19, Rem. 16]). *Let (A, ι) be a principally polarized abelian surface with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , and let Θ be its theta divisor. Then the quadratic form*

$$D \mapsto (D \cdot \Theta)^2 - 2(D \cdot D)$$

on $\text{NS}(A)$ corresponds via the isomorphism of Theorem 2.21 to the quadratic form on \mathbb{Z}_K given by

$$\alpha \mapsto 2 \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha^2) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^2.$$

Corollary 5.15. *Let (A, ι) be a principally polarized abelian surface with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , and let Θ be its theta divisor. Then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)} \cdot \Theta)^2 = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^2.$$

Proof. Write $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{\Delta}$. By Proposition 5.14, we can compute

$$(\mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)} \cdot \Theta)^2 - 2(\mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)} \cdot \mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)}) = 2 \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha^2) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)^2 = 4b^2\Delta.$$

On the other hand, the Riemann–Roch theorem [Mil86a, Thm. 11.1] gives

$$(\mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)} \cdot \mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)}) = 2\chi(\mathcal{L}_A^{\iota(\alpha)}) = 2\sqrt{\deg \iota(\alpha)} = 2(a^2 - b^2\Delta).$$

The result follows. □

{prop:spqr-degrees}

Proposition 5.16. *Let φ be a β -isogeny as above, and let (s, p, q, r) be the rational representation of φ at P . Then, considered as morphisms from C to \mathbb{P}^1 , the respective degrees of s, p, q , and r are $2 \operatorname{Tr}(\beta)$, $2 \operatorname{Tr}(\beta)$, $6 \operatorname{Tr}(\beta)$ and $4 \operatorname{Tr}(\beta)$.*

Proof. The degrees of s, p, q, r can be computed as the intersection of the polar divisors from Lemma 5.12 and the divisor $\varphi_P(C)$. By Lemma 5.13, the line bundle associated with $\varphi_P(C)$, up to algebraic equivalence, is $\mathcal{L}_{\operatorname{Jac}(C')}^{\tilde{\beta}}$. Its intersection number with Θ' is nonnegative, hence by Corollary 5.15, we have

$$(\varphi_P(C) \cdot \Theta') = \operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{\beta}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta).$$

The result follows by Lemma 5.12. □

Rational reconstruction. Now we present the rational reconstruction algorithm, and compute the power series precision that is precisely needed.

Lemma 5.17. *Let $s: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a morphism of degree d .*

1. *If s is invariant under the hyperelliptic involution i , then we can write $s(u, v) = X(u)$ where the degree of X is bounded by $d/2$.*
2. *In general, let X, Y be the rational fractions such that*

$$s(u, v) = X(u) + vY(u).$$

Then the degrees of X and Y are bounded by d and $d + 3$ respectively.

Proof. For item 1, use the fact that the function u itself has degree 2. For item 2, write

$$s(u, v) + s(u, -v) = 2X(u), \quad \frac{s(u, v) - s(u, -v)}{v} = 2Y(u).$$

The degrees of these morphisms are bounded by $2d$ and $2d + 6$ respectively. □

{prop:reconstruction}

Proposition 5.18. *Let $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$ be local lifts of φ_P at P and $i(P)$ in the uniformizers z and $i(z)$. Let $v = 8\ell + 7$ in the Siegel case, and $v = 4 \operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) + 7$ in the Hilbert case. Then, given $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$ at precision $\mathcal{O}(z^v)$, we can compute the rational representation of φ at P within $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(v)$ operations in k' .*

Proof. It is enough to recover the rational fractions s and p ; afterwards, q and r can be deduced from the equation of C' .

First, assume that P is a Weierstrass point of C . Then s, p are invariant under the hyperelliptic involution. Therefore we have to recover univariate rational fractions in u of degree $d \leq 2\ell$ (resp. $d \leq \text{Tr}(\beta)$). This can be done in quasi-linear time from their power series expansion up to precision $O(u^{2d+1})$ [BCG+17, §7.1]. Since u has valuation 2 in z , we need to compute $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ at precision $O(z^{4d+1})$.

Second, assume that P is not a Weierstrass point of C . Then the series defining $s(u, -v)$ and $p(u, -v)$ are given by $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$. We now have to compute rational fractions of degree $d \leq 4\ell + 3$ (resp. $d \leq 2\text{Tr}(\beta) + 3$) in u . Since u has valuation 1 in z , this can be done in quasi-linear time if $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$ are known up to precision $O(z^{2d+1})$. \square

6 Summary of the algorithm

In this final section, we summarize the isogeny algorithm and prove Theorem 1.1. We also state the analogous result in the case of β -isogenies (Theorem 6.3).

{sec:summary}

Algorithm 6.1. Let j, j' the Igusa invariants of principally polarized abelian varieties A, A' over k . Assume that A, A' are ℓ -isogenous (the Siegel case), or that A, A' have real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K and are β -isogenous (the Hilbert case).

{algo:main}

1. Use Mestre's algorithm [Mes91] to construct curve equations C, C' whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' . In the Hilbert case, use Algorithm 3.25 to ensure that C, C' are potentially Hilbert-normalized.
2. Compute at most 4 candidates for the tangent matrix of the isogeny φ using Proposition 4.36 in the Siegel case, or Proposition 4.37 in the Hilbert case. Run the rest of the algorithm for all the candidates; in general, only one will produce meaningful results.
3. Choose a base point P on C such that φ_P is of generic type, and compute the power series $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$ up to precision $O(z^{8\ell+7})$, respectively $O(z^{4\text{Tr}(\beta)+7})$ using Proposition 5.10.
4. Recover the rational representation of φ at P using Proposition 5.18.

We recall the statement of Theorem 1.1 from the introduction.

{thm:main_proved}

Theorem 6.2. Let ℓ be a prime, and let k be a field such that $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k > 8\ell + 7$. Let $U \subset \mathbf{A}_2(k)$ be the open set consisting of abelian surfaces A such that $\text{Aut}(A) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$ and $j_3(A) \neq 0$. Assume that there is an algorithm to evaluate derivatives of modular equations of level ℓ at a given point of $U \times U$ over k using $C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)$ operations in k .

Let $A, A' \in U$, and let $j(A), j(A')$ be their Igusa invariants. Assume that A and A' are ℓ -isogenous over k , and that the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^3$ cut out by the modular equations $\Psi_{\ell, i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ is normal at $(j(A), j(A'))$. Then, given $j(A)$ and $j(A')$, Algorithm 6.1 succeeds and returns

1. a field extension k'/k of degree dividing 8,

2. hyperelliptic curve equations C, C' over k' whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' respectively,
3. a point $P \in C(k')$,
4. the rational representation $(s, p, q, r) \in k'(u, v)^4$ of an ℓ -isogeny $\varphi: \text{Jac}(C) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(C')$ at P .

The cost of Algorithm 6.1 in the Siegel case is $O(C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)) + \tilde{O}(\ell)$ elementary operations and $O(1)$ square roots in k' .

Proof. Mestre's algorithm returns curve equations C, C' defined over extensions of k of degree at most 2, and costs $O(1)$ operations in k and $O(1)$ square roots. Under our hypotheses, Proposition 4.36 applies and allows us to recover $\text{Sym}^2(d\varphi)$ using $O(C_{\text{ev}}(\ell)) + O(1)$ operations in k . We recover $d\varphi$ up to sign using $O(1)$ square roots and elementary operations; since φ is defined over k , extending the base field is not necessary. We choose the base point P on C such that φ_P is of generic type using Proposition 5.4, perhaps taking another extension of degree 2. By Proposition 5.10, we can compute the local lifts $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i(P)}$ up to precision $8\ell + 7$ within $\tilde{O}(\ell)$ field operations; this is where we use the hypothesis on char k . Finally, we recover the rational representation at P using a further $\tilde{O}(\ell)$ field operations by Proposition 5.18. The result is defined over an extension of k of degree dividing 8. \square

We conclude with the analogue of Theorem 6.2 in the Hilbert case.

{thm:proved-main-hilbert}

Theorem 6.3. *Let K be a real quadratic field, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ be a totally positive prime. Let k be a field such that $\text{char } k = 0$ or $\text{char } k > 4 \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) + 7$. Assume that there is an algorithm to evaluate derivatives of modular equations of level β at a given point (j, j') over k using $C_{\text{ev}}(\beta)$ operations in k .*

Let A, A' be principally polarized abelian surfaces over k with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K whose Igusa invariants $j(A), j(A')$ are well defined, and assume that there exists a β -isogeny $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ defined over k which is generic in the sense of Definition 3.18. Then, given $j(A)$ and $j(A')$, Algorithm 6.1 succeeds and returns

1. a field extension k'/k of degree dividing 8,
2. hyperelliptic curve equations C, C' over k' whose Jacobians are isomorphic to A, A' respectively,
3. a point $P \in C(k')$,
4. at most 4 possible values for the rational representation $(s, p, q, r) \in k'(u, v)^4$ of a β - or $\tilde{\beta}$ -isogeny $\varphi: \text{Jac}(C) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(C')$ at P .

The cost of Algorithm 6.1 in the Hilbert case is $O(C_{\text{ev}}(\beta)) + \tilde{O}(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)) + O_K(1)$ elementary operations and $O(1)$ square roots in k' ; the implied constants, $O_K(1)$ excepted, are independent of K .

Note that $C_{\text{ev}}(\beta)$ also depends on K . We expect that the algorithm returns only one answer for the rational representation of φ at P ; if the algorithm outputs several answers, we could implement tests for correctness, but they might be more expensive than the isogeny algorithm itself.

Proof. We use Algorithm 3.25 to construct the curve equations C, C' . By Remark 4.31, we obtain potentially Hilbert-normalized curves, and each of them is defined over an extension of k of degree at most 4. This requires $O_K(1)$ elementary operations and $O(1)$ square roots in k . We may assume that C, C' are Hilbert-normalized for some choice of real multiplication embeddings that are compatible via φ , which becomes either a β - or a $\bar{\beta}$ -isogeny.

Under our hypotheses, Proposition 4.37 applies, so we recover two possible values for $(d\varphi)^2$ within $O(C_{\text{ev}}(\beta)) + O(1)$ operations in k , and hence 4 possible values for $d\varphi$, using $O(1)$ square roots. We can now make a change of variables to the (not necessarily Hilbert-normalized) curves output by Mestre’s algorithm, so that each curve is defined over an extension of k of degree 2. The end of the algorithm is similar to the Siegel case: we take an extension of degree 2 to find the base point, then try to compute the rational representation for each value of $d\varphi$ using $\tilde{O}(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta))$ operations in k . For the correct value of $d\varphi$, rational reconstruction will succeed and output fractions of the correct degrees. \square

References

- [AOV08] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson, and A. Vistoli. “Tame stacks in positive characteristic”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 58.4 (2008), pp. 1057–1091.
- [AV02] D. Abramovich and A. Vistoli. “Compactifying the space of stable maps”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15.1 (2002), pp. 27–75.
- [Alp13] J. Alper. “Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 63.6 (2013), pp. 2349–2402.
- [Alp14] J. Alper. “Adequate moduli spaces and geometrically reductive group schemes”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 1.4 (2014), pp. 489–531.
- [AHR19] J. Alper, J. Hall, and D. Rydh. “The étale local structure of algebraic stacks”. 2019. arXiv: [1912.06162](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.06162).
- [AHR20] J. Alper, J. Hall, and D. Rydh. “A Luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks”. In: *Ann. Math.* 191.3 (2020), pp. 675–738.
- [And17] Y. André. “On the Kodaira–Spencer map of abelian schemes”. In: *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 17.4 (2017), pp. 1397–1416.
- [AG05] F. Andreatta and E. Z. Goren. “Hilbert modular forms: mod p and p -adic aspects”. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 173.819 (2005), pp. vi+100.
- [BB66] W. L. Baily Jr. and A. Borel. “Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 84 (1966), pp. 442–528.
- [BGL+16] S. Ballentine, A. Guillevic, E. Lorenzo García, C. Martindale, M. Massierer, B. Smith, and J. Top. “Isogenies for point counting on genus two hyperelliptic curves with maximal real multiplication”. In: *Algebraic Geometry for Coding Theory and Cryptography*. Vol. 9. Los Angeles: Springer, Feb. 2016, pp. 63–94.

- [BL04] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange. *Complex abelian varieties*. Second. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [BMS+08] A. Bostan, F. Morain, B. Salvy, and É. Schost. “Fast algorithms for computing isogenies between elliptic curves”. In: *Math. Comp.* 77.263 (2008), pp. 1755–1778.
- [BCG+17] A. Bostan, F. Chyzak, M. Giusti, R. Lebreton, G. Lecerf, B. Salvy, and É. Schost. *Algorithmes efficaces en calcul formel*. Printed by CreateSpace, 2017.
- [Bou72] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres II et III*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles 1349. Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [Bou75] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres VII et VIII*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles 1364. Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [Bru08] J. H. Bruinier. “Hilbert modular forms and their applications”. In: *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 105–179.
- [BP17] J. I. Burgos Gil and A. Pacetti. “Hecke and Sturm bounds for Hilbert modular forms over real quadratic fields”. In: *Math. Comp.* 86.306 (2017), pp. 1949–1978.
- [Cha90] C.-L. Chai. “Arithmetic minimal compactification of the Hilbert–Blumenthal moduli spaces”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 131.3 (1990), pp. 541–554.
- [Cv00] C. Ciliberto and G. van der Geer. “The moduli space of abelian varieties and the singularities of the theta divisor”. In: *Surveys in differential geometry*. Vol. 7. Surv. Differ. Geom. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2000, pp. 61–81.
- [Cle72] A. Clebsch. *Theorie der binären algebraischen Formen*. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1872.
- [CFv17] F. Cléry, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer. “Covariants of binary sextics and vector-valued Siegel modular forms of genus two”. In: *Math. Ann.* 369.3-4 (2017), pp. 1649–1669.
- [Con05] B. Conrad. “The Keel–Mori theorem via stacks”. Preprint. 2005.
- [CMS+19] E. Costa, N. Mascot, J. Sijsling, and J. Voight. “Rigorous computation of the endomorphism ring of a Jacobian”. In: *Math. Comp.* 88.317 (2019), pp. 1303–1339.
- [CE15] J.-M. Couveignes and T. Ezome. “Computing functions on Jacobians and their quotients”. In: *Lond. Math. Soc. J. Comput. Math.* 18.1 (Oct. 2015), pp. 555–577.
- [de 93] A. J. de Jong. “The moduli spaces of polarized abelian varieties”. In: *Math. Ann.* 295.3 (1993), pp. 485–503.
- [DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. “Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques”. In: *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*. 1973, 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349.
- [DJR+17] A. Dudeanu, D. Jetchev, D. Robert, and M. Vuille. “Cyclic isogenies for abelian varieties with real multiplication”. 2017.

- [Dup11] R. Dupont. “Fast evaluation of modular functions using Newton iterations and the AGM”. In: *Math. Comp.* 80.275 (2011), pp. 1823–1847.
- [Elk98] N. D. Elkies. “Elliptic and modular curves over finite fields and related computational issues”. In: *Computational perspectives on number theory (Chicago, IL, 1995)*. Vol. 7. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 21–76.
- [ET14] A. Enge and E. Thomé. *CMH: Computation of Igusa class polynomials*. 2014.
- [FC90] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai. *Degeneration of abelian varieties*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [FGI+05] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli. *Fundamental algebraic geometry*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 123. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [GKS11] P. Gaudry, D. Kohel, and B. Smith. “Counting points on genus 2 curves with real multiplication”. In: *ASIACRYPT 2011*. Vol. 7073. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Seoul, South Korea: Springer, 2011, pp. 504–519.
- [GS12] P. Gaudry and É. Schost. “Genus 2 point counting over prime fields”. In: *J. Symb. Comput.* 47.4 (2012), pp. 368–400.
- [GL12] E. Z. Goren and K. E. Lauter. “Genus 2 curves with complex multiplication”. In: *IMRN* 5 (2012), pp. 1068–1142.
- [Gro64] A. Grothendieck. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 20 (1964).
- [Gru10] D. Gruenewald. “Computing Humbert surfaces and applications”. In: *Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory 2009*. Vol. 521. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 59–69.
- [Ibu12] T. Ibukiyama. “Vector-valued Siegel modular forms of symmetric tensor weight of small degrees”. In: *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* 61.1 (2012), pp. 51–75.
- [Igu62] J.-I. Igusa. “On Siegel modular forms of genus two”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 84 (1962), pp. 175–200.
- [Igu67] J.-I. Igusa. “Modular forms and projective invariants”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 89 (1967), pp. 817–855.
- [Igu79] J.-I. Igusa. “On the ring of modular forms of degree two over \mathbb{Z} ”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 101.1 (1979), pp. 149–183.
- [Igu60] J.-i. Igusa. “Arithmetic variety of moduli for genus two”. In: *Ann. of Math.* (2) 72 (1960), pp. 612–649.
- [JLo6] A. Joux and R. Lercier. “Counting points on elliptic curves in medium characteristic”. 2006.
- [Kan19] E. Kani. “Elliptic subcovers of a curve of genus 2. I. The isogeny defect”. In: *Ann. Math. Qué.* 43.2 (2019), pp. 281–303.

- [KM97] S. Keel and S. Mori. “Quotients by groupoids”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 145.1 (1997), pp. 193–213.
- [Kie20] J. Kieffer. “Degree and height estimates for modular equations on PEL Shimura varieties”. 2020.
- [KS58] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer. “On deformations of complex analytic structures, I”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 67 (1958), pp. 328–401.
- [LM00] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 39. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [LY11] K. Lauter and T. Yang. “Computing genus 2 curves from invariants on the Hilbert moduli space”. In: *J. Number Theory* 131.5 (2011), pp. 936–958.
- [Liu93] Q. Liu. “Courbes stables de genre 2 et leur schéma de modules”. In: *Math. Ann.* 295.2 (1993), pp. 201–222.
- [Lun73] D. Luna. “Slices étales”. In: *Sur les groupes algébriques*. 1973, 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33.
- [Mar18] C. Martindale. “Isogeny graphs, modular polynomials, and applications”. PhD thesis. Universiteit Leiden and Université de Bordeaux, 2018.
- [Mes91] J.-F. Mestre. “Construction de courbes de genre 2 à partir de leurs modules”. In: *Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Castiglione, 1990)*. Vol. 94. Progr. Math. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991, pp. 313–334.
- [Mil15] E. Milio. “A quasi-linear time algorithm for computing modular polynomials in dimension 2”. In: *LMS J. Comput. Math.* 18 (2015), pp. 603–632.
- [Mil] E. Milio. *Modular polynomials*. <https://members.loria.fr/EMilio/modular-polynomials>.
- [MR17] E. Milio and D. Robert. “Modular polynomials on Hilbert surfaces”. Sept. 2017.
- [Mil86a] J. S. Milne. “Abelian varieties”. In: *Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984)*. Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 103–150.
- [Mil86b] J. S. Milne. “Jacobian varieties”. In: *Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984)*. Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 167–212.
- [Mol18] P. Molin. *Hcperiods: Period matrices and Abel-Jacobi maps of hyperelliptic and superperelliptic curves*. 2018.
- [MN19] P. Molin and C. Neurohr. “Computing period matrices and the Abel-Jacobi map of superelliptic curves”. In: *Math. Comp.* 88.316 (2019), pp. 847–888.
- [MvE12] B. Moonen, G. van der Geer, and B. Edixhoven. *Abelian varieties*. 2012.
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*. Third edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Mum71] D. Mumford. “The structure of the moduli spaces of curves and abelian varieties”. In: *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1*. 1971, pp. 457–465.

- [Mum84] D. Mumford. *Tata lectures on theta. II*. Progr. Math. 43. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984.
- [Nag83] S. Nagaoka. “On the ring of Hilbert modular forms over \mathbb{Z} ”. In: *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 35.4 (1983), pp. 589–608.
- [Olso6] M. C. Olsson. “Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 134.1 (2006), pp. 139–164.
- [Oor71] F. Oort. “Finite group schemes, local moduli for abelian varieties, and lifting problems”. In: *Compositio Math.* 23 (1971), pp. 265–296.
- [Rap78] M. Rapoport. “Compactifications de l’espace de modules de Hilbert-Blumenthal”. In: *Compositio Math.* 36.3 (1978), pp. 255–335.
- [Ryd11] D. Rydh. “The canonical embedding of an unramified morphism in an étale morphism”. In: *Math. Z.* 268.3-4 (2011), pp. 707–723.
- [Ryd13] D. Rydh. “Existence and properties of geometric quotients”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom.* 22.4 (2013), pp. 629–669.
- [Sch85] R. Schoof. “Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots mod p ”. In: *Math. Comp.* 44.170 (1985), pp. 483–494.
- [Sch95] R. Schoof. “Counting points on elliptic curves over finite fields”. In: *J. Théorie Nr. Bordx.* 7.1 (1995), pp. 219–254.
- [Ses77] C. S. Seshadri. “Geometric reductivity over arbitrary base”. In: *Advances in Math.* 26.3 (1977), pp. 225–274.
- [Str10] M. Streng. “Complex multiplication of abelian surfaces”. PhD thesis. Universiteit Leiden, 2010.
- [The19] The PARI group. *Pari/GP version 2.11.0*. Univ. Bordeaux, 2019.
- [The18] The Stacks project authors. “The Stacks Project”. 2018.
- [Tho70] J. Thomaë. “Beitrag zur Bestimmung von $\vartheta(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ durch die Klassenmoduln algebraischer Functionen”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 71 (1870), pp. 201–222.
- [van88] G. van der Geer. *Hilbert modular surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [vano8] G. van der Geer. “Siegel modular forms and their applications”. In: *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*. Universitext. Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 181–245.

A The case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

{appendix:Qr5}

We present a variant of our algorithm in the case of principally polarized abelian varieties with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In this case, the structure of the ring of Hilbert modular form is well known, and the Humbert surface is rational: its function field can be generated by only two elements called *Gundlach invariants*. Having only two coordinates reduces the size of modular equations.

We work over \mathbb{C} , but the methods of §4 show that the computations are valid in general. We illustrate our algorithm with an example of cyclic isogeny of degree 11 over a finite field.

A.1 Hilbert modular forms for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

We keep the notation used to describe the Hilbert embedding (§2.4). Hilbert modular forms have Fourier expansions in terms of

$$w_1 = \exp(2\pi i(e_1 t_1 + \bar{e}_1 t_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 = \exp(2\pi i(e_2 t_1 + \bar{e}_2 t_2)).$$

We use this notation and the term *w-expansions* to avoid confusion with expansions of Siegel modular forms. Apart from the constant term, a term in $w_1^a w_2^b$ can only appear when $ae_1 + be_2$ is a totally positive element of \mathbb{Z}_K . Since $e_1 = 1$ and e_2 has negative norm, for a given a , only finitely many b 's appear. Therefore we can consider truncations of *w-expansions* as elements of $\mathbb{C}(w_2)[[w_1]]$ modulo an ideal of the form (w_1^v) .

{thm:hilbert-structure}

Theorem A.1 ([Nag83]). *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra of symmetric Hilbert modular forms of even parallel weight for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is generated by three elements G_2, F_6, F_{10} of respective weights 2, 6 and 10, with *w-expansions**

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(t) &= 1 + (120w_2 + 120)w_1 \\ &\quad + (120w_2^3 + 600w_2^2 + 720w_2 + 600 + 120w_2^{-1})w_1^2 + O(w_1^3), \\ F_6(t) &= (w_2 + 1)w_1 + (w_2^3 + 20w_2^2 - 90w_2 + 20 + w_2^{-1})w_1^2 + O(w_1^3), \\ F_{10}(t) &= (w_2^2 - 2w_2 + 1)w_1^2 + O(w_1^3). \end{aligned}$$

The *Gundlach invariants* for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ are

$$g_1 = \frac{G_2^5}{F_{10}} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 = \frac{G_2^2 F_6}{F_{10}}.$$

Recall that we denote by σ the involution $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_2, t_1)$ of $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Proposition A.2. *The Gundlach invariants define a birational map*

$$\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{C})/\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the theorem of Baily and Borel [BB66, Thm. 10.11] and Theorem A.1. \square

By Proposition 2.18, the pullbacks of the Siegel modular forms $\psi_4, \psi_6, \chi_{10}$ and χ_{12} via the Hilbert embedding H are symmetric Hilbert modular forms of even weight, so they have expressions in terms of G_2, F_6, F_{10} . These expressions can be computed using linear algebra on Fourier expansions [MR17, Prop. 2.12]: in our case, the Hilbert embedding is defined by $e_1 = 1, e_2 = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$, so

$$q_1 = w_1, \quad q_2 = w_2, \quad q_3 = w_1 w_2.$$

As a corollary, we obtain the expression for the pullback of Igusa invariants.

{prop:igusa-pullback}

Proposition A.3 ([MR17, Cor. 2.14]). *In the case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^* j_1 &= 8g_1 \left(3 \frac{g_2^2}{g_1} - 2 \right)^5, \\ H^* j_2 &= \frac{1}{2} g_1 \left(3 \frac{g_2^2}{g_1} - 2 \right)^3, \\ H^* j_3 &= \frac{1}{8} g_1 \left(3 \frac{g_2^2}{g_1} - 2 \right)^2 \left(4 \frac{g_2^2}{g_1} + 2^5 3^2 \frac{g_2}{g_1} - 3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ be a totally positive prime. We call the *Hilbert modular equations of level β* in Gundlach invariants the data of the two polynomials $\Psi_{\beta,1}, \Psi_{\beta,2} \in \mathbb{C}(G_1, G_2)[G'_1]$ defined as follows:

- $\Psi_{\beta,1}$ is the univariate minimal polynomial of the function $g_1(t/\beta)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}(g_1(t), g_2(t))$.
- We have the following equality of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$g_2(t/\beta) = \Psi_{\beta,2}(g_1(t), g_2(t), g_1(t/\beta)).$$

Modular equations using Gundlach invariants for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ also have denominators. They have been computed up to $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) = 41$ [Mil].

A.2 Variants in the isogeny algorithm

Constructing potentially Hilbert-normalized curves. We give another method to reconstruct such curves using the pullback of the modular form $f_{8,6}$ from Example 2.11 as a Hilbert modular form. Let $H: \mathbb{H}_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$ be the Hilbert embedding from §2.4.

{prop:f86-pullback}

Proposition A.4. *Define the functions $b_i(t)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 6$ on \mathbb{H}_1^2 by*

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2, \det^8 \text{Sym}^6(R)f_{8,6}(H(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^6 b_i(t) x^i.$$

Then b_2 and b_4 are identically zero, and

$$\begin{aligned} b_3^2 &= 4F_{10}F_6^2, \\ b_1b_5 &= \frac{36}{25}F_{10}F_6^2 - \frac{4}{5}F_{10}^2G_2, \\ b_0b_6 &= \frac{-4}{25}F_{10}F_6^2 + \frac{1}{5}F_{10}^2G_2, \\ b_3(b_0^2b_5^3 + b_1^3b_6^2) &= 123F_{10}^3F_6 - \frac{32}{25}F_{10}^2F_6^2G_2^2 + \frac{288}{125}F_{10}F_6^4G_2 - \frac{3456}{3125}F_6^6. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.18, each coefficient b_i is a Hilbert modular form of weight $(8 + i, 14 - i)$. We can check using the action of M_σ that σ exchanges b_i and b_{6-i} . From the Siegel q -expansion for $f_{8,6}$, we can compute the w -expansions of the b_i 's; then, we use linear algebra to identify symmetric combinations of the b_i 's of parallel even weight in terms of the generators G_2, F_6, F_{10} . \square

By Propositions 3.6 and 3.12, the standard curve $C_K(t)$ attached to $t \in \mathbb{H}_1^2$ is proportional to the curve $y^2 = \sum b_i(t)x^i$. The algorithm to compute a potentially Hilbert-normalized curve C from its Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) runs as follows.

{algo:hilb-curve-1}

- Algorithm A.5.**
1. Compute Gundlach invariants (g_1, g_2) mapping to the Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) via H using Proposition A.3, and compute values for the generators G_2, F_6, F_{10} giving these invariants.
 2. Compute b_3^2, b_1b_5 , etc. using Proposition A.4.
 3. Recover values for the coefficients: choose any square root for b_3 ; choose any value for b_1 , which gives b_5 ; finally, solve a quadratic equation to find b_0 and b_6 .

We can always choose values G_2, F_6, F_{10} such that b_3^2 is a square in k ; then, the output is defined over a quadratic extension of k . Even if arbitrary choices are made during Algorithm A.5, the output will be potentially Hilbert-normalized.

Computing the tangent matrix. Consider $\Psi_{\beta,1}$ and $\Psi_{\beta,2}$ as elements of the ring $\mathbb{Q}(G_1, G_2)[G'_1, G'_2]$. Define the 2×2 matrices

$$D\Psi_{\beta,L} = \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_n}{\partial G_k} \right)_{1 \leq n, k \leq 2} \quad \text{and} \quad D\Psi_{\beta,R} = \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_n}{\partial G'_k} \right)_{1 \leq n, k \leq 2}.$$

Then we have an analogue of Proposition 4.37, where we replace derivatives of Igusa invariants in Proposition 3.19 by derivatives of Gundlach invariants. The relation between these derivatives is given by Proposition A.3. This time, using the formalism of §4, we can prove that all 2×2 matrices will be invertible if the abelian varieties A, A' have only \mathbb{Z}_K^\times as automorphisms, have $g_1 \neq 0$, and if the modular equations in Gundlach invariants cut out a normal subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2$ at $(g(A), g(A'))$.

A.3 An example of cyclic isogeny

{sec:ex}

We illustrate our algorithm in the Hilbert case with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ by computing a β -isogeny between Jacobians with real multiplication by \mathbb{Z}_K , where

$$\beta = 3 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_K, \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) = 11, \quad \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) = 7.$$

We work over the prime finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_{56311}$, whose characteristic is large enough for our purposes. We choose a trivialization of $\mathbb{Z}_K \otimes k$, in other words a square root of 5 in k , so that $\beta = 26213$.

Consider the Gundlach invariants

$$(g_1, g_2) = (23, 56260), \quad (g'_1, g'_2) = (8, 36073).$$

{{ The corresponding Igusa–Streng invariants are

$$(j_1, j_2, j_3) = (14030, 9041, 56122), \quad (j'_1, j'_2, j'_3) = (13752, 42980, 12538);$$

they lie on the Humbert surface, as expected! }} In order to reconstruct a Hilbert-normalized curve, we apply Algorithm A.5. We obtain the curve equations

$$\begin{aligned} C : v^2 &= 13425u^6 + 34724u^5 + 102u^3 + 54150u + 11111 \\ C' : y^2 &= 47601x^6 + 35850x^5 + 40476x^3 + 24699x + 40502. \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives of Gundlach invariants are given by

$$D_t G(C) = \begin{pmatrix} 43658 & 17394 \\ 16028 & 26656 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_t G(C') = \begin{pmatrix} 15131 & 739 \\ 50692 & 49952 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing derivatives of the modular equations as in Proposition 3.19, we find that the isogeny is compatible with the real multiplication embeddings for which C, C' are Hilbert-normalized. We do not know whether φ is a β - or a $\bar{\beta}$ -isogeny, so we have four candidates for the tangent matrix up to sign:

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_{\beta, \pm} &= \begin{pmatrix} 38932\alpha + 19466 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm(53318\alpha + 26659) \end{pmatrix}, \\ d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}, \pm} &= \begin{pmatrix} 50651\alpha + 53481 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm(11076\alpha + 5538) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2 = 0$. We see that the isogeny is only defined over a quadratic extension of k .

The curve C has a rational Weierstrass point $(36392, 0)$. We can bring it to $(0, 0)$, so that C is of the standard form

$$C : v^2 = 33461u^6 + 7399u^5 + 16387u^4 + 34825u^3 + 14713u^2 + u.$$

This multiplies the tangent matrix on the right by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 44206 & 18649 \\ 0 & 7615 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose $P = (0,0)$ as a base point on \mathcal{C} , and $z = \sqrt{u}$ as a uniformizer; it is a Weierstrass point, and we check that φ_P is of generic type. We solve the differential system up to precision $O(z^{35})$, or any higher precision. It turns out that the correct tangent matrix is $d\varphi_{\bar{\beta},+}$ as the other series do not come from rational fractions of the prescribed degree. We obtain

$$s(u) = \frac{50255u^6 + 40618u^5 + 17196u^4 + 9527u^3 + 22804u^2 + 49419u + 11726}{u^6 + 40883u^5 + 22913u^4 + 41828u^3 + 18069u^2 + 14612u + 7238},$$

$$p(u) = \frac{35444u^6 + 9569u^5 + 52568u^4 + 3347u^3 + 9325u^2 + 32206u + 7231}{u^6 + 40883u^5 + 22913u^4 + 41828u^3 + 18069u^2 + 14612u + 7238}.$$

More Details A.6.

$$r(u, v) = v \frac{(47538\alpha + 23769)u^{10} + (54736\alpha + 27368)u^9 + (10441\alpha + 33376)u^8 + (51740\alpha + 25870)u^7 + (27982\alpha + 13991)u^6}{u^{13} + 25455u^{12} + 42413u^{11} + 8422u^{10} + 1295u^9 + 10859u^8},$$

$$t(u, v) = v \frac{(21373\alpha + 38842)u^{10} + (52517\alpha + 54414)u^9 + (30517\alpha + 43414)u^8 + (26715\alpha + 41513)u^7 + (39071\alpha + 47691)u^6}{u^{13} + 25455u^{12} + 42413u^{11} + 8422u^{10} + 1295u^9 + 10859u^8}$$

with $t(u, v) = (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)/(x_2 - x_1)$, and $q = t^2 + r^2p + srt$.

The degrees agree with Proposition 5.16. The isogeny is k -rational at the level of Kummer surfaces, but not on the Jacobians themselves: α appears on the numerator of $r(u, v)$.