Exchangeable bootstrap of empirical process suprema: concentration properties and an application to two-sample hypothesis testing

Guillaume Maillard

ENSAI

May 19, 2025

Guillaume Maillard Exchangeable bootstrap of empirical process suprema: concentra

## Exchangeable weighted bootstrap

Let  $Z = h(P_n, P)$ , for ex.

$$h(P_n, P) = |\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| = |\theta(P_n) - \theta(P)|$$

The bootstrap heuristic states that

$$\mathcal{L}(h(P_n, P)) \approx \mathcal{L}\left(c_W h(P_n^W, P_n) | P_n\right)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(Y), \mathcal{L}(Y|X) =$ law of Y, resp. law of Y knowing X,

$$P_n^W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \delta_{X_i}$$

W exchangeable, independent from  $P_n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n W_i = n$ .

Let 
$$\xi_i = W_i - 1$$
 be exch. s.t.  $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i = 0$ ,  
 $g(X,\xi) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ n \left( P_n^W - P_n \right)(f) \right\}$   
 $= \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i f(X_i) \right\}$ 

where  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent,  $\mathcal{F}$  a function class.

• • = • • = •

By the *bootstrap heuristic*,  $c_W g(X, \xi) | X$  estimates

$$\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_i)]$$

in distribution, in particular

$$\overline{g}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X,\xi)|X\right] \approx \frac{1}{c_W} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_i)]\right\}\right].$$

 $\implies \overline{g}(X)$  should concentrate.

- Two-sample MMD tests (power analysis)
- Confidence regions for the mean in high dimensions
- Model selection (penalties)

• • = • • = •

Summary of known results:

- Asymptotically true for Donsker  $\mathcal{F}$  [6]
- Weak convergence rate for VC  ${\cal F}$  [2]
- Bounds [4] comparing  $\mathbb{E}[g(X,\xi)]$  with

$$M_n = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_i)]\right\}\right]$$

Open question: non-asymptotic *concentration* of  $\overline{g}(X)/g(X,\xi)$ ?

Remark: if  $\xi$  is replaced by i.i.d Rademacher  $\varepsilon$ , g with

$$h(X,\varepsilon) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i f(X_i)$$

the equivalent of  $\overline{g}$  is

$$\operatorname{Rad}\left(\mathbf{X}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{i}f(X_{i})\big|X_{1},\ldots,X_{n}\right]$$

the conditional Rademacher complexity, known to concentrate.

 $\varepsilon$  is not centered, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} f(X_{i}) \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} (f(X_{i}) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_{i})])$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(X_i)^2]$$
 instead of  $\operatorname{Var}(f(X_i))$ ,

No translation invariance.

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set and  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ .  $\phi$  is (a, b)-self-bounding if there exists  $\phi_i : \mathcal{X}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  s.t. for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

• 
$$0 \le \phi(x) - \phi_i(x_{(i)}) \le 1$$
 for all i

• 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x) - \phi_i(x_{(i)}) \le af(x) + b$$

where  $x_{(i)} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n).$ 

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

### Theorem (BLM 6.20,6.21 [1])

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent, valued in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be (a, b)-self bounding with  $a \ge \frac{1}{3}$ . Let  $Z = \phi(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , then

$$Z \leq \mathbb{E}[Z] + \sqrt{2t \left(a\mathbb{E}[Z] + b\right)} + t \left(a - \frac{1}{3}\right)$$
$$Z \geq \mathbb{E}[Z] - \sqrt{2t \left(a\mathbb{E}[Z] + b\right)}$$

each with probability at least  $1 - e^{-t}$ .

### Theorem (GM,AS)

Let  $\kappa = \mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] < +\infty$ . Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent, valued in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  take values in [-1; 1]. The function

$$\frac{\overline{g}}{\kappa\left(4-\frac{3}{n}\right)}:\mathcal{X}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$$

is (a,0)-self-bounding, with  $a = 3 - \frac{2}{n}$ .

Remark: Was known for Rad [1, Example 3.12].

## Proof idea

Let  $J \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  be uniform, let  $\tau_{i,J}$  = transposition of i, J.

$$\overline{g}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{\tau_{ij}(k)} f(x_{k})\right]$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi_{\tau_{ij}(k)}|\xi\right] f(x_{k})\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{\sum_{k \neq i}^{n} \xi_{k} f(x_{k}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} (\xi_{i} - \xi_{j}) f(x_{j})\right\}\right]$$

$$= \overline{g}_{i}(x_{(i)}).$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

Let  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n), (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$  two independent samples from P and Q.

- $H_0: P = Q$
- $H_1: P \neq Q$

Pbm: test  $H_0$  vs  $H_1$ . Non-parametric.

Let  ${\mathcal F}$  be a symmetric function class, let

$$T = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(Y_j) \right\}.$$

The (general) MMD test uses T as test statistic. T estimates

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\int f dP - \int f dQ$$

(integral probability metric).

4 3 6 4 3 6

Examples:

- $\bullet$  Kolmogorov-Smirnov:  $\mathcal{F}=\pm$  indicators of half-lines
- Kernel MMD:  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Unit ball of RKHS}$
- Wasserstein 1
- Others (dimension reduction ...)

- 3 b - 4 3 b

Let  $Z = (X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$  a uniform random permutation and

$$\xi = w_{\sigma}, \ w = \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}}_{n \text{ times}}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{m}, \dots, -\frac{1}{m}}_{m \text{ times}}\right)$$

Let  $(\xi^{(b)})_{b=1,...,B}$  i.i.d copies of  $\xi$ ,  $\hat{q}^{\alpha}_{B}$  = empirical  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of  $g(Z,\xi), g(Z,\xi^{(1)}), \ldots, g(Z,\xi^{(B)})$ 

The test which rejects when  $T > \hat{q}^{\alpha}_{B}$  has level  $\alpha$  [5].

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ニ ヨ

## How much power?

For  $\mathcal{F}$  valued in [-1; 1], define

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}(R) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \int f^{2} dR - \left( \int f dR \right)^{2} \right\}$$
$$M_{k}(R) = \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim R^{\otimes k}} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (f(X_{i}) - \int f dR) \right].$$

We can show that when n = m, if

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \gg \max\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}(P)}{\sqrt{n}},\frac{1}{n}M_n(P),\frac{1}{n}M_n(Q),\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

then the MMD test rejects  $H_0$  with high probability.

4 E 6 4 E 6

# Proof

- $T \geq d_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \varepsilon(n,m)$  by Bernstein's inequality
- Bound  $\mathbb{E}[\overline{g}(Z)]$  (Jensen's inequality)
- Concentration of  $\overline{g}(Z)$ .
- $\implies$  upper bound on  $\hat{q}^{lpha}_B$  given Z
- Concentration of  $v_+(Z)$  (classical arguments).

Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. Concentration Inequalities: A Nonasymptotic Theory of Independence.

Oxford University Press, 02 2013.

Victor Chernozhukov, Denis Chetverikov, and Kengo Kato. Empirical and multiplier bootstraps for suprema of empirical processes of increasing complexity, and related gaussian couplings.

Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 126(12):3632–3651, 2016. In Memoriam: Evarist Giné.

Fang Han.

An introduction to permutation processes (version 0.5), 2024.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Qiyang Han and Jon A. Wellner.

Convergence rates of least squares regression estimators with heavy-tailed errors.

The Annals of Statistics, 47(4):2286 – 2319, 2019.

Joseph P. Romano and Michael Wolf.

Exact and approximate stepdown methods for multiple hypothesis testing.

Journal of the American Statistical Association, 100(469):94–108, 2005.

A. W. van der Vaart and Jon A. Wellner. Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes: With Applications to Statistics. Springer International Publishing, 2023.

• • = • • = •

#### Theorem

Let  $\xi = w_{\sigma}$  where  $w \in [a; b]^n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  random. With prob.  $\geq 1 - e^{-t}$ ,

$$g(x,\xi) \leq \overline{g}(x) + 2(b-a)\sqrt{tL_nv_+(x)}$$

where

$$L_n = 2.32 \log n + \frac{4n}{n-1} + \frac{2}{n}$$
$$v_+(x) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( f(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \right)^2 \right\}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

3

- Recovers Tolstikhin-Talagrand inequality [3] with  $8 \rightarrow L_n$
- The trick  $\xi \to \xi_\sigma | \xi$  allows for general  $\xi$
- Proof: Method of exchangeable pairs (S. Chatterjee)
- aka Stein's method for concentration inequalities
- Here the pair is  $(\sigma, \sigma \circ \tau_{I,J})$  (I, J uniform)