Comportement asymptotique de grandes structures discrètes aléatoires





Igor Kortchemski (avec Valentin Féray) CNRS & École polytechnique

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.





Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into n - 1 transpositions).

 \longrightarrow Question:



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into n - 1 transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into n - 1 transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 \longrightarrow Question:

Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into n - 1 transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 $#\mathfrak{M}_3=3.$

 \longrightarrow Question:

Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n-cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into n - 1 transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 $#\mathfrak{M}_3=3.$

 $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Question}$: for n large, what does a typical minimal factorization look like?



Let \mathfrak{X}_n be a set of combinatorial objects of "size" \mathfrak{n}

Igor Kortchemski Large discrete random structures





Goal: study \mathfrak{X}_n .



Goal: study X_n .

 \wedge Find the cardinality of \mathcal{X}_n .



Goal: study \mathfrak{X}_n .

 $\Lambda \rightarrow$ Find the cardinality of \mathfrak{X}_n . (bijective methods, generating functions)



Goal: study X_n .

 $\Lambda \rightarrow$ Find the cardinality of χ_n . (bijective methods, generating functions)

 $\Lambda \rightarrow$ Understand the typical properties of \mathfrak{X}_n .



Goal: study X_n .

- \bigwedge Find the cardinality of χ_n . (bijective methods, generating functions)
- Λ → Understand the typical properties of X_n . Let X_n be an element of X_n chosen *uniformly at random*.



Goal: study X_n .

- \bigwedge Find the cardinality of χ_n . (bijective methods, generating functions)
- Λ → Understand the typical properties of X_n . Let X_n be an element of X_n chosen *uniformly at random*. What can be said of X_n ?



Goal: study X_n .

- $\Lambda \rightarrow$ Find the cardinality of χ_n . (bijective methods, generating functions)
- Λ → Understand the typical properties of X_n . Let X_n be an element of X_n chosen *uniformly at random*. What can be said of X_n ?

To answer this question, a possibility is to find a continuous object X such that $X_n \to X$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discret" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X_.$$

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discret" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X$$

Several uses:

 \longrightarrow From the discrete to the continuous: if a certain property \mathcal{P} is satisfied by all the X_n and passes through the limit, X satisfies \mathcal{P} .

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discret" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X$$

Several uses:

- \longrightarrow From the discrete to the continuous: if a certain property \mathcal{P} is satisfied by all the X_n and passes through the limit, X satisfies \mathcal{P} .
- \longrightarrow From the continuous to the discrete: if a certain property \mathcal{P} is satisfied by X and passes through the limit, X_n "roughly" satisfies \mathcal{P} for n large.

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discret" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X$$

Several uses:

- \longrightarrow From the discrete to the continuous: if a certain property \mathcal{P} is satisfied by all the X_n and passes through the limit, X satisfies \mathcal{P} .
- \longrightarrow From the continuous to the discrete: if a certain property \mathcal{P} is satisfied by X and passes through the limit, X_n "roughly" satisfies \mathcal{P} for n large.
- ∧→ Universality: if $(Y_n)_{n \ge 1}$ is another sequence of objects converging to X, then X_n and Y_n "roughly" have the same properties for n large.

What is it about?

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discrete" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X.$$

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ In what space do the objects live?

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discrete" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X_n$$

A→ In what space do the objects live? Here, a metric space (Z, d) (complete separable).

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discrete" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

$$X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X.$$

- A→ In what space do the objects live? Here, a metric space (Z, d) (complete separable).
- $\wedge \rightarrow$ What is the sense of this convergence when these objects are random?

Let $(X_n)_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence of "discrete" objects converging to a "continuous" object X:

- A→ In what space do the objects live? Here, a metric space (Z, d) (complete separable).
- √→ What is the sense of this convergence when these objects are random?
 Here, convergence in distribution:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{X}_{n})\right] \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{X})\right]$$

for every continuous bounded function $F: Z \to \mathbb{R}$.





II. TRIANGULATIONS



II. TRIANGULATIONS

III. MINIMAL FACTORIZATIONS

II. TRIANGULATIONS

III. MINIMAL FACTORIZATIONS

Motivations:

 \wedge Computer science: data structures, analysis of algorithms, networks, etc.

Motivations:

 \wedge Computer science: data structures, analysis of algorithms, networks, etc.

 \rightarrow Biology: genealogical and phylogenetical trees, etc.

Motivations:

 \wedge Computer science: data structures, analysis of algorithms, networks, etc.

 \rightarrow Biology: genealogical and phylogenetical trees, etc.

A→ Combinatorics: trees are (sometimes) simpler to enumerate, nice bijections, etc.

Motivations:

 \wedge Computer science: data structures, analysis of algorithms, networks, etc.

 \rightarrow Biology: genealogical and phylogenetical trees, etc.

A→ Combinatorics: trees are (sometimes) simpler to enumerate, nice bijections, etc.

A→ Probability: trees are elementary pieces of various models of random graphs, having rich probabilistic properties.



 $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\end{array}}}{\overset{\end{array}}}}} Question:$



Plane trees



Figure: Two different plane trees

 $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\end{array}}}{\overset{\end{array}}}}} Question:$

Plane trees

Let \mathfrak{X}_n be the set of all plane trees with \mathfrak{n} vertices.



Figure: Two different plane trees

 \longrightarrow Question: \longrightarrow Question:
Plane trees

Let \mathfrak{X}_n be the set of all plane trees with \mathfrak{n} vertices.



Figure: Two different plane trees

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \# \mathcal{X}_n = ?$

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

Plane trees

Let X_n be the set of all plane trees with n vertices.



Figure: Two different plane trees

$$\rightarrow$$
 Question: $\#X_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$.
 \rightarrow Question:

Plane trees

Let \mathfrak{X}_n be the set of all plane trees with n vertices.



Figure: Two different plane trees

$$\longrightarrow$$
 Question: $\# \chi_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$.

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ Question: What does a large typical plane tree look like?



Let μ be a probability on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ with $\sum_i i\mu(i) \leqslant 1$ and $\mu(1) < 1$.

Bienaymé-Galton-Watson trees

Let μ be a probability on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ with $\sum_i i\mu(i) \leq 1$ and $\mu(1) < 1$. A Bienaymé–Galton–Watson tree with offspring distribution μ is a random plane tree such that:

1. the root has a random number of children distributed according to μ ;

- 1. the root has a random number of children distributed according to μ ;
- 2. then, these children each have an independent random number of children distributed as μ , and so on.

- 1. the root has a random number of children distributed according to μ ;
- 2. then, these children each have an independent random number of children distributed as μ , and so on.



This happens with probability $\mu(0)^3\mu(2)^2$.

- 1. the root has a random number of children distributed according to μ ;
- 2. then, these children each have an independent random number of children distributed as μ , and so on.



This happens with probability $\mu(0)^3\mu(2)^2$.

 \bigwedge If $\mu(i) = \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$ for $i \ge 0$, a BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices follows the uniform distribution on the set of all plane trees with n vertices!



Coding a tree by its contour function

Code a tree τ by its contour function $C(\tau)$:





Coding a tree by its contour function

Knowing the contour function, it is easy to reconstruct the tree:

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i \ge 0} i\mu(i) = 1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let t_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices.

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i \ge 0} i\mu(i) = 1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let \mathfrak{t}_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having \mathfrak{n} vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}\quad \stackrel{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1},$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i \ge 0} i\mu(i) = 1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let t_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}\quad \stackrel{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.



Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i \ge 0} i\mu(i) = 1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let \mathfrak{t}_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having \mathfrak{n} vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}\quad \stackrel{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1},$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.

Remarks

 Λ → The function @ codes a "continuous" tree $T_{@}$, called the Brownian continuum random tree.

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i\geqslant 0} i\mu(i)=1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let t_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}\quad \overset{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1},$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.

Remarks

 Λ → The function @ codes a "continuous" tree $T_{@}$, called the Brownian continuum random tree.

 $\land \rightarrow$ Ideas: code t_n by another function (Lukasiewicz path), which is a (conditioned) random walk, use (a conditioned) Donsker's invariance principle, go back to the contour function (Duquesne & Le Gall, Marckert & Mokkadem).

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i \ge 0} i\mu(i) = 1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let t_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}\quad \stackrel{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}}\quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1},$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.

 Λ → Consequence 1: for every a > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sigma}{2} \cdot \text{Height}(\mathfrak{t}_{n}) > \mathfrak{a} \cdot \sqrt{n}\right] \quad \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (4k^{2}\mathfrak{a}^{2} - 1)e^{-2k^{2}\mathfrak{a}^{2}}$$

Let μ be a critical ($\sum_{i\geqslant 0} i\mu(i)=1$) offspring distribution having finite positive variance σ^2 . Let t_n be a random BGW tree conditioned on having n vertices. Theorem (Aldous '93)

We have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}C_{2nt}(\mathbf{t}_n)\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1} \quad \stackrel{(d)}{\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}} \quad \left(\frac{2}{\sigma}\cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t})\right)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1},$$

where e is the normalized Brownian excursion.

 \mathbb{P} (there exists a vertex of \mathfrak{t}_n with 3 grafted subtrees of sizes $\geq \varepsilon \mathfrak{n} \to 0$.



Universality

The Brownian continuum random tree is the scaling limit of:

Universality

The Brownian continuum random tree is the scaling limit of:

 different families of trees: non-plane trees (Marckert & Miermont, Panagiotou & Stufler, Stufler), Markov-branching trees (Haas & Miermont), cut-trees (Bertoin & Miermont).

Universality

The Brownian continuum random tree is the scaling limit of:

- different families of trees: non-plane trees (Marckert & Miermont, Panagiotou & Stufler, Stufler), Markov-branching trees (Haas & Miermont), cut-trees (Bertoin & Miermont).
- different families of tree-like structures: stack triangulations (Albenque & Marckert), graphs from subcritical classes (Panagiotou, Stufler & Weller), dissections (Curien, Haas & K), various maps (Janson & Stefánsson, Bettinelli, Caraceni, K & Richier).

I. TREES

II. TRIANGULATIONS

III. MINIMAL FACTORIZATIONS









Figure: A triangulation of χ_{10} .

 $\stackrel{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\hspace{0.1em}}{\overset{\end{array}}}{\overset{\end{array}}}}} Question:$

Let \mathfrak{X}_n be the set of all triangulations of the polygon whose vertices are $e^{\frac{2i\pi j}{n}}$ (j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1).



Figure: A triangulation of χ_{10} .

 \longrightarrow Question: \longrightarrow Question:

Let χ_n be the set of all triangulations of the polygon whose vertices are $e^{\frac{2i\pi j}{n}}$ (j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1).



Figure: A triangulation of χ_{10} .

$$\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question}: \# \mathcal{X}_n = ?$$

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

Let X_n be the set of all triangulations of the polygon whose vertices are $e^{\frac{2i\pi j}{n}}$ (j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1).



Figure: A triangulation of χ_{10} .

$$\bigwedge$$
 Question: $\# \mathfrak{X}_n = \frac{1}{n-2} \binom{2n-4}{n-3}$

 \longrightarrow Question:

Let χ_n be the set of all triangulations of the polygon whose vertices are $e^{\frac{2i\pi j}{n}}$ (j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1).



Figure: A triangulation of χ_{10} .

$$\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \# \mathfrak{X}_n = \frac{1}{n-2} \binom{2n-4}{n-3}$$

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ Question: What does a large typical triangulation look like?

Typical triangulations



What space for triangulations?





Let X, Y be two subsets of a metric space (Z, d).

Let X, Y be two subsets of a metric space (Z, d). If

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{X}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{Y}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}$

are the r-neighborhoods of X and Y

Let X, Y be two subsets of a metric space (Z, d). If

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{X}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{Y}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}$

are the r-neighborhoods of X and Y, we set

 $d_H(X,Y) = \inf \left\{ r > 0; X \subset Y_r \text{ and } Y \subset X_r \right\}.$



Let X, Y be two subsets of a metric space (Z, d). If

 $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{X}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}} = \{ z \in \mathsf{Z}; \, \mathsf{d}(z, \mathbf{Y}) \leqslant \mathsf{r} \}$

are the r-neighborhoods of X and Y, we set

 $d_H(X,Y) = \inf \left\{ r > 0; X \subset Y_r \text{ and } Y \subset X_r \right\}.$




For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices.

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

$$T_n \xrightarrow{(d)} L(e),$$

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{n}} \quad \xrightarrow[\mathbf{n}\to\infty]{(\mathbf{d})} \quad \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{e}),$$

where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

Triangulations



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).



For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

Triangulations



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

 \wedge Consequence: we can find the distribution of the length (i.e. the proportion seen from the center) of the longest chord of L(e).

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

Triangulations



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ Consequence: we can find the distribution of the length (i.e. the proportion seen from the center) of the longest chord of L(e).



For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

Triangulations



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ Consequence: we can find the distribution of the length (i.e. the proportion seen from the center) of the longest chord of L(e).



For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that

Triangulations



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

∧→ Consequence: we can find the distribution of the length (i.e. the proportion seen from the center) of the longest chord of L(e).
It is the probability measure with density:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{3x-1}{x^2(1-x)^2\sqrt{1-2x}} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{3} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

Triangulations

Theorem (Aldous '94)

For $n \ge 3$, let T_n be a uniform triangulation with n vertices. Then there exists a random compact subset L(e) of the unit disk such that



where the convergence holds in distribution for the Hausdorff distance on all compact subsets of the unit disk.

L(e) is called the **Brownian triangulation** (coded by the Brownian excursion).

∧→ Consequence: we can find the distribution of the length (i.e. the proportion seen from the center) of the longest chord of L(e).
It is the probability measure with density:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{3x-1}{x^2(1-x)^2\sqrt{1-2x}} \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{3} \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

 \wedge Application (Curien & K.): study of the length of the longest chord of a uniform dissection (faces of any degree allowed).

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Start with the Brownian excursion e:





Start with the Brownian excursion e:





Let t be a time of local minimum.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:





Let t be a time of local minimum.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$. Draw the chords $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi t}]$, $[e^{-2i\pi t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$ et $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$. Draw the chords $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi t}]$, $[e^{-2i\pi t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$ et $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:



Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$. Draw the chords $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi t}]$, $[e^{-2i\pi t}, e^{-2i\pi t}]$ et $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$. Do this for all the times of local minimum.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:





Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$. Draw the chords $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi t}], [e^{-2i\pi t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$ et $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$. Do this for all the times of local minimum.

Start with the Brownian excursion e:





Let t be a time of local minimum. Set $g_t = \sup\{s < t; e_s = e_t\}$ and $d_t = \inf\{s > t; e_s = e_t\}$. Draw the chords $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi t}]$, $[e^{-2i\pi t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$ et $[e^{-2i\pi g_t}, e^{-2i\pi d_t}]$. Do this for all the times of local minimum.

The closure of this union, L(e), is called the Brownian triangulation.

I. TREES

II. TRIANGULATIONS

III. MINIMAL FACTORIZATIONS



$\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.





Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$



Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$

For example (multiply from left to right):

(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{Question: } \#\mathfrak{M}_n = ?$

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 $#\mathfrak{M}_3 = 3.$

 $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ Question:

Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 $\wedge \rightarrow$ Question: $\#\mathfrak{M}_n = n^{n-2}$ (Dénes, 1959)

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 $#\mathfrak{M}_3 = 3.$

 \longrightarrow Question:

Let $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ be the n cycle.

Consider the set

 $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \text{ transpositions } : \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{n-1} = (1, 2, \dots, n)\}$

of minimal factorizations (of the n-cycle into transpositions).

 \wedge Question: $\#\mathfrak{M}_n = n^{n-2}$ (Dénes, 1959)

For example (multiply from left to right):

$$(1, 2, 3) = (1, 3)(2, 3) = (2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2)(1, 3),$$

 $\#\mathfrak{M}_3=3.$

 $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Question}$: for n large, what does a typical minimal factorization look like?

What space for minimal factorizations ?





What space for minimal factorizations ?

 \rightarrow Idea: compact subsets of the unit disk.



If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \le k \le n$: \mathcal{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \le i \le k$.

• \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

Trees

If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq k \leq n$:

- \mathfrak{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

 \rightarrow Example for n = 12 and k = 6:

((1,3), (6,12), (1,5), (7,12), (9,10), (11,12), (2,3), (4,5), (1,6), (8,11), (9,11))

If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$:

- ► \mathcal{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

 \rightarrow Example for n = 12 and k = 6:

((1,3), (6,12), (1,5), (7,12), (9,10), (11,12), (2,3), (4,5), (1,6), (8,11), (9,11))



If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$:

- ► \mathfrak{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

 \rightarrow Example for n = 12 and k = 6:

((1,3), (6,12), (1,5), (7,12), (9,10), (11,12), (2,3), (4,5), (1,6), (8,11), (9,11))




If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$:

- ► \mathfrak{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{Example for } n = 12 \text{ and } k = 6: \\ \left(\underbrace{(1,3), (6,12), (1,5), (7,12), (9,10), (11,12)}_{\text{product}=(1,3,5)(6,7,11,12), (9,10)} , (2,3), (4,5), (1,6), (8,11), (9,11) \right)$





If $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1})$ is a minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$:

- ► \mathcal{F}_k is the compact subset obtained by drawing the chords τ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- \mathcal{P}_k is the compact subset associated to the blocks of $\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$.

 \rightarrow Example for n = 12 and k = 6:

 $\left(\underbrace{(1,3), (6,12), (1,5), (7,12), (9,10), (11,12)}_{\text{product}=(1,3,5)(6,7,11,12), (9,10)}, (2,3), (4,5), (1,6), (8,11), (9,11)\right)$





A simulation for n = 2000



Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$: (ii) (iii) (iv)

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$: (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$: (iii) (iv)Igor Kortchemski Large discrete random structures 28 / 🕅

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{o}(\sqrt{\mathbf{n}})$: (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$: (iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$: (iv)Large discrete random structures Igor Kortchemski 28 / 🗙 0

Theorem (Féray, K.).
Let
$$(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$$
 be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \to \infty$.
(i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$:
(ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$:
(iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$:
(iv) If $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in [0, \infty)$:

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{o}(\sqrt{\mathbf{n}})$: $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}}) \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{d})} (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$. (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$: (iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$: (iv) If $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow c \in [0,\infty)$:

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$: $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$. (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$: there exists a random compact subset L_c such that $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbf{L}_c, \mathbf{L}_c).$ (iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$: (iv) If $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in [0,\infty)$:

Triangulations

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$: $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$. (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$: there exists a random compact subset L_c such that $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbf{L}_c, \mathbf{L}_c).$ (iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$: $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (L(e), L(e)).$

(iv) If $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow c \in [0,\infty)$:

Triangulations

Theorem (Féray, K.). Let $(t_1^{(n)}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{(n)})$ be a uniform minimal factorization of length n and $1 \leq K_n \leq n-1$ with $K_n \rightarrow \infty$. (i) If $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$: $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$. (ii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to c \in (0, \infty)$: there exists a random compact subset L_c such that $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (\mathbf{L}_c, \mathbf{L}_c).$ (iii) If $\frac{K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$ and $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \to \infty$: $(\mathcal{F}_{K_n}, \mathcal{P}_{K_n}) \xrightarrow{(d)} (L(\mathbb{e}), L(\mathbb{e})).$ (iv) If $\frac{n-K_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow c \in [0,\infty)$: $\mathcal{F}_{K_n} \xrightarrow{(d)} L(e), \mathcal{P}_{K_n} \xrightarrow{(d)} L_c \quad (\text{with } L_0 = \mathbb{S}).$



Figure: A simulation of L_5 .

Fix $1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1$ and let P be a non-crossing partition with n vertices and n-k blocks. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)}) = \mathsf{P}\right)$$

_

Fix $1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1$ and let P be a non-crossing partition with n vertices and n-k blocks. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)}) = \mathsf{P}\right) \\ = \frac{k!(n-k-1)!}{n^{n-2}} \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathsf{P}} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{P})} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right).$$

Fix $1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1$ and let P be a non-crossing partition with n vertices and n-k blocks. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)}) = \mathsf{P}\right) \\ = \frac{k!(n-k-1)!}{n^{n-2}} \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathsf{P}} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{P})} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right).$$

 \longrightarrow Consequence 1:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{t_{1}^{(n)}}{n} = (a, a+i)\right) = \frac{(n-2)!}{n^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{i^{i-2}}{(i-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-i)^{(n-i-2)}}{(n-i-1)!}$$

Fix $1\leqslant k\leqslant n-1$ and let P be a non-crossing partition with n vertices and n-k blocks. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)}) = \mathsf{P}\right) \\ = \frac{k!(n-k-1)!}{n^{n-2}} \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathsf{P}} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{B \in \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{P})} \frac{|\mathsf{B}|^{|\mathsf{B}|-2}}{(|\mathsf{B}|-1)!}\right).$$

 \longrightarrow Consequence 1:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{t_1^{(n)}}{n} = (a, a+i)\right) = \frac{(n-2)!}{n^{n-2}} \cdot \frac{i^{i-2}}{(i-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-i)^{(n-i-2)}}{(n-i-1)!} \sim \frac{C}{i^{3/2}}$$

for n and i large, which explains the \sqrt{n} transition.















It follows that $\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)})$ is coded by a bitype biconditioned BGW tree!





It follows that $\mathcal{P}(t_1^{(n)}t_2^{(n)}\cdots t_k^{(n)})$ is coded by a bitype biconditioned BGW tree!

(different conditioning than those considered for multitype BGW trees by Marckert, Miermont, Berzunza)

A proof of the half of (i), regime $K_n = o(\sqrt{n})$.





 $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{n}}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{n}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 \bigwedge → To show that $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}$, one has to rule out the possibility that a succession of "small" chords of \mathcal{F}_{K_n} builds a "large" connected component (because the blocks of \mathcal{P}_{K_n} are the connected components of \mathcal{F}_{K_n}).

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{n}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 \bigwedge → To show that $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}$, one has to rule out the possibility that a succession of "small" chords of \mathcal{F}_{K_n} builds a "large" connected component (because the blocks of \mathcal{P}_{K_n} are the connected components of \mathcal{F}_{K_n}).



 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{n}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 \bigwedge → To show that $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}$, one has to rule out the possibility that a succession of "small" chords of \mathcal{F}_{K_n} builds a "large" connected component (because the blocks of \mathcal{P}_{K_n} are the connected components of \mathcal{F}_{K_n}).

 \longrightarrow Idea: Use the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \rightarrow L(\mathbb{e})$ (!).



 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 \bigwedge To show that $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}$, one has to rule out the possibility that a succession of "small" chords of \mathcal{F}_{K_n} builds a "large" connected component (because the blocks of \mathcal{P}_{K_n} are the connected components of \mathcal{F}_{K_n}).

 $\land \lor$ Idea: Use the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \to L(@)$ (!).



If this happens, there will be no macroscopic chord with endpoints in the red region in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} .

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}} \to \mathbb{S}.$

 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\longrightarrow} \text{ The convergence } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S} \text{ means that for } n \text{ large, } \mathcal{F}_{K_n} \text{ is made of a dense collection of "small" chords.}$

 \bigwedge To show that $\mathcal{P}_{K_n} \to \mathbb{S}$, one has to rule out the possibility that a succession of "small" chords of \mathcal{F}_{K_n} builds a "large" connected component (because the blocks of \mathcal{P}_{K_n} are the connected components of \mathcal{F}_{K_n}).

 $\land \lor$ Idea: Use the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \to L(@)$ (!).



If this happens, there will be no macroscopic chord with endpoints in the red region in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} . This cannot happen in the Brownian triangulation.