# Plato's beard, and some varieties of shaving

Jean-Baptiste Guillon

SOPHA Geneva, september 2009

**PES** = Problematic Existential Sentences

**SNE** = Singular Negative Existentials, e.g. "the king of France doesn't exist" or "Pegasus doesn't exist"

**QNE** = Quantified Negative Existentials, e.g. "some of my possible siblings don't exist"

# 1. Plato's Beard

- (PES) Pegasus doesn't exist
  - (P) If Pegasus doesn't exist, then there is something that doesn't exist
- (C) there is something that doesn't exist

### A paradox for every solution :

٠

*Meinong's Paradox* : Plato's Beard , things that don't exist. *Quine's Paradox* : a conjunctive claim very similar to necessarily false claims. "Everything exists but Pegasus doesn't." // "All cars are blue but my car isn't."

Meinongian motivation : linguistic sense

Quinean motivation : ontological sense

#### Some varieties of shaving :

- (P1) If Pegasus doesn't exist, then *Pegasus* doesn't exist.
- (P2) If *Pegasus* doesn't exist, then *Pegasus* is predicated the property non-existence.
- (P3) If *Pegasus* is predicated non-existence, then *there is* something that doesn't exist.

Quine refusing (P1) :

"'Pegasus' is now a general term which, like 'centaur', is true of no objects."1

Plantinga accepting (P1) and refusing (P2):

"Many have denied that a sentence like 'Socrates exists' expresses a singular proposition – one that is about Socrates [...] This view of proper names, however, suffers from at least one defect: it is clearly false. [...] So there is such a thing as a singular negative existential proposition." **But** "[singular propositions] come in two varieties: those that *predicate* a property of their subject [= *predicative*], and those that *deny* a property of it [= *impredicative*]."<sup>2</sup>

Salmon accepting (P2) and refusing (P3) :

"Nonexistent possible individuals such as Noman, have properties – for example, the property of non-existence."

**But** "By contrast with Meinongians, I am not claiming that there are individuals that do not exist. If the quantifier 'there is' is actualist, that Meinongian claim is simply contradictory."<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Quine, Word and Object, §37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plantinga, The Nature of Necessity, ch. VII, §8 & 11, ch. VIII, §1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Salmon, "Existence", in Philosophical Perspectives, 1, Metaphysics, 1987.

# 2. Trouble with Quantified Negative Existentials

- (1) Some Mandated Records Don't Exist.<sup>4</sup>
- (2) Some of my possible siblings don't exist.
- (3) Some reptilian species don't exist anymore.
- (4) All Tolstoian statesmen exist.
- (5) All numbers exist.

(QP) "exist" and "there is" have the same meaning, namely the quantifier  $\exists x.F(x)$ 

### (QP) makes wrong predictions for QNE's truth-conditions

(1-3)  $\exists x. [F(x) \land \neg \exists y. y = x] \equiv \bot$ (4-5)  $\neg \exists x. [F(x) \land \neg \exists y. y = x] \equiv T$ 

## (QP) makes wrong predictions for QNE's grammaticality conditions

(4') \*There are all Tolstoian statesmen.

(5') \*There are all numbers.

"Are TESs equivalent to corresponding **exist** sentences ? The answer to this question is clearly no."<sup>5</sup>

## 3. Saving ontology with no shaving : non literal discourse

*Interpretive Structure* : the structure on which a representation gets its meaning

(1) There are true grammatical QNEs.

(2) In order to be grammatical and true, a QNE requires that its Interpretive Structure contain a non empty domain corresponding to the predicate "do/does not exist".

(3) The Interpretive Structure of NL is the external world itself.

(c) The external world contains a non empty domain of non-existents.

**Referential Semantics**: Language  $\rightarrow$  World **Cognitive Semantics**: Language  $\rightarrow$  Mental Model  $\rightarrow$  World

**Literally true** => true on an *ultimate* model (a model whose entities are in a one-one relation with entities in reality).

**Non-literally true** <= true on an *intermediate* model (a non ultimate model).

(1) Ulysses doesn't exist.	(1) Look at your sleeve, that's a new hole!
(2) There is someone who doesn't exist in the	(2) There is a hole in your sleeve.
Odyssey.	
(c) There are things that don't exist.	(c) There are holes

**Conclusion** : *S1* "Pegasus doesn't exist" vs *S2* "Bucephale doesn't fly". *homogeneity* : *S1* and *S2* are related to their Mental Models exactly in the same way. *heterogeneity* : their Mental Models are related to the world in very different ways.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Los Angels Times, August 10, 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jon Gajewski, L-Triviality and Grammar, UConn Logic Group, 2/27/09.