





On the entanglement of quasiparticles in a Bose-Einstein Condensate

From Faraday Waves to the Dynamical Casimir Effect

Seminar @ Heidelberg University

Victor Gondret

Clothilde Lamirault, Charlie Leprince, Rui Dias, Léa Camier, Quentin Marolleau, Denis Boiron & Chris Westbrook

Theory: Amaury Micheli & Scott Robertson



The team and the experiment



Clothilde Lamirault, Charlie Leprince, Rui Dias, Léa Camier, Quentin Marolleau, Denis Boiron & Chris Westbrook Theory: Amaury Micheli & Scott Robertson











On the entanglement of quasiparticles in a Bose-Einstein Condensate

From Faraday Waves to the Dynamical Casimir Effect

Seminar @ Heidelberg University

Victor Gondret

Clothilde Lamirault, Charlie Leprince, Rui Dias, Léa Camier, Quentin Marolleau, Denis Boiron & Chris Westbrook

Theory: Amaury Micheli & Scott Robertson





Guan et al. PR Fluids (2023), Edwards & Fauve J. Fluid Mech. (1994)





Broughton Suspension Bridge collapsed in 1831 Parametric oscillation \neq forced oscillation

Ω/2	Ω

Variation of an internal parameter

External force



Parametric or forced excitation of a swing



Parametric oscillation \neq forced oscillation

Ω/2 Ω

Variation of an internal parameter

External force

Forced excitation

Parametric excitation



Parametric or forced excitation of a swing



Parametric oscillation \neq forced oscillation

Variation of an internal parameter

 $\Omega/2$

Growth triggered by fluctuations Growth

External force

Ω

initialized by the force

• experimental imperfections,

- thermal fluctuations,
- quantum fluctuations.

Forced excitation

Parametric excitation



Parametric amplification across various scales



Quantum vacuum fluctuations trigger amplification which leads to entanglement.





Inflaton

field o

True vaccuum



Can we witness the role played by vacuum fluctuations i.e. observe momentum space entanglement between quasiparticles in a BEC

- 1. Parametric amplification of quasiparticles in an elongated BEC
- 2. Experimental setup and protocol
- 3. Observation of the growth and decay of quasiparticles
- 4. Quantifying entanglement from number correlation functions
- 5. Observation of quasiparticle entanglement



L = 200 μm

 σ = 5 μ m

- BEC of metastable helium He⁴ in 10 s with 5-15 000 atoms at 50(10) nK
- 1 kHz & 50 Hz: effective 1D dynamics

Description: Bose gas with contact interaction

- $\widehat{\Psi} \sim \frac{\sqrt{n_1}}{\sigma} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} [1 + \widehat{\phi}(z)]$
- $n_1 = N/L$
- $g_1 \sim 1/\sigma^2$ 1D effective interaction

Theoretical approach: Bogoliubov 1D (linearize)

We study collective excitations:

- $\circ \ \hat{b}_k$ annihilates a quasiparticle at k
- $\circ \ \hat{\phi}_k = u_k \hat{b}_k + v_k \hat{b}_{-k}^\dagger$
- \hat{b}_k diagonalizes the Hamiltonian $i\hbar\partial_t \hat{b}_k = \omega_k \hat{b}_k + i \frac{\dot{\omega}_k}{2\omega_k} \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger}$

with Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$$\omega_{k} = \sqrt{2g_{1}n_{1}\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2m} + \left(\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2m}\right)^{2}}$$

What if g_{1} is time dependent?



Parametric excitation of an elongated BEC

Numerical simulation



Oscillation of $g_1 n_1$ at frequency Ω parametrically excites quasiparticles by pairs with $\omega_k = \Omega/2$

How to change g_1n_1 (or gn) ?

- Feshbach resonance: Chicago, Rice, Heidelberg, Mexico
- Trap frequency modulation: NIST, Palaiseau

V. Gondret – Heidelberg seminar

 $g_1 \sim 1/\sigma^2$ 1D effective interaction with σ BEC radius.

Theoretical approach: Bogoliubov 1D

We study collective excitations:

 $\circ \ \widehat{b}_k$ annihilates a quasiparticle at k

$$\circ \ \hat{\phi}_k = u_k \hat{b}_k + v_k \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger}$$

 \hat{b}_k diagonalizes the Hamiltonian $i\hbar\partial_t \hat{b}_k = \omega_k \hat{b}_k + i \frac{\dot{\omega}_k}{2\omega_k} \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger}$

with Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$$\omega_{k} = \sqrt{2g_{1}n_{1}\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2m} + \left(\frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{2m}\right)^{2}}$$

What if g_{1} is time dependent?

Jaskula *et al.* PRL (2012) Robertson *et al* PRD (2017,2018) **12**



Parametric excitation of an elongated BEC

Numerical simulation



Oscillation of $g_1 n_1$ at frequency Ω parametrically excites quasiparticles by pairs with $\omega_k = \Omega/2$

If zero temperature, we expect a two-mode squeezed vacuum state

 $|\phi\rangle \sim \sum_i \tanh^i r |i,i\rangle_{-k,k}$

i.e. vacuum fluctuations \rightarrow entanglement.





- 1. Parametric amplification of quasiparticles in an elongated BEC
- 2. Experimental setup and protocol
- 3. Observation of the growth and decay of quasiparticles
- 4. Quantifying entanglement from number correlation functions
- 5. Observation of quasiparticle entanglement



٠

٠





Parametric excitation

of the longitudinal

modes

Excitation procedure does not heat the cloud.

Excitation at

resonance of the

transverse breathing

mode

We can hope to get an entangled state!

induces

1.0 P_{las} 0.5 0.0 2 OBEC 0 2 Time in trap (ms)



Protocol Parametric excitation 1. 2. Raman transfer (+kick) \vec{g} Single particle detection 3. 20 15 . 8 8 . 10 $\begin{cases} v_x = X/T \\ v_y = Y/T \\ v_z = gT/2 - H/T \end{cases}$ Single atom in 5 200 pm x 5 pm • Vz (mm/s) 0 momentum space -5 Η -10=40 cm • -15-20 600 µm x 5 mm -25 0 Vx (mm/s) 25 Metastable He⁴ : Single shot images electronic detection of 12 µm individual atoms (X, Y, T) V. Gondret Une delberg seminar

 $p = mv = \hbar k$ \hbar Planck constant *m* mass

BEL

-25

0

Vy (mm/s)

25



Protocol





Pulse shaping techniques



seminar

- 1. Parametric excitation
- 2. Raman transfer (+kick)
- 3. Bragg deflection of the BEC
- 4. Single particle detection



Use a velocity selective two-photon process to deflect only the BEC.

π pulse with constant Rabi frequency

Time dependant Rabi freq as a sinc function

Two-photon transition couples two momenta $|k\rangle \leftrightarrow |k + k_B\rangle$





(which looks like a |sinc| function) (which looks more like a square)

Leprince et al arXiv (2024)

18



Some advertising on pulse shaping techniques

Protocol

re seminar

- 1. Parametric excitation
- 2. Raman transfer (+kick)
- 3. Bragg deflection of the BEC
- 4. Single particle detection analytical

More information in

Leprince *et al* Coherent coupling of momentum states: selectivity and phase control arXiv, to appear in PRA (2024)

→ Analytical (smart) functions as good as optimal control but simpler.

+ applied to interferometry techniques





Bragg deflection of the BEC

Protocol

seminar

- 1. Parametric excitation
- 2. Raman transfer (+kick)
- 3. Bragg deflection of the BEC
- 4. Single particle detection analytical



In the following, we use a pulse-shaped Bragg deflector



- 1. Parametric amplification of quasiparticles in an elongated BEC
- 2. Experimental setup and protocol
- 3. Observation of the growth and decay of quasiparticles
- 4. Quantifying entanglement from number correlation functions
- 5. Observation of quasiparticle entanglement



Exponential growth of the phonon number





Measuring the growth rate



We fit the growth rate $G_k^{(exp)}$ from the population growth.





The slowing of the growth (*i.e.* the decay rate) we measure in qualitative agreement with theoretical predictions (black stars, large error bars not shown).



Exponential growth of the phonon number





Adiabatic mapping from the collective excitation basis to the atomic basis

We measure atoms and not quasi-particles : how does the *collective excitations* state \hat{b}_k maps to the *atomic* state $\hat{\phi}_k$?

 $\hat{\phi}_k \sim u_k \hat{b}_k + v_k \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger}$ Atomic field: At equilibrium: thermal and quantum depletion^{1,2} The detected atom number: $n_k = \langle \hat{\phi}_k^{\dagger} \hat{\phi}_k \rangle = |u_k|^2 \langle \hat{b}_k^{\dagger} \hat{b}_k \rangle + |v_k|^2 (\langle \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-k} \rangle + 1)$ Number of atoms +2 Re $\left(u_k v_k^* \langle \hat{b}_{-k} \hat{b}_k \rangle\right)$ $\mathcal{P}_{las}(t)$ with $\hat{b}_k \sim \hat{b}_{\nu}^{(out)} e^{-i\omega_k t}$ 10¹ t oscillates $\hat{b}_{-k}\hat{b}_{k} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ pair creation process We don't measure quasiparticles 0.0 0.5

V. Gondret – Heidelberg seminar [1] Lopes *et al.* PRL (2017), [2] Ténart *et al.* Nat. Phys. (2021)



1.0



- 1. Parametric amplification of quasiparticles in an elongated BEC
- 2. Experimental setup and protocol
- 3. Observation of the growth and decay of quasiparticles
- 4. Quantifying entanglement from number correlation functions
- 5. Observation of quasiparticle entanglement





WHAT ABOUT MIXED STATES?
 Teleportation ⇒ Bell inequalities
 Popescu Phys. Rev. Lett. (1994)

Define a partition 1-2 (two modes here). Any **separable** state can be written as

$$\rho = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \rho_{i,1} \otimes \rho_{i,2}$$

where $\alpha_i \ge 0$ are probabilities.

Other states are non-separable / entangled.

Werner Phys. Rev. A (1989)



How to probe entanglement?

SO HOW?

Many entanglement witnesses and criteria in the literature

PPT:

 $\hat{\rho}^{t_2} \ge 0$

Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1996)

 $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \le n_1 n_2$

:

Hillery & Zubairy Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006)

EXERIMENTAL TOOLS NEEDED

To measure the mean/variances of field operators, one needs homodyne-like detection schemes¹ or to reconstruct the state measuring non-commuting operators² (e.g. \hat{x} and \hat{p})

[1] Gross *et al.* Nature (2011)

[2] Bergschneider et al. Nat. Phys. (2019)



See also Barasiński et al PRL (2023)



Probing the entanglement of a TMSv state from its FCS

Consider a two-mode squeezed vacuum state

$$\begin{split} |TMSv\rangle(r) &\sim \sum_{i} \tanh^{i} r |i,i\rangle_{12} \\ \rho_{TMSv} &\sim \sum_{i,k} \tanh^{i} r \tanh^{k} r |i,i\rangle_{12} \langle k,k|_{12} \end{split}$$

 ρ_{TMSv} is a non-separable state in the partition 1-2.



Can we prove the entanglement of this state from its FCS?





One cannot assess the entanglement of *any* quantum state from its full counting statistics.

It only measures the diagonal terms of the density matrix

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

Wait a minute... Not true for *Gaussian* states!



Gaussian states

We can connect N-body correlation functions to 1- and Z-field correlation functions!

GAUSSIAN STATES

A Gaussian state: $G_C^{(n>2)}(\hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \dots \hat{a}_2) = 0.$

[Gaussianity is preserved under evolution of 2nd order Hamiltonian (including Bogoliubov theory).] — LINK TO ENTANGLEMENT — If $\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2
angle = 0$, observation of

$$g_{12}^{(2)} = G_{12}^{(2)} / n_1 n_2 > 2$$

implies entanglement because $n_1 n_2 < |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2$

Campo & Parentani, *Phys. Rev D* (2005) Hillery & Zubairy *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (2006)



Any operator that involves more than 2 fields can be expressed with 1- and 2-field operators. *Ex:*

$$G_{12}^{(2)} = \langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = n_1 n_2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2$$
Anomalous
Correlation
Correlation
$$Anomalous$$
Coherence

HOW TO MEASURE THE COHERENCE? Sol. 1: set up an interferometer $\hat{a}_1 \rightarrow \hat{\beta}_2$ $\hat{a}_2 \wedge \hat{\beta}_2 \wedge \hat{\beta}_2$



Entanglement criterion



Gaussian state,

✓ Each mode has a PDF which is thermal

As a result, $\langle \hat{a}_{,} \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_{,}^{2} \rangle = 0$

Avagyan et al J. of Phys. B (2023)

TWO- AND FOUR-BODY CORRELATION FUNCTIONS $g_{12}^{(2)} = 1 + \left(|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \right) / n_1 n_2$ $g_{12}^{(4)} = \left(\left(\hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \right)^2 (\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2)^2 \right) / n_1^2 n_2^2$ $= f \left(G_{12}^{(2)}, n_1 n_2 \right) + 8 |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \times |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 / n_1^2 n_2^2$ Symmetric system to find $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|$ and $|\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|$ - TWO SOLUTIONS

- We have two possible solutions
 - "State" μ : $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+ \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_-$,
 - "State" γ : $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_- \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+.$

A "physical" Gaussian state must respect an inequality based on the symplectic eigenvalues of its covariance matrix $\{v_{\pm}\}: v_{-} \ge 1$

Lemma 1. We can compute $\nu_{-}^{(\mu)}$, $\nu_{-}^{(\gamma)}$ from n_1 , n_2 , β_{\pm}

If only one is physical, we "know" the state

Lemma 2. States μ and γ are PT of each other

If only one is unphysical, the other is entangled!

Criterion: If "state" γ is unphysical, the state is entangled. (and entanglement is quantified with log neg) Gondret et al arXiv (2025)

yields two solutions β_+

32



The $g^{(2)}/g^{(4)}$ entanglement criterion

THE $g^{(2)}/g^{(4)}$ CRITERION The measurement of $n_1, n_2, g_{12}^{(2)}, g_{12}^{(4)}$ yields λ_- , the smallest symplectic eigenvalue of the state and its PT. If $\lambda_- < 1$, the state is entangled.

 $LN = Max(-log_2(\lambda_-), 0)$

$$\theta = \frac{g_{12}^{(4)} + 12 - 16g_{12}^{(2)} - 4(g_{12}^{(2)} - 1)^2}{(g_{12}^{(2)} - 1)^2} \in [0, 1]$$

THE g⁽²⁾ WITNESS • Low population: if $g_{12}^{(2)} \le 2$ separable state,

• High population : if $g_{12}^{(2)} \leq 2$ entangled state.



Formulas in Gondret *et al* arXiv:2503.09555

The g⁽²⁾ entanglement witness



- The $g_{12}^{(2)}$ entanglement witness depends on the populations,
- The value of $g_{12}^{(4)}$ is needed to determine the entanglement in the middle region.
- Taking into account the quantum efficiency of the detector can reveal entanglement,

So what is the experimental result ??...

LABORATOIRE CHARLES FABRY



- 1. Parametric amplification of quasiparticles in an elongated BEC
- 2. Experimental setup and protocol
- 3. Observation of the growth and decay of quasiparticles
- 4. Quantifying entanglement from number correlation functions
- 5. Observation of quasiparticle entanglement



Experimental procedure



Experimental procedure





Entanglement between quasiparticles





How does entanglement correlations evolve in time?





Relative number squeezing $\xi^2 = \frac{\text{Var}(n_k - n_{-k})}{n_k + n_{-k}}$

Late time: decrease of nonclassical correlation.

Hard to speak about entanglement, we certainly loose our ability to detect it:

- too many particles per mode (saturation for > 60)
- Beyond Bogoliubov physics: failure of the Gaussian hypothesis



Outlooks: beyond Bogoliubov

Correlation between non-opposite quasiparticles modes: beyond Bogoliubov model.

- Numerically observed by Robertson *et al* PRD (2018)
- Similar experiment in water tanks by Gregroy et al ArXiv (2024)

The contact Hamiltonian contains 3-field and 4-field terms: we should end up with a non-Gaussian state.

With only 4 modes, 12 terms involve 3–fields in the Hamiltonian (quasiparticles basis)

$$H = H_{bogo} + \cdots \hat{b}_{-2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{k}^{\dagger 2} + \cdots \hat{b}_{2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger 2} \hat{b}_{k} + h. c.$$

+ $\cdots \hat{b}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-k} + \cdots \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{k} + h. c$
+ $\cdots \hat{b}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-k} + \cdots \hat{b}_{-k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{2k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{k} + h. c$

where ... are function of Bogoliubov coefs $u_k(t)$, $v_q(t)$





Research perspectives





Higher order excitations Higher order resonance with rich correlations properties.

Beyond the Gaussian hypothesis

Violation of Bell inequalities

- Need for 2x2 modes (k,-k)x(q,-q),
- Low population regime,
- Need much better purity: add a lattice to modulate the effective mass



Dussarat et al PRL (2017)

©Icons ●★■ Noun Project Muhammad Febrianto, Didik Graphic , Dicky Prayudawanto, Adi putro Wibowo, Roberto Blanco, Jessigue, Md Moniruzzaman, Lilik Sofiyanti, K 30 JUZZ, Alice Design, IconTrack, rendicon, miftakhudin, seniman, IconInnovate, huijae Jang Berkah Icon, Muhammad Febrianto, Siti Zaenab ,nareerat jaikaew, SAM Designs, Pham Duy Phuong Hung, Gregor Cresnar sentya Irma, Resmayani Resmiati, Assia Benkerroum , Papergarden, Elzira Yuni, sentya Irma, Maria AG, huijae Jang, Andre Buand, rukanicon.

Thank you for your attention!

Camier Léa, Dias Rui, Lamirault Clothilde, Leprince Charlie, Marolleau Quentin, Micheli Amaury, Robertson Scott, Boiron Denis & Westbrook Chris QUANTERA

quantum universite

ΡΑΓΨ

