





# Quantifying two-mode entanglement of bosonic Gaussian states from their full counting statistics

Victor Gondret

Clothilde Lamirault, Charlie Leprince, Rui Dias, Léa Camier, Christoph Westbrook, David Clément & Denis Boiron

arXiv:2503.09555

QSimFP Community Workshop – 18th of March, 2025

### ABBY Motivations

WHY?

Entanglement is a key signature of particle production by pairs from vacuum

HOW? Just violate a Bell inequality Bell Physics (1964) CHSH Phys. Rev. Lett. (1969) Entanglement ⇔ Bell inequalities ⇔ Distillability

 $\Leftrightarrow$  Teleportation

### EQUIVALENCE ONLY FOR PURE STATES

Gisin, *Phys. Lett. A* (1991) Gisin & Peres*, Phys. Lett. A* (1992) Popescu & Rohrlich*, Phys. Lett. A* (1992) - WHAT ABOUT *MIXED* STATES?

Teleportation ⇒ Bell inequalities Popescu Phys. Rev. Lett. (1994)

Define a partition 1-2 (two modes here). Any **separable** state can be written as

$$\rho = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \rho_{i,1} \otimes \rho_{i,2}$$

where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  are probabilities.

Other states are non-separable / entangled.

Werner Phys. Rev. A (1989)

### HARLES Motivations

WHY?

Entanglement is a key signature of particle production by pairs from vacuum

SO HOW? Many entanglement witnesses and criteria in the literature PPT:  $\hat{\rho}^{t_2} \ge 0$ Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1996)  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \le n_1 n_2$ Hillery & Zubairy Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006)  $\vdots$ 

### $\hat{a}_j$ annihilation operator for mode j

EXERIMENTAL TOOLS NEEDED



To measure the mean/variances of field operators, one needs homodyne-like detection scheme

Not always possible or easy



See also Barasiński et al Phys. Rev. Lett (2023)

V. Gondret – QSimFP Workshop – March 2025 – Quantifying two-mode entanglement of bosonic Gaussian states from their FCS

## **CHARLES** Probing the entanglement of a TMSv state from its FCS

Consider a two-mode squeezed vacuum state

$$|TMSv\rangle(r) \sim \sum_{i} \tanh^{i} r |i,i\rangle_{12}$$

$$\rho_{TMSv} \sim \sum_{i,k} \tanh^{i} r \tanh^{k} r |i,i\rangle_{12} \langle k,k|_{12}$$

 $\rho_{TMSv}$  is a non-separable state in the partition 1-2.



Can we prove the entanglement of this state from its FCS?



One cannot assess the entanglement of *any* quantum state from its full counting statistics.

It only measures the diagonal terms of the density matrix

#### **THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !**

Wait a minute... Not true for *Gaussian* states!

HARLES Gaussian states

GAUSSIAN STATES

A Gaussian state:  $G_C^{(n>2)}(\hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \dots \hat{a}_2) = 0.$ 

#### PROPERTIES

Any operator that involves more than 2 fields can be expressed with 1- and 2-field operators. *Ex:* 

$$G_{12}^{(2)} = \langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = n_1 n_2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2$$
Anomalous
Coherence
Correlation

LINK TO ENTANGLEMENT — If  $\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle = 0$ , observation of

$$g_{12}^{(2)} = G_{12}^{(2)} / n_1 n_2 > 2$$

implies entanglement as  $n_1 n_2 < |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2$ 

Campo & Parentani, Phys. Rev D (2005) Hillery & Zubairy Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006)

We can connect N-body correlation functions to 1- and Z-field correlation functions! HOW TO MEASURE THE COHERENCE? **Sol. 1:** set up an interferometer  $\langle \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger} \hat{\gamma} \rangle \propto \left| \langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle \right|^2 \sin 2\theta$ âı  $\hat{a}_2$ **Sol. 2:** use higher-order correlation functions. ASSUMPTIONS Gaussian state, ✓ Zero-mean, ✓ Neither mode is squeezed  $\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle = 0$ 

The single-mode probability distribution is thermal.

Experimentally testable as Chris showed !

V. Gondret – QSimFP Workshop – March 2025 – Quantifying two-mode entanglement of bosonic Gaussian states from their FCS

# CHARLES The g2/g4 criterion (1)

TWO- AND FOUR-BODY CORRELATION FUNCTIONS  $g_{12}^{(2)} = 1 + \left( |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \right) / n_1 n_2$   $g_{12}^{(4)} = \left\langle \left( \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger} \right)^2 (\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2)^2 \right\rangle / n_1^2 n_2^2$   $= f \left( G_{12}^{(2)}, n_1 n_2 \right) + 8 |\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 \times |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|^2 / n_1^2 n_2^2$ Symmetric system to find  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle|$  and  $|\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle|$ yields two solutions  $\beta_+$ 

- TWO SOLUTIONS -

We have two possible solutions

- "State"  $\mu$ :  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+ \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_-$ ,
- "State"  $\gamma$ :  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_- \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+.$

### — GAUSSIAN STATES FORMALISM

From A. Brady's lecture, *Benasque 2023* 

Gaussian states respect a bona fide condition based on their symplectic spectrum  $\{\nu_{\pm}\}$ :  $\nu_{-} \ge 1$  the second port of

A 2-mode Gaussian state is entangled iff its partial transpose (PT) is not a *bona fide* Gaussian state

 $\nu_{-}^{t_2} < 1$ 

# CHARLES The g2/g4 criterion (2)

TWO SOLUTIONS

We have two possible solutions

- "State"  $\mu$ :  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+ \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_-$ ,
- "State"  $\gamma$ :  $|\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_- \& |\langle \hat{a}_1^{\dagger} \hat{a}_2 \rangle| = \beta_+.$
- i. The *bona fide* condition for  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  only depends on  $n_1, n_2, \beta_{\pm}, \beta_{\mp} \Rightarrow \{\nu_{\pm}^{(\gamma)}\}, \{\nu_{\pm}^{(\mu)}\}$ 
  - ii. "States"  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are PT of each other

iii. We measure  $\lambda_{-}$  = Min  $\left\{ v_{\pm}^{(\mu)}, v_{\pm}^{(\gamma)} \right\}$ 

THE g2/g4 CRITERION The measurement of  $n_1, n_2, g_{12}^{(2)}, g_{12}^{(4)}$  yields  $\lambda_-$ , the smallest symplectic eigenvalue of the state and its PT. If  $\lambda_- < 1$ , the state is entangled.

 $LN = Max(-log_2(\lambda_-), 0)$ 



From A. Brady's lecture, *Benasque 2023* 

Gaussian states respect a bona fide condition based on their symplectic spectrum  $\{v_{\pm}\}$ :  $v_{-} \ge 1$ 



A 2-mode Gaussian state is entangled iff its partial transpose (PT) is not a *bona fide* Gaussian state

 $\nu_-^{t_2} < 1$ 

Formulas in

arXiv:2503.09555

# CHARLES The g2/g4 criterion (3)

THE  $g^{(2)}/g^{(4)}$  CRITERION The measurement of  $n_1, n_2, g_{12}^{(2)}, g_{12}^{(4)}$  yields  $\lambda_-$ , the smallest symplectic eigenvalue of the state and its PT. If  $\lambda_- < 1$ , the state is entangled.

 $LN = Max(-log_2(\lambda_-), 0)$ 

$$\theta = \frac{g_{12}^{(4)} + 12 - 16g_{12}^{(2)} - 4\left(g_{12}^{(2)} - 1\right)^2}{\left(g_{12}^{(2)} - 1\right)^2} \in [0,1]$$

THE g<sup>(2)</sup> WITNESS • Low population: if  $g_{12}^{(2)} \le 2$  separable state, • High population : if  $g_{12}^{(2)} \le 2$  entangled state.



V. Gondret – QSimFP Workshop – March 2025 – Quantifying two-mode entanglement of bosonic Gaussian states from their FCS



LABORATOIRE CHARLES FABRY

G2 witness

- The  $g_{12}^{(2)}$  entanglement witness depends on the populations,
- The value of  $g_{12}^{(4)}$  is needed to determine the entanglement in the middle region.
- Taking into account the quantum efficiency of the detector can reveal entanglement,

V. Gondret – QSimFP Workshop – March 2025 – Quantifying two-mode entanglement of bosonic Gaussian states from their FCS

Formulas in

arXiv:2503.09555



ASSUMPTIONS MADE Gaussian state, Zero-mean, Neither mode is squeezed  $\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle = 0$ 

*Thermal single-mode probability distribution.* 

Measurement of  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $g_{12}^{(2)}$ ,  $g_{12}^{(4)}$  yields LN (entanglement criterion)

Measurement of  $n_1, n_2, g_{12}^{(2)}$  can witness entanglement.

No commutating measurement needed because the state is *assumed* Gaussian. Homodyne-like scheme needed to check this assumption.



#### ©Icons •× Noun Project

Muhammad Febrianto, Didik Graphic, Dicky Prayudawanto, Adi putro Wibowo, Roberto Blanco, Jessigue, Md Moniruzzaman, Lilik Sofiyanti, K 30 JUZZ, Alice Design, IconTrack, rendicon, miftakhudin, seniman, IconInnovate, huijae Jang.

Thank you for your attention!

Take home message

Measurement of  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $g_{12}^{(2)}$ ,  $g_{12}^{(4)}$  yields LN (entanglement criterion)

Measurement of  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $g_{12}^{(2)}$  can witness entanglement.

No commutating measurement needed because the state is assumed Gaussian. Homodyne-like scheme needed to check this assumption.

All the details in arXiv:2503.09555

quantum université PARIS-SACLAY PALM

QUANTERA

Région **ile**de**France**