

Externally definable sets in NIP theories

Pierre Simon

École Normale Supérieure, Paris

January 30, 2012

Joint work with Artem Chernikov.

Let $A \subset \mathcal{U}$ be any set (big or small).

An *externally definable* subset of A is a $\phi(A; \bar{b}) \subseteq A^k$, $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{U}$.

An *internally definable* subset of A is a $\psi(A; \bar{d}) \subseteq A^k$, $\bar{d} \in A$.

Problem

Can we understand externally definable sets in terms of internally definable ones?

Stable T

Ideal situation: any externally definable subset of A is internally definable.

(A is *stably-embedded*.)

DLO

An externally definable set of M is a finite union of convex subsets.

\implies tame

NIP

According to the philosophy that *NIP* is “stable + DLO”, it should be tame too.

From now on, T is NIP.

Theorem (Honest definitions)

Let $A \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b}) \in L(\mathcal{U})$.

There is $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{z})$ such that for any finite $A_0 \subseteq \phi(A; \bar{b})$, we can find $\bar{d} \in A$ such that

$$A_0 \subseteq \psi(A; \bar{d}) \subseteq \phi(A; \bar{b}).$$

Another way to say the same thing.

Let $L_{\mathbf{P}} = L \cup \{\mathbf{P}(x)\}$.

Let $A \subseteq M$, (M, A) : expansion of M to $L_{\mathbf{P}}$ setting $\mathbf{P}(M) = A$.

Theorem (Honest definitions)

Let $A \subset M$ and $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b}) \in L(M)$.

There is an extension $(M, A) \prec (M', A')$ and $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{d}) \in L(A')$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(A; \bar{d}) &= \phi(A; \bar{b}) \\ \psi(A'; \bar{d}) &\subseteq \phi(A'; \bar{b})\end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Weak stable-embeddedness, Guingona)

Let $A \subset M$ and $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b}) \in L(M)$.

There is an extension $(M, A) \prec (M', A')$ and $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{d}) \in L(A')$ such that:

$$\psi(A; \bar{d}) = \phi(A; \bar{b})$$

Examples

$A = I$, a small indiscernible sequence.

Assume (for simplicity) that I is ordered by some \emptyset -definable $<_I$ and the order is dense Dedekind complete.

Theorem (Baldwin-Benedikt)

I is stably embedded.

Proof.

Let $(M, I) \prec (M', I')$. Then I' is an indiscernible sequence.

By weak stable-embeddedness, it is enough to consider the case of some $\phi(I; \bar{b})$, $\bar{b} \in I'$.

By indiscernability, the set $\phi(I'; \bar{b})$ is definable using $=$ and the ordering $<_I$. We conclude by Dedekind completeness. \square

Examples

$A=M$, a model.

Let M^{Sh} be the expansion of M obtained by adding a predicate for every externally definable subset of M^k .

Theorem (Shelah)

M^{Sh} has elimination of quantifiers and is NIP.

Proof.

Let $M \prec N$ and $\phi(x_1, x_2; \bar{b}) \in L(N)$. Take $(N, M) \prec (N', M')$ and $\psi(x_1, x_2; \bar{d}) \in L(M')$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(A; \bar{d}) &= \phi(A; \bar{b}) \\ \psi(A'; \bar{d}) &\subseteq \phi(A'; \bar{b})\end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta(x_1; \bar{d}) = (\exists x_2)\psi(x_1, x_2; \bar{d})$. Then $\theta(M; \bar{d})$ coincides with the first projection of $\phi(M; \bar{b})$. □

Expansions

We consider the following situation: M is NIP, we name some subset $A \subset M$ by a new predicate $\mathbf{P}(x)$.

Problem

Give sufficient conditions for the pair (M, A) to be NIP.

Results/special cases established by Berenstein, Boxall, Dolich, Günaydin, Hieronymi, Onshuus.

Definition

An $L_{\mathbf{P}}$ -formula is *bounded* if it is of the form

$$(\forall x_1 \in \mathbf{P})(\exists x_2 \in \mathbf{P}) \cdots (\forall x_n \in \mathbf{P})\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y}),$$

where $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ in an L -formula.

We say that the theory of (M, A) is *bounded* if all $L_{\mathbf{P}}$ -formulas are equivalent to a bounded one.

Theorem

Assume that M is NIP, A_{ind} is NIP and the theory of the pair (M, A) is bounded, then (M, A) is NIP.

Corollary

If M is NIP, $A \prec M$ and the theory of (M, A) is bounded, then (M, A) is NIP.

Uniformity

Theorem (Uniformity of honest definitions)

Let $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y}) \in L$. Then there is some $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{z}) \in L$ such that:
For every $A \subset \mathcal{U}$, and $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every finite $A_0 \subseteq \phi(A; \bar{b})$, there is $\bar{d} \in A$ such that

$$A_0 \subseteq \psi(A; \bar{d}) \subseteq \phi(A; \bar{b}).$$

Corollary (UDTFS)

Let $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y}) \in L$, then there is $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{z}) \in L$ such that for every finite set A and every $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{U}$, there is $\bar{d} \in A$ with

$$\phi(A; \bar{b}) = \psi(A; \bar{d}).$$

Remark: We have to assume that the full theory is NIP. The UDTFS conjecture is still open for an NIP formula $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ in a (possibly) independent theory.

The proof uses compactness and a theorem of Alon-Kleitman and Matousek:

(p, q) -Theorem (special case)

If $\phi(x; \bar{y})$ in NIP and $q < \omega$ is big enough, then there is N such that for any finite family $\mathcal{B} = \{\phi(x; \bar{b}_i) : i < n\}$ if any q sets from \mathcal{B} intersect, then there is an N -point set in \mathcal{U} intersecting all sets of \mathcal{B} .

Distal theories

Distal theories are “completely unstable” NIP theories.

Definition

An NIP theory T is *distal* if for every indiscernible sequence $I + b + J$ (I and J infinite sequences) and set A , if

$$I + J \text{ is indiscernible over } A,$$

then

$$I + b + J \text{ is indiscernible over } A.$$

Examples

O-minimal theories, \mathbb{Q}_p are distal.

Theorem (Strong honest definitions for distal theories)

Let $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y}) \in L$. Then there is $\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{z}) \in L$ such that: for any $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{U}^{|\bar{y}|}$ and finite $A_0 \subseteq \phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b})$, there is $\bar{d} \in A_0$ such that:

$$A_0 \subseteq \psi(\bar{x}; \bar{d}),$$

$$\psi(\bar{x}; \bar{d}) \rightarrow \phi(\bar{x}; \bar{b}).$$

Corollary (UDTFS for distal theories)

Let $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y}) \in L$, there there is $\theta(\bar{x}; \bar{z}) \in L$ and N such that for any $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{U}^{|\bar{y}|}$ and finite $A \subset \mathcal{U}$, there is some $A_0 \subseteq A$ of size $\leq N$ with:

$$\text{tp}_\theta(\bar{b}/A_0) \vdash \text{tp}_\phi(\bar{b}/A).$$



A. Chernikov and P. Simon

Externally definable sets and dependent pairs
to be published in the *Israel Journal of Math.*



A. Chernikov and P. Simon

Externally definable sets and dependent pairs II
in preparation.