Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

## On geometric homogeneous structures

#### Pierre Simon

UC Berkeley

From permutation groups to model theory, in honor of Dugald Macpherson Edinburgh, September, 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000000	000000	0
	NIP 000000	NIP     Binary structures       000000     000000

## INTRODUCTION

*M* is an  $\omega$ -categorical structure (with elimination of quantifiers in a relational language *L*).

•  $f_n(M)$ =number of orbits of Aut(M) on unordered sets of size n= number of non-isomorphic substructures of M of size n.



## INTRODUCTION

*M* is an  $\omega$ -categorical structure (with elimination of quantifiers in a relational language *L*).

•  $f_n(M)$ =number of orbits of Aut(M) on unordered sets of size n= number of non-isomorphic substructures of M of size n.

Examples:

- $M = (\mathbb{Q}; \leq): f_n = 1$
- $M = (S^1, C(x, y, z))$ : circular order;  $f_n = 1$
- ▶ random/Rado graph: (G, R);  $f_n \sim 2^{\binom{n}{2}}/n! \approx c^{n^2}$
- $(M; \leq_1, \leq_2)$ , homogeneous permutation:  $f_n = n! \approx c^{n \log n}$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• *C*-relation/tree:  $f_n \approx c^n$ .

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

#### Theorem (Cameron)

- The function  $f_n(M)$  is non-decreasing with n.
- If f<sub>n</sub>(M) = 1 for all n, then M is one of 5 structures: pure set, dense linear order, betweenness relation, circular order or separation relation.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

#### Theorem (Cameron)

- The function  $f_n(M)$  is non-decreasing with n.
- If f<sub>n</sub>(M) = 1 for all n, then M is one of 5 structures: pure set, dense linear order, betweenness relation, circular order or separation relation.

## Theorem (Macpherson '85)

There is some c > 1 such that if M is primitive either  $f_n(M) = 1$  for all n, or  $f_n(M) \ge c^n/p(n)$  for some polynomial p.

Macpherson obtains  $c \approx 1.148$ . This was improved to  $c \approx 1.324$  by Merola (2001). The result is false for c > 2.

Conjecture (Macpherson)

*One can take* c = 2*.* 

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0
			1

Cameron and Macpherson have observed that all known (primitive) structures with  $f_n$  of exponential growth are tree-like or order-like and have raised the question of classifying them.

	Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000 <b>00000</b> 000000 0	000	000000	000000	0

## NIP STRUCTURES

• A structure *M* has the independence property if there is a formula  $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$  and sets of tuples  $A = \{\bar{a}_i : i < \omega\}$  and  $B = \{\bar{b}_j : j < \omega\}$  such that  $\phi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$  induces a random bipartite graph on  $A \times B$ . Otherwise, we say *M* is NIP.

• By the Sauer-Shelah theorem, a finitely homogeneous *M* is NIP if and only if the number of types over a finite set *A* is bounded by p(|A|) for some polynomial p(X).

#### Theorem (Macpherson)

- ▶ If *M* has the independence property, then there is a polynomial *p* of degree at least 2 such that  $f_n \ge 2^{p(n)}$ .
- If *M* is finitely homogeneous and there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $f_n > 2^{n^{1+\epsilon}}$ , then *M* has the independence property.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	00000	000000	0

## NIP STRUCTURES

#### Conjecture / Hope

NIP finitely homogeneous structures are classifiable. At least, there are only countably many of them.

The stable case (more generally  $\omega$ -categorical  $\omega$ -stable) is well understood by work of Zilber, Lachlan, Cherlin, Harrington, Hrushovski, Evans.

	rity
000 <b>000000</b> 000000 0	

#### KEY THEOREM: CONSTRUCTION OF LINEAR ORDERS

#### Theorem

Let M be  $\omega$ -categorical, NIP and unstable. Then (over parameters) there is a definable equivalence relation E on M with infinitely many classes, and a definable linear order on the quotient M/E.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	000000	0

#### Theorem

*If M is primitive, then one of the following occurs:* 

- $f_n \ge 2^n/p(n)$  for some polynomial p;
- *M* is one of the 5 reducts of DLO;
- *M* is strictly stable (stable, not  $\omega$ -stable).

Corollary

- 1. *Macpherson's conjecture is true for finitely homogeneous structures.*
- 2. One can improve the bound in Macpherson's theorem to  $c \approx 1.57$ .

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

#### Theorem

*If M is primitive, then one of the following occurs:* 

- $f_n \ge 2^n/p(n)$  for some polynomial p;
- *M* is one of the 5 reducts of DLO;
- *M* is strictly stable (stable, not  $\omega$ -stable).

Corollary

- 1. Macpherson's conjecture is true for finitely homogeneous structures.
- 2. One can improve the bound in Macpherson's theorem to  $c \approx 1.57$ .

#### Theorem

Assume  $f_n(M) = o(c^n)$  for some c < 1.57, then there is a reduct  $M^*$  of M such that:

ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

•  $M^*$  is  $\omega$ -stable;

• 
$$f_n(M) = f_n(M^*)$$
 for all  $n$ .

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

# TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION OF NIP HOMOGENEOUS STRUCTURES

Two parameters of interest:

The "pseudo-arity": minimal arity of a structure in which *M* can be interpreted.
(I expect this to be equal the minimal arity of a Ramsey expansion of *M*.)

ション 人口 マイビン イビン トロン

• The <u>dimension</u>: number of independent linear orders.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	000000	0



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

CLASSIFICATION OF (PSEUDO-)BINARY STRUCTURES

If *M* is binary, there is a notion of rank on definable subsets of *M<sup>k</sup>* (and definable quotients), which measures the maximal depth of a chain of definable equivalence relations with infinitely many classes.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

## CLASSIFICATION OF (PSEUDO-)BINARY STRUCTURES

If *M* is binary, there is a notion of rank on definable subsets of *M<sup>k</sup>* (and definable quotients), which measures the maximal depth of a chain of definable equivalence relations with infinitely many classes.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

• We start by classifying the primitive rank 1 structures.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

## CLASSIFICATION OF (PSEUDO-)BINARY STRUCTURES

- If *M* is binary, there is a notion of rank on definable subsets of *M<sup>k</sup>* (and definable quotients), which measures the maximal depth of a chain of definable equivalence relations with infinitely many classes.
- We start by classifying the primitive rank 1 structures.

#### Theorem

Given  $n < \omega$ , there are finitely many structures M (up to bi-definability) which are  $\omega$ -categorical, NIP, rank 1, primitive and have at most n 4-types. One can give an explicit list.

Introduction 000	NIP 000000	Binary structures 00000	Higher arity 0
	arity 2	dimension l	
		( + ↔)	
	$\bigcirc$	(+⇔)	
	K Color		~)

Introduction 000	NIP 000000	Binary structures	Higher arity 0
	arity 2	dimension 2	
		$(M, \leq_{l}, \leq_{2})$	



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	000000	0
			1

#### Some applications

Homogeneous multi-orders.

## Proposition

If  $(M; \leq_1, ..., \leq_n)$  is a primitive homogeneous multi-order such that no two orders are equal, up to reversal, then M is the Fraïssé limit of sets with n orders.

(The case n = 2 was done by Cameron, and n = 3 by Braunfeld.) In joint work with Samuel Braunfeld, we classify the imprimitive multi-orders.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	000000	0

### Some applications

Homogeneous multi-orders.

## Proposition

If  $(M; \leq_1, ..., \leq_n)$  is a primitive homogeneous multi-order such that no two orders are equal, up to reversal, then M is the Fraïssé limit of sets with n orders.

(The case n = 2 was done by Cameron, and n = 3 by Braunfeld.) In joint work with Samuel Braunfeld, we classify the imprimitive multi-orders.

- Reducts: one can easily determine the reducts of any structure in the catalog. There are always finitely many.
  - ► Reducts of (M; ≤1, ≤2) were classified by Linman and Pinsker: there are 39 of them.
  - Quotients of circular orders by an equivalence relation with finite classes have no non-trivial proper reducts.

Step 1 Linear orders interpretable in a binary structure are very constrained.

#### Proposition

Let  $(V; \leq)$  be a transitive  $\omega$ -categorical linear order. Assume that one cannot define (with parameters) a C-structure with convex, bounded, balls on V. Then any  $\emptyset$ -definable closed subset of  $V^n$  is a boolean combination of inequalities between variables  $x_i \leq x_j$ .

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

Step 1 Linear orders interpretable in a binary structure are very constrained.

#### Proposition

Let  $(V; \leq)$  be a transitive  $\omega$ -categorical linear order. Assume that one cannot define (with parameters) a C-structure with convex, bounded, balls on V. Then any  $\emptyset$ -definable closed subset of  $V^n$  is a boolean combination of inequalities between variables  $x_i \leq x_j$ .

#### Conjecture

The same is true if V does not admit a  $\emptyset$ -definable C-structure with convex bounded balls.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	00000	0

Step 2 If *M* is unstable, we find a linear order on some  $V \subseteq M$ , definable over parameters. By glueing together all definable linear orders in the structure, we obtain a finite cover  $\tilde{M}$  of *M* composed of linear and circular orders.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- Step 2 If *M* is unstable, we find a linear order on some  $V \subseteq M$ , definable over parameters. By glueing together all definable linear orders in the structure, we obtain a finite cover  $\tilde{M}$  of *M* composed of linear and circular orders.
- Step 3 Any extra structure on  $\tilde{M}$  can be defined *locally* by stable relations. Because of the rank 1 assumption, such relations have to be finite equivalence relations. By standard topological arguments, local finite equivalence relations are classified by finite covers of the space.

Introduction	NIP	Binary structures	Higher arity
000	000000	000000	•

## SPECULATIONS ON HIGHER ARITIES

- Possible structures of closed definable sets on ω-categorical linear orders can be completely classified.
- In arity 3, there are interpretable trees, but the structure on their branches is binary. One can then prove similar things as in the binary cases with trees instead of linear orders.
- ► In arity *n*, one can find a tree with structure on the branches of arity *n* − 1 and one can classify the structures by induction.
- Some of those ideas might be interesting outside of the NIP context.

<u>Question</u>: If *M* is finitely homogeneous and does not have a formula  $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$  which induces a (non-degenerate) C-structure on an infinite set, is *M* interpretable in a ternary structure?