# An introduction to Cryptology<sup>1</sup> 2015/03/ — EMA, Franceville, Gabon

#### Damien Robert

Équipe LFANT, Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux Équipe MACISA, Laboratoire International de Recherche en Informatique et Mathématiques Appliquées



 ${}^1\!A$  big thanks to my colleagues of the Caramel team in Nancy who provided most of the content for this course

Concepts

andomness and hash function

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography



Cryptology = Cryptography + Cryptanalysis Usage:

- SSL/TLS, ssh, gpg
- GSM, Wifi, Bluetooth
- Credit Card, Transport card, Passport

#### Remark

 $Cryptology \subset Security$ 



Concepts		
Public Canal		

Alice communicates with Bob through a public canal. Eve does passive attacks on this canal (spying) and Charlie does active attacks.

Active attacks:

- Usurpation of identity;
- Altering data;
- Repudiation
- Replay, repetition
- Man in the middle
- Delay, Destruction



Concepts

Randomness and hash functior

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

### **Primitives**

- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Authenticity



Concepts

Randomness and hash function

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

#### Primitives

- Confidentiality Symmetric encryption, Asymmetric encryption
- Integrity Cryptographic hash functions
- Authenticity Signature, MAC



tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

#### Primitive

- Without key: hash, random generator
- With key
  - symmetric
    - MAC
    - Encryption: stream, block
  - asymmetric: number theory, codes, lattices...
    - signature
    - Encryption



Concepts

Randomness and hash functio

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Confidentiality, Authenticity

- Confidentiality:  $E: \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^n$  a permutation;  $D = E^{-1}$ . Encryption:  $m \mapsto c = E(m)$ , Decryption:  $c \mapsto m = D(c)$ .
- Authenticity: c = A(m). Alice sends (m, v). Bob receives (m', v'). Verification: V(m', v') = OK, NOT OK.

## Kerchoff's laws

- *E* and *D* needs to be secret;
- So no external validation of security possible;
- And transmitting the algorithmes in painful;
- Kerchoff: parametrizes the algorithms by a key *K*;
- $E: \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  such that  $E(\cdot, K)$  is a permutation for all K;
- *E* can be public, the only secret is the secret key *K*.

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Channels of communications

- Public
- Authenticated
- Confidential
- Authenticated + Confidential

# Goals

Using a authenticated and/or confidential channel, construct an authenticated and/or confidential channels inside a public channel.

The goal if of course to use the preexisting authenticated/confidential channel as little as possible, and do everything else in the authenticated/confidential channel constructed inside the public channel.



tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Example 1: integrity

Alice sends *m* through the public channel, and h(m) through the integrity channel, where  $h: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{256}$  is a cryptographic hash function.

Security:

- Preimage resistance;
- Second-Preimage resistance;
- Collision resistance.

Concepts

Randomness and hash functior

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Example 2: authenticity (symmetric)

A secret key K is generated (randomisation) and sent to Alice and Bob through a authenticated and confidential channel. Alice sends (m, MAC(m, K)) through the public channel. Bob verify via VERIF(m, K). The MAC is a hash function parametrized by K.

Security: from several couples (*M*, *C*)

- Can't retrieve K;
- Can't generate a new (M', C');
- Can't distinguish the distribution *C* from a uniform distribution.

A couple  $(K_S, K_P)$  is generated by Alice and she sends the public key  $K_P$  to Bob via an authenticated channel. Alice sends  $(m, SIGN(m, K_S))$  through the public channel, and Bob verify via VERIF $(m, K_P)$ .

Security: from several couples (M, C) and  $K_P$ 

- Can't retrieve K<sub>s</sub>;
- Can't generate a new (M', C');
- Can't distinguish the distribution *C* from a uniform distribution.

Signature vs MAC:

- Public verification
- Non repudiation

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Example 3: confidentiality (symmetric)

A secret key K is generated (randomisation) and sent to Alice and Bob through a authenticated and confidential channel. Alice sends c = E(m, K) through the public channel, Bob decrypts via m = D(c, K).

Security: from several ciphers *C*, several couples (M, C = E(M, K)) (chosen plain text attack) and several couples (C, M = D(M, K)) (chosen cipher text attack)

- Can't retrieve K;
- Can't find *M*′ from a new *C*′;
- Can't distinguish the distribution *C* from a uniform distribution.

# Example 3: confidentiality (asymmetric)

A couple  $(K_S, K_P)$  is generated by Bob and he sends the public key  $K_P$  to Alice via an authenticated channel. Alice sends  $c = E(m, K_P)$  through the public channel, and Bob decrypt via  $m = D(c, K_S)$ .

Security: from  $K_P$ , several ciphers C, several couples (M, C = E(M, K))(chosen plain text attack) and several couples (C, M = D(M, K)) (chosen cipher text attack)

- Can't retrieve K<sub>S</sub>;
- Can't find *M*′ from a new *C*′;
- Can't distinguish the distribution *C* from a uniform distribution.

# Asymmetric vs symmetric

- N persons  $\Rightarrow$  N keys rather than  $N^2$ ;
- Does not need a confidential channel;
- Much slower.
- ⇒ Use an asymmetric cipher to send a symmetric secret key and switch to the symmetric channel to increase speed.

С	on	IC	ep	ts					
								0	0

# Security

- Only cipher known
- Chosen plain text: CPA, Adaptative chosen plain text: CPA2
- Chosen cipher text: CCA, Adaptative chosen cipher text: CCA2
- $\Rightarrow$  Invert the function: OW (One Wayness)
- ⇒ Indistiguinbility: IND. Detect an encryption of 1 from an encryption of 0 with probability >  $0.5 + \varepsilon$ . IND = Semantic security.

Ultimate goal: IND-CCA2 cryptosystem.

Attacks:

- Black box or structural analysis;
- Side channels.



C	on	IC	ep	ts					

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Security parameters

- 2<sup>40</sup>: One minute on a standard computer;
- 2<sup>60</sup>: One year on a standard computer;
- $2^{80}$ : One year with  $10^6$  cores at 5GHz = NSA?
- 2<sup>128</sup>: security goal.

## Remark

One may want to take higher security parameters 192 bits or 256 bits for very long term security and for protection against potential attacks. For instance quantum computers can divide by 2 the security of some problems (and completely kill others like factorisation or the discrete logarithm problem).



Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## One way function

- Origin: hash table to speed up lookup
- Very important in cryptology, needs strong properties
- One way function  $x \mapsto f(x)$  easy, but  $y \mapsto f^{-1}(y)$  hard.

## Example

Multiplication, exponentiation in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*, \times)$ .

In asymmetric key cryptography, use of trapdoor one way function: a secret trap can allows to compute  $f^{-1}$ .

#### Example

If N = pq,  $x \mapsto x^2$  is a trapdoor one way function.



Stream ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Hash function

- Origin: hash table to speed up lookup;
- $h: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n;$
- Very important in cryptology, needs strong properties:
- No preimage
- No second preimage
- No collision

## Example

- A checksum is not an hash function
- $x \mapsto x^2 \mod pq$  is one way but not a cryptographic hash function.
- MD5 (Broken), SHA-1 (Almost broken), SHA-2, SHA-3

	Randomness and hash function		
Collision			

Let *h* be an hash function with *n* bits of output;  $N = 2^n$ .

- Given  $y \in \{0,1\}^n$ , finding y at random requires  $\Theta(2^n)$  tries;
- What about a collision:  $x_1 \neq x_2 \mid h(x_1) = h(x_2)$ ?
- After k tries, the probability of not finding a collision is

p(k) = (1 - 1/N)(1 - 2/N)...(1 - k/N)

• So we have the inequalities (in fact it is an order of equivalence)

$$\label{eq:pk} \begin{split} \log p(k) = \sum \log(1-i/N) \leqslant -i \sum i/N \leqslant -k^2/2N \\ p(k) \leqslant \exp(-k^2/2N) \end{split}$$

• So if  $k = \Theta(\sqrt{N})$ , p(k) is small and the probability of collision is high;

• To get 128 bits of security we need n = 256

	Randomness and hash function		
Construction			

- Compression function  $f: \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n}$ ;
- Merkle-Damgard (IV=Input Vector)

 $h(m_1,...,m_k) = f(f(...f(IV,m_1),m_2),...,m_k)$ 

• If *m* is not of length a multiple of *n*, pad *n* with its length

#### Theorem

If f is collision resistant, then h too.

#### Remark

- A small weakness in f can lead to a big weakness in h (MD5);
- From h(m), we can compute  $h(m || m_0)$  without knowing m.

Constructing f: mixing boolean operations, addition (non bit-linear), into multiple rounds with some magic constants.

	Randomness and hash function		
	000000000		
Mise en gage		 	

- Alice can publish *h*(*m*) to prove later that she selected *m*;
- If *m* is small (YES/NO), necessity to pad with a random sequence. "The hash of my CB card is fe9e5fa6d82a071422a576064d0ed49a7266ccb49390037c255e6e7baa8d4535" is a bad idea. Better idea: publish the hash of (CB card + long random sequence of characters).

#### Example

- Head or tails by phone
- Zero-Knowledge via coloring graphs

# MAC: Message authentification code

- $h_K(m)$  were K is a secret key between Alice and Bob to prove the identity of the emitter
- Security: Eve can not produce  $(m', h_K(m'))$ ;
- HMAC(K, m) =  $H(K \oplus c_1 || H(K \oplus c_2) || m)$ ; proven secure
- H(M || K) or H(K || M) is a bad idea if h is constructed via Merkle-Damgard (MD5, SHA-1, SHA-2) but should be ok if h use a sponge function (SHA-3).
- *h<sub>K</sub>* could also be a block cipher
- In fact a bloc cipher E can define a hash function via *h<sub>i</sub>* = E(*h<sub>i-1</sub>*, *m<sub>i</sub>*)⊕ *h<sub>i-1</sub>* (Davies-Meyer) but we want a faster hash function.



Concepts

Randomness and hash function

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

Inría.

## Randomness generator

- Vital in a cryptosystem
- Sony: random = constant
- Debian ssh: random = date
- RSA key: a lot of common primes in public modulus

## Statistic properties

- Standard randomness: good statistic properties
- Linear congruence:  $x_{n+1} = a x_n + b \mod M$  very fast but some statistic bias
- Cryptographic randomness: needs much stronger properties
- Can't predict the next bit from the observed ones
- Broken for linear congruences

	Randomness and hash function		
		000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Seed			

- True alea = non compressable (Kolmogorov)
- By definition an algorithm can't generate a true alea
- $\Rightarrow$  Pseudorandom generator.
- Construction: a small seed (true alea) used by the pseudorandom generator
- Hash function = Compress state; PRNG = Expand state.
- s internal state: s = f(s) (update internal state), x = g(s) (output next random bit)

## Remark

- Finite number of internal states  $2^n \Rightarrow$  the PRNG will loop
- $\bullet\,$  Birthday paradox: A "random" update of the seed loop in time  $\sqrt{internal\ states}$
- Arithmetic PNRG can force a loop of 2<sup>n</sup>
- Bad idea for cryptography

	Randomness and hash function			
	00000000000			
True alea				

- We need a true alea to initialize the seed
- Use physical input: input/output, mouse mouvements, IP packets...
- ⇒ In linux, /dev/random collects the entropy and outputs a random sequence until the entropy is  $0 \Rightarrow$  blocks waiting for new entropy
- /dev/urandom uses the entropy inside a PRNG to output a random sequence which never blocks
- Problem: in early boot, urandom may output a sequence while the seed had not enough entropy yet;
- In an idle machine not a lot of entropy; even worse for virtual machine without help from the container;
- Possible solution: with a good PRNG, we just need an initial seed of true 256 bits of entropy; keep the current state across reboots.

	Randomness and hash function		
Entropy			

- Quantity of information: if p(x = 1) = 0.99 and p(x = 0) = 0.01, observing x = 0 is much more useful than x = 1;
- Quantity of information:  $QI(m = x) = \log_2(1/p(x))$
- The entropy is the average value of the QI:

$$e = -\sum p_i \log_2 p_i$$

• n bits of entropy  $\approx$  information that needs n bits to be encoded.

## Example

- $x \in \{0, 1..., 15\}$  uniformly: 4 bits of entropy
- $p_A = 0.5$ ,  $p_B = 0.25$ ,  $p_C = 0.25$ . e = 1/2 + 2/4 + 2/4 = 3/2. Encode *A* with 0, *B* with 10 and *C* with 11  $\Rightarrow$  3/2 bits on average to encode the message.

## **Historical ciphers**

- CESAR: translate letter in the alphabet by the same amount
- Alphabetical substitution
- VIGENERE: CESAR depending on the position of the letter:

CRYPTOGRAPHYINGABON SECRETSECRETSECRETS UVAGXHYVCGLRARIRFHF



Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Statistical attacks

- The messages correspond to word in a language, they are not uniform;
- If the ciphers are still non uniform  $\Rightarrow$  statistical attacks
- Alphabetical substitution: most frequent letters, vowels are linked with many other letters;
- Index of correlation: split the message into several lines. Probability that one letter is the same as the letter below (ie probability that two random letters are the same);
- Uniform messages: index of correlation is 1/26;
- Far from the case in French: 10-15%;
- Vigenere: if we split the messages into blocks of length k and find an index of correlation similar to the French one then high probability than the length of the secret is a divisor of k and we are back to CESAR.



	Stream ciphers	
	0000000000	
Fnigma		

- Keyboard Plug P (several disjoint transposition)
- Several rotors  $R_i$  (each rotor is a permutation): 3 then 4
- Reflector M: 13 couples for the 26 letters  $A \leftrightarrow D, B \leftrightarrow M, ...$
- $E(m) = P^{-1}R_1^{-1}R_2^{-1}R_3^{-1}MR_3R_2R_1P$
- After each output,  $R_1$  makes a turn; if  $R_1$  has made a full
- The secret state is given by the position of the plugboard and the initial position of the rotors.
- Feature:  $E^2 = \text{Id so decryption use the same initial state as encryption;}$
- Security problem: for all letter x,  $E(x) \neq x$ . Big statistical drawback;
- Cryptanalysis of Enigma (Poland then England+USA). A bombe explores a lot of Enigma position, using statistical analysis to greatly speed up the process.

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

#### Modern attacks

- Linearity: solve big linear systems
- Algebraic attacks: solve big multivariate algebraic systems
- Differential attacks: let  $E_k(m \oplus \Delta_m) = c \oplus \Delta_c$  and study the distribution of  $\Delta_c$ .

# Unconditional security

- Vernam cipher (One time pad)  $c_i = m_i \oplus k_i$
- Shannon: unconditionally secure if  $k = k_1 \dots k_n$  is uniform random (Proof: distribution of  $m \oplus$  uniform distribution = uniform distribution);
- Not convenient: key of same length as the message
- Reusing key (or part of the key) is catastrophic: if  $c_1 = m_1 \oplus k$  and  $c_2 = m_2 \oplus k$  then  $c_1 \oplus c_2 = m_1 \oplus m_2$ ; this reveals a lot of information;
- Unconditonal security is too strong, we only care about computational security.

	Stream ciphers		
Stream cipher		 	

- Simulate the One Time Pad by using a PRNG parametrized by a secret key *k*;
- Internal state:  $s_i$ . Update:  $s_{i+1} = f(s_i, K)$ . Output  $x_i = g(s_i, K)$ .
- Encryption/Decryption:  $c_i = m_i \oplus x_i$ .

## Remark

Problems of synchronisation. Autosynchronising stream ciphers: use the last *t* ciphers as the state:  $x_i = g(c_{i-t}, ..., c_{i-1}, K)$ . If there is an error of transmission, this corrupt the decryption for only *t* bits.

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR)

A LFSR has L cells.

- Output *x*<sub>0</sub>;
- Shift:  $x_i = x_{i+1}$ ;
- Feedback:  $x_{L-1} = x_{i_1} \oplus x_{i_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{i_k}$ .

## Definition

The retroaction polynomial is  $P(x) = x^{L} + \sum x_{i_{k}} x^{k}$ .

The LFSR is uniquely determined by its initial value and its retroaction polynomial.



	Stream ciphers	
Linear algebra		

- The state of the vector  $X = (x_0, ..., x_{L_1})$  in the LFSR is linear
- For instance if  $P(x) = x^4 + x + 1$ , then at step i + 1,  $X_{i+1} = MX_i$  where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

- The characteristic polynomial of M is P(x).
- The LFSR will loop when  $M^k = \text{Id}$
- This is the order of X in  $\mathbb{F}_2[X]/P(x)$  (if P is the minimal polynomial).

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Order of the LFSR

- If P(x) is irreducible then  $K = \mathbb{F}_2[X]/P(X) = \mathbb{F}_2(x)$  is a field of degree *L*. The order of *x* in *K* divides  $2^L - 1$ .
- If *P* is primitive the order is exactly  $2^L 1$ ;
- If  $P = \prod P_i$  is a product of distinct irreducible polynomials then  $K = \prod \mathbb{F}_2[X]/P_i(X)$  is a product of fields (CRT) and the period divides  $\prod 2^{\deg P_i} - 1 < 2^L - 1$ .
- The highest period is given by primitives polynomials.

## Theorem

There is  $\frac{\varphi(2^L-1)}{L}$  primitive polynomials of degree L in  $F_2[x]$ . In particular if  $2^L - 1$  is prime (a Mersenne prime) then there is  $(2^L - 2)/L$  primitive polynomials of degree L and all irreducible polynomials are primitive.

## Proof.

The splitting field of an irreducible polynomial of degree L is always  $\mathbb{F}_{2^L}$  since the absolute Galois group is procyclic. There is  $\varphi(2^L - 1)$  generators of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_{2^L}$ . The Galois group splits this group into  $\varphi(2^L - 1)/L$  orbits (since the Frobenius is of order L), each orbit corresponds to a primitive polynomial.

	Stream ciphers	
Security		

- An LFSR can have a high period;
- But the output is linear, from 2*L* terms one can recover its retroaction polynomial (Berlekamp Masse)

## Proof.

There exists a fraction  $P_0/P_1$  whose formal sum  $\sum x_i X^i$  corresponds to the bit output by the LFSR. The Euclidean algorithm between  $\sum_{i=0}^{2L-1} x_i X^i$  and  $X^{2L}$  recovers this fraction (as the continued fraction algorithm recovers the rational fraction p/q from its decimal development).

- In practice combine several LFSR with a non linear filter function
- A5/1 (GSM) combines 3 LFSR; but the filter function is weak ⇒ attacks if enough data is gathered.

		Block ciphers	
Block cipher			

- $c = E_K(m)$ ,  $m = D_K(c)$  where *m* is a block of *n* bits and *K* is block of *k* bits;
- There is  $(2^n)!$  bijections and  $2^k$  possible keys; so we can have k > m.
- If the block is too small (*n* = 8) dictionary attacks;
- AES works with blocks of 128 bits but has three level of security: 128, 192 and 256 bits (which corresponds to 10, 12 and 14 rounds).
- Security: Observing (m, c) should reveal no information on K or allows to generate (m', c').
- **Related** keys: changing one bit of *K* should completely change the (m, c).

tream ciphers

Public key cryptography

## Feistel scheme

- Several rounds:  $m = L_0 || R_0$ .
- $L_{i+1} = R_i$ ,  $R_{i+1} = L_i \oplus F_{K_i}(R_i)$  ( $K_i$  is a key derived from K for round i)
- This is always inversible, even if  $F_{K_i}$  is not injective!
- Decrypting:  $R_i = L_{i+1}$ ,  $L_i = R_{i+1} \oplus F_{K_i}(L_{i+1})$ .
- Used by DES: Feistel scheme with 16 rounds.

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# DES

- Blocks of 64 bits, key of 56 bits;
- Good for the time (1976);
- Key size too low now.
- Triple DES used instead (now superseded by AES):  $E_{K_1,K_2} = \text{DES}_{K_1} \circ \text{DES}_{K_2}^{-1} \circ \text{DES}_{K_1};$
- Key length of Triple DES is 112 bits.
- Some plain text attacks  $\Rightarrow$  effective security of 80 bits.

#### Exercice

- Why not simply use  $E_{K_1,K_2} = DES_{K_2} \circ DES_{K_1}$ ?
- **2** Why the  $DES_{K_2}^{-1}$  in the middle?



Public key cryptography



- Selection by NIST in 2001;
- Blocks of size 128, Keys of size 128, 192, 256;
- Several rounds (10, 12, 14) parametrized by subkeys;
- One round: 128 bits = 16 bytes, organized in a 4 × 4 square;
- SubBytes: inversion in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^8} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$
- ShiftRows: rows are shifted (by a different value)
- MixColumns: linear
- AddRoundKey: XOR with the derived keys.



tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Electronic Code Book (ECB)

•  $c_i = E_K(m_i);$ 

#### Example (From Wikipedia)

Name + Salaries encrypted through ECB with blocks of 2 characters. Jack salary is 105000€ by year, and the encrypted data is Q92DFPVXC9IO. The other encrypted data are TOAV6RFPY5VXC9, YPFGFPDFDFIO, Q9AXFPC9IOIO, ACED4TFPVXIOIO, UTJSDGFPRTAVIO What is the salary of Jane, Jack's boss?

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Cipher-block chaining (CBC)

- Initialisation:  $c_0 = IV$  (input/initialisation vector)
- $c_i = E_K(c_{i-1} \oplus m_i);$
- $m_i = c_{i-1} \oplus D_K(c_i);$
- Randomizing the IV  $\Rightarrow$  same plain text to different cipher text.

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

#### Counter

•  $I_0 = IV$ 

• 
$$c_j = m_j \oplus E_K(I_j); I_{j+1} = I_j + 1_j$$

• This is actually a stream cipher!



tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Output feedback (OFB)

•  $I_0 = IV$ •  $c_j = m_j \oplus I_j; I_{j+1} = E_K(I_j)$ 

ln<u>ría</u>



- A block cipher can also be used as a MAC: the last cipher block is the MAC (needs a good operational mode).
- MAC then Encrypt? (SSL); Encrypt and MAC? (SSH); Encrypt then MAC?
- Encrypt then MAC is secure; MAC then Encrypt has a lot of problem (decryption oracle); Encrypt and MAC has theoretical problems (For instance  $MAC = E \oplus m$ ) but no strong practical problems.
- Authenticity+Integrity: HMAC, Poly1305, Galois Message Authentication Code (GMAC);
- Confidentiality+Authenticity+Integrity: GCM (Galois Counter Mode), CCM (Counter Mode + CBC-Mac)
- Block ciphers: AES
- Stream ciphers: Salsa20 (and the variant Chacha20), also used in the BLAKE hash function, ESTREAM.

		Block ciphers	
		000000000	
Protocols			

- TLS Key exchange + Authentication algorithms: RSA, DHE-RSA, DHE-DSS, ECDH-ECDSA, ECDHE-ECDSA, ECDH-RSA, ECDHE-RSA
- TLS Ciphers: AES-CBC, AES-CCM, AES-GCM, Chacha20-Poly1305
- SSH Authentication: id\_dsa, id\_rsa, id\_ecdsa, id\_ed25519
- SSH Key exchange algorithms: curve25519-sha256@libssh.org, ecdh-sha2-nistp256, ecdh-sha2-nistp384, ecdh-sha2-nistp521, diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256, diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1, diffie-hellman-group14-sha1, diffie-hellman-group1-sha1
- SSH Ciphers: aes128-ctr, aes192-ctr, aes256-ctr, arcfour256, arcfour128, aes128-gcm@openssh.com, aes256-gcm@openssh.com, chacha20-poly1305@openssh.com, aes128-cbc, 3des-cbc, blowfish-cbc, cast128-cbc, aes192-cbc, aes256-cbc, arcfour

		Public key cryptography
RSA		

- Fermat, Euler: if  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$  then  $x^{\varphi(n)} = 1$ .
- RSA: n = pq.  $\varphi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ .
- If N is a product of disjoint primes, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^{1+\varphi(n)} = x$ .

#### Proof.

If N = p, then Fermat shows this work for all  $x \neq 0$ , and 0 is trivial to check. If  $N = \prod p_i$ , by the CRT  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \simeq \prod \mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}$  as a ring and we are back to the prime case.

- In RSA, if *e* is prime to  $\varphi(n)$  and *d* is its inverse, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^{ed} = x$ .
- Encryption:  $x \mapsto x^e$ ; Decryption:  $y \mapsto y^d$ .
- Signature:  $x \mapsto x^d$ ; Verification:  $y \mapsto y^e$ .

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

#### Reductions on RSA

Given the public key (N, e)

- RSADP (Decryption Problem): from  $y = x^e$  find x;
- RSAKRP (Key Recovery Problem): find d such that  $x^{ed} = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$
- RSAEMP (Exponent Multiple Problem): find k such that  $x^k = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$  (so k is a multiple of  $(p-1) \lor (q-1)$ );
- RSAOP (Order Problem): find  $\varphi(n)$ ;
- RSAFP (Factorisation Problem): recover p and q.

#### Theorem

 $RSAKRP \Leftrightarrow RSAEMP \Leftrightarrow RSAFP \Leftrightarrow RSAOP \Rightarrow RSADP$ 

## Proof.

RSAFP  $\Rightarrow$ RSAOP  $\Rightarrow$ RSAKRP  $\Rightarrow$ RSAEMP. The hard part is to show that RSAEMP  $\Rightarrow$ RSAFP. The goal is to find  $x \neq \pm 1$  such that  $x^2 = 1$ . Then  $x - 1 \land n$  gives a prime factor. Write  $k = 2^s t$ , and look for a random y at  $x = y^t$ ,  $x^2$ ,  $x^{2^2}$ , ... $x^{2^j}$ until we find 1, say  $x^{2^{j_0+1}} = 1$ . Then  $x^{2^j}$  is a square root. The bad cases are when  $x = y^t = 1$  (but this has probability less than 1/4) and when  $x^{2^{j_0}} = -1$ (but this has probability less than 1/2).

## Malleability of RSA

- $(m_1 \cdot m_2)^e = m_1^e \cdot m_2^e$  so from several ciphertexts we can generate a lot more;
- As is, RSA is OW-CPA (if factorisation is hard) but malleable.
- Example of CCA2 attack: we know  $c = m^e$ ; we ask to decipher a random  $r: m_r = r^d$  and  $c/r: m_{c/r} = (c/r)^d$  (c/r looks random). We recover  $m = m_r m_{c/r}$ .
- We want IND-CCA2 so we need to add padding.
- RSA-OAEP: The padding is  $M \oplus G(r) || r \oplus H(M \oplus G(r))$  where r is random and H and G are two hash functions.

		Public key cryptography
Attacks on RSA		

- Best algorithm for factorisation is NFS:  $2^{O(n^{1/3})}$ ;
- Subexponential: Factor 2 in security needs factor 8 in key length.
- Small exponent: if  $N > m^e$  finding m is easy. This can happen if the same message is sent to several user with public keys  $(N_i, e)$ ; by the CRT we recover  $m^e \mod N = \prod N_i$ .
- If e has a small order in  $(\mathbb{Z}/\varphi(N)\mathbb{Z})^*$  iterating the encryption yields the decryption.
- If *d* is small, for instance let p < q < 2p, and suppose that  $d < n^{1/4}/3$ . Write  $ed - 1 = k\varphi(n)$ ; then for *n* big enough

$$|\frac{e}{n}-\frac{k}{d}|<\frac{1}{2d^2}.$$

k/d can then be recovered from the continued fraction of e/n which is computed using Euclide's algorithm.

# Concepts

Randomness and hash function

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Discrete logarithm problem

Given a cyclic group  $G = \langle g \rangle$ .

- Exponentiation  $x \mapsto h = g^x$  (via fast exponentiation algorithm); DLP  $h = g^x \mapsto x$ .
- Shanks: the DLP in *G* can be done in time  $n = \sqrt{\#G}$  via the Baby Steps, Giant Steps algorithm (time/memory tradeoff). Let  $c = \sqrt{N}$  and write x = y + cz,  $y, z \le c$ . Compute the intersection of  $\{1, g, \dots, g^c\}$  and  $\{hg^{-c}, hg^{-2c}, \dots, hg^{-cc}\}$  to find  $g^z = hg^{-cy}$ .
- Pollard: take a random path of  $s_i = g^{u_i} h^{v_i}$  (typically find a a suitable function and compute  $s_{i+1} = f(s_i)$ ) until a collision is found:  $s_i = s_j$ . Then  $h = g^{\frac{u_i u_j}{v_i v_j}}$ . Birthday paradox: a collision is found in time  $\sqrt{n}$ .
- Pohlig-Helman: the DLP inside *G* can be reduced to the DLP inside subroups of side  $p_i | n$ .
  - First reduction: CRT.  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} = \prod \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}$ , so to recover x we need to recover  $x_i = x \mod p_i^{e_i}$ ; via  $h_i = g_i^{x_i}$  where  $h_i = h^{N/p_i^{e_i}}$ ,  $g_i = g^{N/p_i^{e_i}}$ .
  - Second reduction: Hensel lift. Write  $x_i = x_0 + x_1 p$ ; and solve  $h_i^{p^{e_i-1}} = g_i^{p^{e_i-1}x_0}$  to recover  $x_0$ ; write  $x_i x_0 = p(x_1 + px_2)$  and find  $x_1$  and so on.

# Security of the DLP

#### Theorem

On a generic group, the complexity of the DLP is of complexity the square root of its largest prime divisor.

- But effective groups are not generic!
- $G = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , the DLP is trivial (Euclide algorithm);
- G = (ℤ/pℤ)\*, same methods and subexponential complexity as for factorisation: 2<sup>O(n<sup>1/3</sup>)</sup>;
- $G = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ , quasi polynomial algorithm:  $n^{\log n}$ ;
- Generic ordinary elliptic curve over **F**<sub>p</sub>: the generic algorithm is the best available;
- ⇒ To get 128 bits of security find an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_p$  where p has 256 bits and  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is prime (or almost prime).

# Diffie-Helman Key Exchange

- How to share a secret key across a non confidential channel?
- $\Rightarrow$  Encrypt it via an asymmetric scheme;
- Or use the Diffie-Helman Key Exchange algorithm (predates asymmetric cryptography).
- Alice sends  $g^a$  to Bob
- Bob sends g<sup>b</sup> to Alice
- The secret key is  $g^{ab}$ .
- Diffie-Helman Problem: Eve has to recover  $g^{ab}$  from only g,  $g^a$  and  $g^b$ .
- DLP  $\Rightarrow$  DHP



tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## El Gamal encryption

- Public key:  $(g, p = g^a)$ , Private key: *a*;
- Encryption:  $m \mapsto (g^k, s = p^k.m)$  (k random);
- Decryption:  $m = s/(g^k)^a$ .
- Warning: Never reuse k.

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

## DSA (Signature)

- Public key:  $(g, p = g^a)$ , Private key: *a*;
- $\Phi: G \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z};$
- Signature:  $m \mapsto (u = \Phi(g^k), v = (m + a\Phi(g^k))/k) \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ ;
- Verification:  $u = \Phi(g^{mv^{-1}}p^{uv^{-1}})$ .

Concepts

andomness and hash function

tream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

### Zero Knowledge

- Alice publish  $(g, p = g^a)$ , her secret is *a*.
- Alice choose a random x and sends  $q = g^x$ ;
- Either Bob asks for x and checks that  $q = g^x$ ;
- Either Bob asks for s + x and checks that  $q \cdot p = g^{s+x}$ .

## Authentification

## Challenge / Answer

- Bob chooses a random r, computes x = h(r) and sends the challenge  $(x, E_{K_P}(r))$  to Alice;
- Alice decrypt to find r, checks that x = h(r) and sends the answer r to Bob;
- Question: Why use a hash function here and not just send  $E_{K_p}(r)$ ?

## Signature

- Bob sends a random message r to Alice;
- Alice appends random noise to r and signs this.

# Public Key Infrastructure

- Even with asymmetric cryptography, we still need an authenticated channel to transmit the public key *K*<sub>P</sub>;
- Web of trust (decentralized): I trust the persons trusted by the persons I trust. Used by gpg.
- PKI (centralized): public key signed by an organism via a certificate. Verification done recursively until we find a root certificate. Used by TLS/SSL: 166 root certificates on my computer.
- Certificate for *n* persons: *n* certificates? 1 certificate using a binary hash tree: recursively if the node *N* has two children  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  then  $h(N) = h(C_1 || C_2)$ . We only need to verify the authenticity of the root node *R*; verification of a node *N* only uses the path between *N* and *R*  $\Rightarrow O(\log n)$ .

Stream ciphers

Block ciphers

Public key cryptography

# Bibliography

#### Novels

- Dan Brown, Digital Fortress as an exercice to find the numerous technical mistakes about cryptography in the novel
- Neal Stephenson, Cryptonomicon, where the hero use the Solitaire encryption algorithm by Schneier which just needs a deck of card.

#### Historical

- David Kahn, The Codebreakers;
- Simon Singh, The Code Book (Histoire des Codes Secrets);
- Jacques Stern, La Science du Secret;

#### Reference

- Steven D. Galbraith, Mathematics of Public Key Cryptography.
- Jeffrey Hoffstein, Jill Pipher et Joseph H. Silverman, An Introduction to Mathematical Cryptography;
- Antoine Joux, Algorithmic Cryptanalysis;
- Alfred J. Menezes, Paul C. van Oorschot et Scott A. Vanstone, Handbook of Applied Cryptography http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/hac/;
- Serge Vaudenay, A Classical Introduction to Cryptography;
- Bruce Schneier, Applied Cryptography;
- Douglas R. Stinson, Cryptography: Theory and Practice.

